
COMMONWEALTH of VIRGINIA

Standard Operating Procedures for Managing and Reporting Non-Point Source Data to the U.S. EPA - Chesapeake Bay Program Office

Standard Operating Procedures for Soil and Manure Testing for Nutrient Management Plan Development

QUALITY ASSURANCE PROJECT PLAN

July 1, 2011

Department of Conservation and Recreation
Division of Stormwater Management
203 Governor Street, Suite 206
Richmond, VA 23219-2094
(804) 786-7119



Department of Conservation and Recreation
Quality Assurance Project Plan

Group A – Project Management
A1 – Title and Approval Sheet

Plan Coverage: This *Quality Assurance Project Plan: Standard Operating Procedures for Managing and Reporting Non-Point Source BMP Data to the U.S. EPA – Chesapeake Bay Program Office* in combination with the *Quality Management Plan: Virginia Nonpoint Source Pollution Management Program* (Virginia DCR, 2010) reflects the overall Quality Assurance Program framework and management systems necessary to assure that data generated by the Virginia Department of Conservation and Recreation’s Division of Stormwater Management (DCR-DSM) are of acceptable quality to meet the needs of the United States Environmental Protection Agency’s Chesapeake Bay Program Office (EPA-CBPO).

Name: J. Michael Foreman
Title: DCR-DSM, Conservation Programs Manager (Quality Assurance Officer)

Signature: _____ **Date:** _____

Name: Reese A. Peck
Title: DCR-DSM, Director

Signature: _____ **Date:** _____

Name: Rich Batiuk
Title: U.S. EPA - CBPO Quality Assurance Officer

Signature: _____ **Date:** _____

Name: Katherine Antos
Title: U.S. EPA Project Officer

Signature: _____ **Date:** _____

Questions or comments regarding this QAPP should be referred to Michael Foreman, 804-786-3088 or mike.foreman@dcr.virginia.gov.

A2 – Table of Contents

Group A – Project Management

A1 – Title and Approval Sheet	2
A2 – Table of Contents	3
A3 – Distribution List	5
A4 – Project / Task Organization	5
A5 – Problem Definition and Background	6
A6 – Project / Task Description	6
A7 – Quality Objectives and Criteria	7
A8 – Special Training Certifications	8
A9 – Documentation of Records	9

Group B – Data Generation and Acquisition

B1 – Sampling Process Design (Experimental Design)	10
B2 – Sampling Methods	12
B3 – Sample handling and Custody	13
B4 – Analytical Methods	15
B5 – Quality Control	17
B6 – Instrument / Equipment Testing, Inspection, and Maintenance	17
B7 – Instrument / Equipment Calibration and Frequency	18
B8 – Inspection / Acceptance of Supplies and Consumables	18
B9 – Non-direct Measurements	18
B10.1 – Data Management: Agricultural BMP Cost-Share Data	20
B10.2 – Data Management: Nutrient Management Data	21
B10.3 – Data Management: Erosion & Sediment Control Data	21

Table of Contents (continued)

B10.4 – Data Management: External Data	22
B10.5 – Data Management: Reporting to EPA-CBPO	22
<u>Group C – Assessment and Oversight</u>	
C1 – Assessments and Response Action	23
C2 – Reports to Management	26
<u>Group D – Data Validation and Usability</u>	
D1 – Data Review, Verification, and Validation	27
D2 – Verification and Validation Methods	28
D3 – Reconciliation with User Requirements	29
References	32
Appendix 1	
- Table 1, General Flow Chart of Ag BMP Data Deployment	34
- Table 2, Summary of Spot Check BMP Results	35
Appendix 2	
- Best Management Practices Crosswalk for the NEIEN Excerpt.....	36
Appendix 3	
- DCR, DSWM Organizational Charts.....	46

A3 – Distribution List

This document is being provided to the following:

- Katherine Antos, EPA-CBPO, Project Officer
- Mary Ellen Ley, USGS / EPA-CBPO, Quality Assurance Coordinator
- Michael Foreman, DCR-DSM, Conservation Programs Manager (Quality Assurance Officer)
- William Keeling, DCR-DSM, NPS Modeling & Data Coordinator
- Larry Fender, DCR-DSM, Data Management Analyst
- Stephanie Martin, DCR-DSM, Agricultural Cost Share Database Administrator
- Nicole Sandberg, DCR-DSM, NPS Program Implementation Grant Coordinator
- Susan Block, DCR-DSM, Chesapeake Bay Grant Manager
- Timothy Sexton, Nutrient Management Program Manager
- Beverly Quinlan, Geoinformatics Specialist

A4 – Project / Task Organization

Nonpoint source (NPS) pollution reduction tracking data is generated by a coordinated effort of DCR and other agency staff. The DCR database administrator is responsible for administration of the Agricultural Cost Share database to include computer code development and modification and quality assurance (QA) of the cost share data. The DCR NPS modeling and data coordinator is responsible for the preparation of the annual report to EPA-CBPO for inclusion in watershed model runs of annual progress. The DCR data management analyst assists the NPS modeling and data coordinator and the database administrator in compiling and organizing the data by managing the NPS BMP tracking database and providing overall database expertise. The eight DCR regional conservation district coordinators (CDCs) provide quality

assurance functions by reviewing the data generated by the SWCD's they work with and by going on spot checks of installed BMPs.

The DCR Conservation Programs Manager serves as the quality assurance officer and is in an independent unit from those generating the data. The Conservation Programs Manager and staff in the grants section of the DCR-DSM are responsible for maintaining the official approved Quality Assurance Project Plan. Organization charts showing lines of authority and reporting responsibilities are provided in the Appendix #2.

A5 – Problem Definition and Background

The project objectives are to fulfill the reporting requirements of the EPA-CBPO for the Virginia Chesapeake Bay Implementation Grant by supplying annual NPS BMP implementation data. This data is provided to EPA-CBPO for inclusion in the annual watershed model progress evaluations on or before December 31 of each year or as otherwise stipulated in the grant documents. The expected confidence that the BMP implementation data reported to EPA-CBPO is very high in regards to the BMPs being actually installed to specifications in the locations reported to EPA-CBPO. The EPA-CBPO requested this document for their understanding of the various sources of nonpoint source BMP data within and among jurisdictions as well as any analysis done by the jurisdictions prior to submission to EPA-CBPO.

A6 – Project / Task Description

The project includes nonpoint source data collection and compilation activities. A full description of the quality assurance activities performed annually is included in the following sections. The environmental data produced from this project is used by the EPA-CBPO to project NPS reductions of nutrients and sediment via implementation of NPS best management practices

(BMPs) within the Chesapeake Bay drainage of Virginia. This project also includes the quality assurance measures relevant to samples and laboratory procedures used in the development of nutrient management plans. For NPS BMP implementation levels, the project is considered ongoing since reporting to the EPA-CBPO office is required annually. DCR reports annual BMP implementation only once, the first year of the total lifespan of the practice. All non annual BMPs are accumulated by EPA for annual progress runs. To the extent BMPs are reported by the Virginia Department of Forestry and the Virginia Department of Health to DCR, silvicultural and on-site septic BMP data will be included in the reporting. These BMPs are included in the BMP Crosswalk for the NEIEN mapping report in Appendix 2.

A7 – Quality Objectives and Criteria

The EPA-CBPO is responsible for the planning and design aspects of the use of the NPS data provided by DCR in the model runs. Details regarding the systematic planning process used to plan and design the study for this data requirement should be addressed to the EPA-CBPO. Details on the quality of data provided by DCR are included in the following sections.

All BMPs completed must be certified as complete and meeting appropriate VACS and NRCS standards prior to the issuance of any state cost share or tax credits. Each year a spot check process is accomplished where five percent (5%) of the BMPs completed in the last program year and 5% of BMPs still with their lifespan are visited and assessed to assure they are being properly utilized and maintained. Any BMP found out of compliance with specifications is noted and the Soil and Water Conservation District follow the procedures in the Ag. BMP manual Spot check Procedures Overview section (Pg. I-75) and Practice Failure section (Pg. I-24) if appropriate. Participants that do not maintain practices or will not bring the practice up to specification and standards are expected to return on a pro rata share basis any cost share and tax

credits authorized by the district. Analyses of spot check summary reports from Conservation District Coordinators consistently show that over ninety percent (91%) of the BMPs spot checked are found to be in compliance including some practices that may be in the tenth year of a ten year lifespan.

A8 – Special Training Certifications

Details regarding specialized training and certifications for DCR NPS programs are provided in Section IV, Personnel Qualifications and Training of the *Quality Management Plan: Virginia Nonpoint Source Pollution Management Program* (Virginia DCR, 2010). Each new program year, training sessions are held to discuss any revisions to the Agricultural BMP Cost-Share program. Any revisions to the Agricultural BMP technical specifications and program policies are reflected in the Agricultural BMP Cost-Share Tracking Program revisions each program year. Training sessions and workshops are provided on the Agricultural BMP Tracking Program application with special emphasis on any revisions or improvements to the application. These trainings help maintain data quality by ensuring that the Soil and Water Conservation District (District) personnel entering data into the application are properly trained on how to use the application, and that the input of data is uniform and correct. The trainings emphasize the importance of quality data and data reporting. The CDCs and Richmond Central Office staff also offer Agricultural BMP Cost-Share Helpdesk support to address specific questions and data concerns. This helps maintain data quality by ensuring that the Agricultural BMP technical specifications and program policies are interpreted properly. Furthermore, guidelines, policies and training aides are available for reference on the VADCR website. A specific presentation entitled “*ROLES & RESPONSIBILITIES FOR DELIVERY OF VIRGINIA AGRICULTURAL BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES COST SHARE PROGRAM (VACS) For District Directors*

& District Staff” is at

http://www.dcr.virginia.gov/stormwater_management/documents/csroles.pdf.

District conservation specialist personnel typically have agricultural experience or educational backgrounds and over time gain job approval authority through the United States Department of Agriculture’s (USDA) Natural Resource Conservation Service (NRCS) training program. Agricultural BMPs implemented require the signature of the District conservation specialist, who is required to have job approval authority on that agricultural BMP type, certifying that the BMPs was implemented according to the applicable technical specifications.

A9 – Documentation of Records

Each District’s cost-share data is entered and maintained in a centralized enterprise database assessable via a secured web-based interface. DCR and other agency data are appended to the data tables needed to supply data to the NEIEN schemas and are the transmitted via established NEIEN protocols for inclusion in the annual progress run input deck.

Group B – Data Generation and Acquisition

Sections B1 through B8 of this QAPP pertain to samples collected for developing Virginia nutrient management plans. Nutrient management plans are prepared to indicate how primary nutrients are to be managed on farm fields and other lands for crop production and in ways, which protect groundwater and surface water from excessive nutrient enrichment.

Laboratories approved by DCR perform soil test and manure sample analysis, and pre-sidedress soil nitrate tests are conducted as a field procedure. Soil test analysis includes information on soil fertility levels for phosphorus and potassium, and pH levels. Manure test analysis includes percentage of moisture, total nitrogen or total Kjeldahl nitrogen, *ammonium nitrogen*, total phosphorus, and total potassium. The pre-sidedress nitrate test is a procedure used to determine soil nitrate-nitrogen levels at a specific time during a corn crop growing season. Sections B1 through B8 below are completed as relevant for each of these three types of samples.

B1 – Sampling Process Design (Experimental Design)

Soil test samples. The design strategy for nutrient management soil test samples is found in Virginia's *Nutrient Management Training and Certification Regulations, 4 VAC 5-15, § 10.1 – 104.2* of the Code of Virginia (Effective: January 11, 2006). Soil analysis is required for each field at least once every three years to determine the soil fertility and pH, and to update the nutrient management plan. The excerpt below is from the "Required nutrient management plan procedures" section of the regulations.

.... Soil analysis results shall be dated no more than three years prior to the beginning date of the nutrient management plan. A single composite soil sample should represent an

area up to approximately 20 acres. Fields such as those common to strip cropping may be combined when soils, previous cropping history, and soil fertility are similar....

Manure samples. Manure samples are collected from specific operations in order to accurately assess the nutrient concentrations for the purpose of calculating manure application rates to supply crop nutrient needs. Manure samples are collected for laboratory analysis in order to determine the exact nutrient content. Manure analysis is recommended before field application until a baseline nutrient content is established for the specific manure type on the corresponding farm operation. After a baseline nutrient content is established, a manure analysis is recommended at least once every three years for dry or semisolid manures, and at least once every year for liquid manures. The analysis determines the appropriate rate of animal manures to apply based on the nutrient needs of various crops, soil types, and other production factors.

Soil nitrate test. The pre-sidedress soil nitrate tests involve field sampling and field analysis of soil nitrate levels found in the top 12 inches of soil. The sample is taken when corn is approximately 12 inches in height. The amount of nitrate-nitrogen in the soil sample is a representative index of the plant-available nitrogen that will mineralize from soil organic matter. Recommendations for sidedress nitrogen fertilizer rates applied to corn at the ~ 12 to 24 inch growth state can be modified depending on the level of nitrate-nitrogen found in the soil. Certified nutrient management specialists use these tests to modify top dressing or side dressing application rates of nitrogen in accordance with the *Virginia Nutrient Management Standards and Criteria (October 2005)*.

The soil nitrate test is a field procedure and is not performed by a laboratory. Past research data used to calibrate the soil nitrate tests, for both lab tests and various field test kits, was not conclusive for readings below 21 ppm of nitrate-nitrogen in soils. Above this level, the

data statistically justified that no crop responses to additional nitrogen was expected. This may limit the use of the procedure in certain instances (i.e. for readings before 21 ppm) the test is used primarily to identify fields, which need no additional nitrogen, and is a reliable predictor in this setting. Recommendations for nitrogen sidedress application rates for fields with 20 or less ppm is based the soil nitrate test results and guidance provided on page 64 of the *Virginia Nutrient Management Standards and Criteria (October 2005)*. Use of the test results when soils are found to be at 21 ppm or greater does result in significant nitrogen use reductions by farmers, so targeted use of the kits is essential to Chesapeake Bay and statewide nutrient reduction efforts.

B2 – Sampling Methods

Soil test samples. The sampling method including data collection procedures to be followed for soil testing samples is found in Virginia’s *Nutrient Management Training and Certification Regulations, 4 VAC 5-15, § 10.1 – 104.2* of the Code of Virginia (Effective: January 11, 2006). The excerpt below is from the “Required nutrient management plan procedures” section of the regulations.

Representative soil sample cores shall be obtained from the soil surface to a depth of four inches (0-4”) for fields that have not been tilled within the past three years, and from the soil surface to a depth of six inches (0-6”) for fields, which are tilled or have been tilled within the past three years. Soil sampling of fields based on the subfield grids or management zones may be utilized....

Manure samples. It is important that representative samples are obtained. Accepted manure-sampling techniques are outlined in Chapter 9, “Manure as a Nutrient Source”, in the

Mid-Atlantic Regional Water Program's February 2006 publication, *The Mid-Atlantic Nutrient Management Handbook* (MAWP 06-02). Detailed sampling and handling procedures for semi-solid lot manure, liquid manure slurry, lagoon liquid, and boiler or turkey litter are provided on pages 212-213 of this publication. The DCR provides sampling bags and bottles for collection of manure samples. Samples are collected in zip-lock bags for solid samples and plastic bottles for liquid samples. Each sample is less than 1 pint.

Soil nitrate test. The pre-sidedress soil nitrate test is used on select fields where organic sources of nitrogen rates have been applied in accordance with the appropriate timing criteria to supply nitrogen to the present corn crop. Samples are taken when corn height is 10 to 15 inches tall at the whorl as it stands, not to the tallest part of the plant. The sample collection procedure involves taking 10 to 20 cores from across the field to a depth of 12 inches. Samples are taken between rows to avoid starter fertilizer bands and areas where roots have depleted nitrogen. The samples are combined, mixed, and crumbled and then a test kit is used to determine the soil nitrate-nitrogen concentration.

B3 – Sample Handling and Custody

Soil test samples. Currently the DCR approved soil test laboratories that are correlated to the Virginia Tech soil test lab using the Mehlich III procedure for phosphorus analysis include A & L Agricultural Laboratories, Brookside Laboratories, and Spectrum Analytical Laboratories. Waters Agricultural Laboratories uses the Mehlich I procedure and therefore the phosphorus soil test results can be interpreted the same as Virginia Tech phosphorus soil test results. Additional details on required soil test procedures that related to handling are in the sections that follow.

Manure samples. Manure storage and handling facilities and equipment results in moderate variability in both manure consistency and actual rate of material applied. Accepted manure sampling, handling and storage techniques are outlined on pages 212-213 in *The Mid-Atlantic Nutrient Management Handbook* (February 2006). Currently the DCR approved laboratory for analysis of manure samples is the Clemson University Agricultural Service Laboratory (Lab). The Lab must maintain a maximum sample turn around time of 12 working days measured from the date a sample is received by the laboratory until the complete analysis is mailed out. If unforeseen circumstances are expected to delay sample analysis beyond the 12-day time, the project manager of the DCR must be notified.

All samples submitted to the Lab by certified nutrient management planners must include a sample submission form. The Lab must log each sample with a unique lab number, adding this information to the sample submission form. One sub sample of each sample must be stored in a refrigerator at 5 degrees C and a second sub sample must be weighed, dried at 80 degrees C overnight, then weighed and ground through a Tecator Mill to pass through a 0.5 mm screen. The moisture will be determined from the weighings. The laboratory staff involved in the sample analysis and their roles includes: laboratory technician logs and grinds the samples, a lab chemist prepares and analyzes samples and lab director reviews and sends analysis reports.

The sample results are mailed to the individual listed on the form if a mailing address is included. If an email address is listed on the form, an email notification will be sent so that the results can be viewed on the web. DCR has access to all results. The Lab must notify DCR by email to seek pre-approval if any single farm appears to have submitted more than two samples that arrive at the Lab within a day unless the samples were submitted by DCR staff.

B4 – Analytical Methods

Soil test samples. The analytical method to be followed for soil test samples is found in Virginia's *Nutrient Management Training and Certification Regulations, 4 VAC 5-15, § 10.1 – 104.2* of the Code of Virginia (Effective: January 11, 2006). Soil test analysis includes information on soil fertility levels for phosphorus and potassium, and pH levels. The excerpt below regarding the required analytical method is from the "Required nutrient management plan procedures" section of the regulations.

.... Representative soil analysis results for fields shall be determined by using standard soil sampling and analysis methods according to *Methods of Soil Analysis, Part 3, Chemical Methods, 1996* utilizing the Mehlich I extraction procedure for phosphorus or other methods and laboratories approved by the department and correlated to Mehlich I and utilizing correlation procedures contained in Virginia Nutrient Management Standards and Criteria, revised October 2005.

Manure samples. Manure test analysis includes percentage of moisture, total nitrogen or total Kjeldahl, ammonium nitrogen, total phosphorus, total potassium, calcium, magnesium, sulfur, zinc, manganese, copper, aluminum and sodium. Manure test results must be reported on an as sampled basis in pounds per ton for dry manure and pounds per 1,000 gallons for liquid manure. Manure analysis must be performed using laboratory methods consistent with *Recommended Methods of Manure Analysis, 2003* publication # A3769 of the University of Wisconsin. Guidelines from this publication and additional analytical methods and reporting requirements are described below.

- a. Results will be reported on an “as-is” basis and also calculated to lbs/ton for solid samples of lbs/1000 gallons for liquid samples.
- b. Laboratory Procedure 3.2 Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen will determine TKN for liquid manure.
- c. Laboratory Procedure 3.3 Total Nitrogen by Combustion will determine nitrogen for solid and semi-solid manure (greater than 15% solids).
- d. Laboratory Procedure 4.1 Ammonium-N Determination by Distillation will determine ammonium nitrogen, except that KCl will be used as a reagent instead of MgO.
- e. Laboratory Procedure 5.4 Nitric and Hydrochloric Acid Digestion with Peroxide will determine Phosphorus, Potassium, Calcium, Magnesium, Zinc, Copper, Manganese, Sulfur, and Sodium, and then analyzed on inductively coupled plasma (ICP).

Laboratories are required to provide a suitable report approved by DCR that utilizes the mineralization rates and ammonium nitrogen availability coefficients, which have been agreed to by the DCR as currently listed in the Virginia Nutrient Management Handbook. The laboratory will print expected nitrogen availability based on immediate incorporation and no incorporation along with the manure analysis results on the approved report. The initials of the appropriate lab analyst must be printed on the approved report for the nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, calcium and magnesium results as well as a brief reference to method of analysis for those parameters.

Soil nitrate tests. Merckoquant 10020 Nitrachek meters are utilized to read color metric test strips which are exposed to soil solutions extracted with 0.025 molar aluminum sulfate-solution. The test meters are standardized daily using a 10 ppm nitrate-nitrogen standard solution. The extracted soil solution is analyzed at least two times to ensure consistent results.

B5 – Quality Control

Manure samples. The DCR requires that the laboratory used for manure samples hold a Manure Testing Laboratory Certification by the Minnesota Department of Agriculture. The laboratory is also requested to participate in sample exchange programs including: North American Proficiency Testing Program, Manure Analysis Program, National Forage Testing Association, and Association of American Feed Control Officials, Inc. All analysis reports of results must include the initials of the lab analyst that performed the analysis for percent moisture, total nitrogen, ammonium nitrogen, total phosphorus, calcium, and magnesium. The laboratories are required to provide DCR with quarterly and annual reports including a summary of the total manure samples analyzed, and average test values for all parameters analyzed each quarter for each category of manure type.

B6 – Instrument / Equipment Testing, Inspection, and Maintenance

The individual laboratories performing soil test and manure analysis are responsible for meeting appropriate operating standards for equipment testing, inspection, and maintenance.

Soil nitrate tests. Merckoquant Nitrate Test, test strips are used for the detection and semi-quantitative determination of nitrate ions. Unopened Merckoquant Nitrate Test packs are stored in a refrigerator. After opening, the kits are stored in a dry and cool area, but not in a refrigerator to avoid too much atmospheric moisture condensation in the tube. Test strips are dipped into the solution for 1 second to allow the reaction zones to be fully wetted. The test strip is removed and excess liquid shaken off. After 1 minute has passed the test strip is compared to the reaction zones on the color scale provided on the test kit tube / container. All field nitrate test kits are carefully maintained in order to obtain reliable results. The test meters are checked daily

is the responsibility of the respective agency. DCR is not in a position to address the uncertainty or the quality assurance process related to other agencies' data collected, managed, and reported or accessible from the internet. All of the referenced NPS data is planned to be included in the annual data call and reported as per established NEIEN protocols.

Agricultural BMPs. Data in the Agricultural Cost Share database originates from the 47 Soil and Water Conservation Districts and reflects the implementation of Agricultural and Conservation Reserve Enhancement Program (CREP) Best Management Practices installed and funded through cost-share, state tax credits, and CREP incentive programs. Specifications for all DCR approved BMPs are in the *Virginia Agricultural BMP Manual*. Each District has Internet access to a secured server to access the Agricultural BMP Tracking Program. The DCR central office staff maintains and updates the program data reporting for each program year. The Agricultural BMP Tracking Program is used to track and report data associated with BMP implementation. The tracking program application and database are stored on remote servers accessed through the Internet to allow for all information associated with BMP implementation to be entered and maintained in an enterprise database. The database web application provides printable contract forms to obtain participant signatures. These paper files are archived by the District and retained for three years beyond the lifespan of the practice.

Nutrient management. Agricultural nutrient management plan implementation and urban nutrient management acres are supplied by the DCR nutrient management staff, which includes plans developed by certified private nutrient management planners as well as DCR's certified nutrient management specialists. As required in Virginia's *Nutrient Management Training and Certification Regulations* all certified nutrient management planners must submit an annual activity report including number of nutrient management plans completed; acreage covered by

plans and planned acreage by county and state watershed codes; breakdown of planned acreage by cropland, hay, pasture, specialty crops, and turf/landscape by county and watershed code; and other information indicating number of practices facilitated by the planner such as manure testing and use of the pre-sidedress nitrate test.

Erosion and sediment control. DCR's Urban Programs staff compiles the erosion and sediment control acreage for each jurisdiction that reports this data to DCR central office. Currently DCR does not track installation of other urban BMPs such as for storm water management (SWM).

Forestry. Virginia Department of Forestry (VDOP) provides the acreage of harvested forestland by county or jurisdiction and gives an acreage or percentage of the total harvested acreage that has the appropriate forest harvesting BMPs applied.

Abandoned mine land reclamation. The Virginia Department of Mines, Minerals, and Energy (DMME) are requested to report acreage of abandoned mine land reclaimed each year by jurisdiction according to where the reclamation occurred.

B10.1 – Data Management: Agricultural BMP Cost-Share Data

Automated quality assurance. Beginning July 1, 2009 at the start of the 2010 state fiscal year, the Agricultural BMP tracking program was redesigned and implemented as a web-based application using MS SQL Server. Highly relational database schema and application logic, coded in ASP.NET, allows very strict control of data entry to ensure data quality. At the start of each program year, the database is setup to restrict entries to allowable practices for allowable funding sources in specific geographic areas. BMP installations cannot be marked as completed and paid without a minimum set of fields entered. A mapping component, utilizing ArcGIS

Server, incorporates recent high resolution aerial imagery that helps ensure the quality of spatial attributes as well.

Regional review. Data in the agricultural cost-share database originates from the 47 Soil and Water Conservation Districts. Data entries from Districts are initially screened by a DCR regional office conservation district coordinator (CDC) for a local knowledge review. After the end of each quarter, District's records are reviewed by the assigned CDC for completeness and accuracy of financial reporting. Any irregularities are brought to the attention of the appropriate District staff for corrections.

B10.2 – Data Management: Nutrient Management Data

Nutrient management implementation and urban nutrient management acres are not derived from the agricultural cost share database. This data is supplied separately by the DCR nutrient management staff and is reported to the data management staff in various formats: MS Word documents, MS Excel spreadsheets, and MS Access database files. The data is at the 12-digit hydrologic unit spatial scale for agricultural nutrient management and at the county or jurisdiction scale for urban nutrient management. The nutrient management data is mapped to the established NEIEN XML schemas and reported via established NEIEN protocols to CBPO.

B10.3 – Data Management: Erosion & Sediment Control Data

DCR's Urban Programs staff compiles the erosion and sediment control acreage for each jurisdiction that reports this data to DCR. The jurisdiction or county spatial data is planned to be included in the NEIEN XML schemas and reported to CBPO via established NEIEN protocols by DCR.

B10.4 – Data Management: External Data

Natural Resource Conservation Service. It is expected that NRCS will provide DCR with their annual data to be examined for potential duplicate records. DCR will conduct a duplicate records analysis and provide the results to NRCS and USGS. USGS has an agreement with USDA FSA and NRCS to assemble the USDA data and supply it to EPA-CBPO via the established NEIEN protocols.

Virginia Department of Forestry. It is envisioned that VDOF will provide the acreage of harvested forestland by county or jurisdiction and gives an acreage or percentage of the total harvested acreage that has the appropriate forest harvesting BMPs applied to DCR annually. This data is put into a format that can be converted to the NEIEN schemas and then reported as per established NEIEN protocols.

Virginia Department of Mines, Minerals, and Energy. DMME reports acreage of abandoned mine land reclaimed each year by jurisdiction that the reclamation occurred (county spatial data). This data is put into a format that can be converted to the NEIEN schemas and then reported as per established NEIEN protocols, by DCR.

B10.5 – Data Management: Reporting to EPA-CBPO

The Agricultural BMP Cost Share database is queried, for a given state fiscal year (July 1 – June 30), for BMP installations reportable to EPA-CBPO. Information from the cost share database is combined with other tables used to map BMP installations to the NEIEN XML schema. The resulting XML file is transmitted to EPA via established protocols.

The agricultural cost share database has 4 records of spatial data. The location of the BMP is recorded at the county, hydrologic unit (12-digit level), USGS 7.5 minute topographic quadrangle, and latitude and longitude point coordinates in NAD83. Besides the spatial location

data contained in the agricultural cost share database each BMP installation has one or more measures.

BMP installation measures capture information such as the linear feet of stream bank excluded or number of animal waste systems installed on a given farm. This data is part of what is included in the NEIEN XML submission to EPA-CBPO.

Group C – Assessment and Oversight

C1 – Assessments and Response Actions

BMP spot checks. A primary form of QA of the BMP data occurs during the spot check process, where records are randomly pulled from the database and the practices described are visited to assure that the BMPs that were recorded have actually been installed and are in compliance with the BMP's specifications.

Number of BMPs. It is important to note that the Agricultural Cost Share Tracking Program can track voluntary BMP installations if the District personnel are willing to verify the BMP meets specifications and report on the installations. However, at this time there are only 202 of over 71,000 records of voluntary BMP installations. This figure does not adequately reflect the actual level of voluntary agricultural BMP installations. Therefore, the BMPs reported to any EPA program based on the Agricultural Cost Share Tracking Program are conservative overall estimates of agricultural BMP implementation in the Commonwealth of Virginia.

A Virginia Polytechnic Institute & State University report, *Factors Influencing Implementation of Best Management Practices in Virginia's Chesapeake Bay Drainage Basin*, confirmed that 81% of farmers in the Chesapeake Bay watershed implemented BMPs, 31% implemented BMPs using cost-share funds, and 75% implemented BMPs without cost-share

assistance. The overlapping 6% is associated with farmers reporting both cost-share and voluntary implementation of BMPs. This report also showed that on an average, each farmer adopted four non-cost-share BMPs for every cost-share BMP implemented in the basin (Mostaghimi, Lowery, Gupta, McClellan, 1996). A follow-up report, *An Assessment of the Quality of Agricultural Best Management Practices Implemented in the James River Basin of Virginia*, shows that there is no statistically significant difference between the quality of cost-share and non cost-share practices. This report concludes “non cost-share practices should be treated equally when accounting for NPS pollution reductions due to BMPs in watershed management and computer modeling” (Cunningham, 2003).

Assessment responsibility. In early spring of each year, after the 4th quarter (program year) data is processed for the previous program year (July 1 through June 30), the Agricultural BMP implementation records of the practices funded through the Virginia Agricultural BMP cost-share incentives program (VACS) and Conservation Reserve Enhancement Program (CREP) are randomly selected for spot check during the following summer and fall. The District and CDC typically schedule the spot check visits during the parts of the year when the producers are not so busy. Mostly structural agricultural BMPs are eligible for spot checks. CREP installations are also spot checked by USDA-NRCS staff under guidelines developed and followed by USDA NRCS and FSA personnel.

Frequency and type of assessment. At least five percent of the practices installed during the previous program year are spot-checked, as well as another five percent of all practices within their lifespan. Eligible BMPs are randomly selected for spot check. A spot check data table is generated and a spot check form printed for each practice selected. The District conservation specialist and the DCR CDC cannot remove BMPs from the spot check table.

However, based on local knowledge they can, and frequently do, add additional BMPs or sites to the spot check table. The CDC and the District conservation specialist (sometimes accompanied by District directors or Richmond Central office staff) notify the producers of the spot check visits and then go to the respective agricultural BMP implementation sites and inspect the installation. The staff then fills out the spot check form for that BMP and sends a copy to the DCR Richmond Central office in the late fall.

Spot check status and actions. The spot check BMP statuses are: *OK, Not Maintained Properly, Not Functioning Properly,* and *Practice Destroyed.* A status of *OK* indicates the BMP was in technical compliance with the specific standard(s) for that BMP at the time of the spot check. A status of *Not Maintained Properly* indicates that the BMP at the time of the spot check had one or more technical defects needing to be addressed by the producer for the BMP to be fully in compliance with the specific BMP standard(s). For these status BMPs the SWCD staff issue a letter to the producer detailing the specific action(s) needed to bring the BMP installation into full compliance. If the participant is unwilling to bring the practice into compliance a request is made to refund a pro rata share of the cost-share funds received by the producer for that specific BMP installation. This provides a financial incentive for the producer to correct any defects found during the spot check process. When the participant completes the maintenance required to bring the practice into compliance, the site is revisited to confirm compliance. Participants that refuse to bring the practice into compliance or return cost-share funds are referred to the Office of the Attorney General for legal action to recover the cost-share funds.

A status of *Not Functioning Properly* indicates that the BMP was not working as designed at the time of the spot check. There are many reasons that this status might be assigned such as a spring that was developed to supply water for an off-stream watering system and due to

drought the spring has stopped flowing. These practices also receive follow up site visits to verify compliance when the reason for the *Not Functioning Properly* designation has been resolved. A status of *Practice Destroyed* are typically instances where the property has been sold and the new owner has removed the practice not knowing the linkage of the BMP to the property and the agricultural cost share program. The SWCD follows a written procedure for requesting the return of a pro rated share of the cost share funds. This calculation is based upon the number of months that the practice was functioning before receiving a Not Function Properly status when the program participant is unwilling to return the calculated pro rated cost-share amount. Participants may have a maximum grace period of 6 months to restore the BMP to its intended function of repay the pro-rated cost share amount. After sixty additional days the delinquency is turned over the Office of the Attorney General for assistance in reclaiming the state funds.

A spot check summary of the PY 2010 program year is included as an appendix to this report.

C2 – Reports to Management

Compiling results. A spot check report is entered into the Agricultural BMP Tracking Program by district staff for each BMP installation visited. This information can be used to filter data extraction. Of the 70 BMPs tracked in the Agricultural BMP Cost-Share Tracking Program database 55 or 79% are considered spot check eligible. Of the 70 BMPs tracked in the Agricultural BMP Cost-Share database 30 or 43% are recognized and modeled by EPA-CBPO. Of these 30 EPA-CBPO recognized BMPs 23 or 77% are spot check eligible.

Group D – Data Validation and Usability

D1 – Data Review, Verification, and Validation

Acceptance criteria. Criteria for accepting or rejecting agricultural BMP cost-share practices for the resulting data can be found under the individual practices in the most recent version of the *Virginia Agricultural BMP Manual*. Districts are responsible for the verification of all installations paid for through the Agricultural Cost Share incentive program. For example even though cover crops are not considered a spot check eligible BMP before a participant can receive funds for this practice the District conservation specialist verifies planting dates at or near the time of planting and verifies crop plant density at time of crop kill dates. These verification inspections insure that the farmer is planting the crop in a timely manner and that the crop was of sufficient density to provide the desired water quality benefit. This is a recent modification in the tracking of this BMP and will allow DCR to report to EPA-CBPO acreage of cover crops as early or late planted. Additional details regarding the verification and validation criteria for individual BMPs can be found under the previous section C1 – Assessments and Response Actions.

BMP verification and validation. Agricultural BMPs implemented require the signature of the producer and the District conservation specialist (who is required to have job approval authority on that Agricultural BMP type), certifying that the BMPs were implemented according to the applicable technical specifications. The signature form is a legal document that, for structural BMPs, typically requires maintenance and proper usage of the implemented BMPs during the design life spans. These are also typically the types of Agricultural BMPs that are later eligible for spot checks during the design life span time window.

Tracking program QA. The specific types of QA conducted on the Agricultural Cost Share Tracking Program and resulting cost-share BMP data include:

- BMP location coordinate pair and other spatially determined fields are populated using a web-based mapping application. District personnel locate BMP installation using a variety of base maps including; USGS 7.5 minute quads, recent high resolution aerial imagery and high resolution road centerlines.
- Many fields of data are populated from drop down lists so that those items are uniformly entered (for example, County names, Agricultural BMP Practice codes, Funding Source Types [Program Types], Practice status, Animal Type [on applicable Agricultural BMPs], etc.).
- Each District personnel login is associated with a particular District and data entry is limited to funding sources, practices and other variables approved for each District.
- The cost-share payment amount approved by the District board cannot be greater than the estimated cost-share payment.
- The cost-share payment amount cannot be greater than the approved cost-share payment amount (which in turn, can not be greater than the estimated cost-share payment).

D2 – Verification and Validation Methods

General content regarding data verification and validation is provided in section C1, Assessments and Response Actions. Information pertaining to the validation of data based on the tracking program is provided above in section D1, Data Review, Verification, and Validation.

These sections identify who is responsible for verifying and validating the different components of the cost-share data.

Report of Spot Check Results. At any time, DCR can generate a report of the spot check results for a specific time period. Table 2 in Appendix 1 details the analysis of the 2010 spot check records. Based on this analysis DCR infers that over 91% of all BMPs installed via the Virginia Agricultural Cost-Share Program whether reported to EPA-CBPO or not are fully meeting design and maintenance specifications for the period July 1, 2009 through June 30, 2010.

D3 – Reconciliation with User Requirements

There are various factors related to possible uncertainty during the collection of historic NPS BMP implementation data from the 47 SWCDs and historically the majority of data quality issues have been data input errors with these errors primarily being the entering of invalid data in the tracking program. The redesigned tracking program, implemented July 2009, has a great number of features to ensure the quality of data entered and avoid common types of data entry errors that the previous tracking application could not address.

Another source of potential uncertainty in the historic data is in the field collection of the data. An example of this type of uncertainty is variable interpretations on where to collect representative location coordinates. This arises in part due to the type of BMP(s) being installed on various farms. Cover crops may have coordinates taken at a representative point near or in the field(s) where the crops are planted such as the middle of the farm or middle of the individual fields. Stream exclusion fencing may have these coordinates collected at a central point along a linear feature (the fence) near the stream or could be collected in the upland pasture if rotational

grazing of the upland acres benefiting from the exclusion/rotational grazing system BMP is installed. These types of collection uncertainty are significant if very fine scale modeling is of concern since they could induce error of plus or minus tens to hundreds of meters between the BMPs actual location coordinates and that of those reported. These types of inaccuracies cannot be programmed away with any soft ware type fixes.

It is possible that a conservation specialist with a SWCD picks a point of convenience for collecting the data (the center of the farm, near the front gate, at the farmhouse) that is not actually reflective of the individual BMP installation point. For a practice such as stream exclusion without rotational grazing a SWCD conservation specialist according to the BMP manual is required to report linear feet of streambank excluded not the linear feet of fencing installed. However, it is possible that the fence is what is measured and reported. These types of collection uncertainty are significant if medium scale modeling is of concern since they could induce errors of plus or minus hundreds to thousands of meters between the BMPs actual location coordinates and that of those reported.

For all data collected for program years 2009 and forward utilizing the location selection associated with the mapping function of the new tracking program will significantly reduce locational inaccuracies. For the EPA-CBPO phase 5.x watershed model the level of uncertainty described above should be acceptable since the confidence that the data exists within a given watershed model segment is very high due to the very large scale of the models segmentation and the very high probability that the reported coordinates are valid for the topographic quadrangle reported and that those quadrangles are in a given hydrologic unit or county contained within a model segment. Additionally, DCR provides training on correct data

collection and input in order to minimize this type of uncertainty. The previous sections provide details on the multiple quality assurance measures that DCR undergoes to develop, track, and report quality BMP implementation data to the citizens of Virginia, Executive and Legislative branches of state government, and to the EPA.

References

- Code of Virginia. (2006, January 11). § 10.1-104.2 *Nutrient Management Training and Certification Regulations*. 4 VAC 5-15. Commonwealth of Virginia: Virginia Department of Conservation and Recreation. These regulations are available on the DCR website, <http://www.dcr.virginia.gov/documents/nmtraincertregs.pdf>
- Cunningham, J. (2003, August). *An Assessment of the Quality of Agricultural Best Management Practices in the James River Basin of Virginia*. Blacksburg, VA: Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University's Biological Systems Engineering Department.
- Haering, K. C., Evanylo, G. K. (2006, February). *The Mid-Atlantic Nutrient Management Handbook*. MAWP 06-02. Mid-Atlantic Regional Water Program: A Partnership of USDA CSREES & Land Grant Colleges and Universities.
- Mostaghimi, S., Lowery IV, J., Gupta, R., McClellan, P. (1997). *Factors Influencing Implementation of Best Management Practices in Virginia's Chesapeake Bay Drainage Basin*. Report No. CS0896. Blacksburg, VA: Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University's Biological Systems Engineering Department. Submitted to Virginia Department of Conservation and Recreation, Richmond, VA.
- Peters, J. (2003). *Recommended Methods of Manure Analysis*. A3769. Madison, WI: University of Wisconsin - Madison.


Virginia Department of Conservation and Recreation (2010 – Program Year 2011). *Virginia Agricultural BMP Manual*. Richmond, VA. [This manual is updated annually.] This manual is available on the DCR website, http://www.dcr.virginia.gov/stormwater_management/costshar.shtml.

Virginia Department of Conservation and Recreation, *SWCD Employee's Guide to Navigating the DCR Website*. http://www.dcr.virginia.gov/stormwater_management/documents/cswebnav.pdf

Virginia Department of Conservation and Recreation (2010, August 31). *Quality Management Plan: Virginia Nonpoint Source Pollution Management Program*. Richmond, VA.

Virginia Department of Conservation and Recreation (2005, October). *Virginia Nutrient Management Standards and Criteria*. Richmond, VA. This document is available on the DCR website, <http://www.dcr.virginia.gov/documents/StandardsandCriteria.pdf>

Appendix 1
Table 1



Appendix 1
Table 2
Summary of BMP Spot Check Results

Spot Check summary for PY 2010 (July 1, 2009 through June 30, 2010)

Region of VA	# of BMPs checked	# of BMPs OK	# of BMPs not maintained properly & needing re-inspection after maintenance	# of BMPs requiring Additional Follow up
Abington	58	45	13	0
Christiansburg	71	67	4	5
Richmond	42	35	7	1
Staunton	58	46	8	0
Suffolk	42	42	0	1
Tappahannock	64	63	1	0
Warrenton	78	71	7	0

Totals	355	324	27	7
% of Total Inspected		91.27%	8.33%	25.93%

Appendix 2
Excerpt Best Management Practices Crosswalk for the NEIEN
(Additional Microsoft Excel Workbook submitted with final electronic QAPP document)

VACS Practice Code	VACS Practice Type	VACS Practice New in PY	VACS Report to CBP?	VACS Practice Name	DCR Nutrient Mngmt	VDH BMP Name	VDOF BMP Name	NEIEN BMP Name	Federal Name if Reportable (ST = state only)	NEIEN BMP Measure Name	NEIEN BMP Component Name (1)	NEIEN BMP Component (1) Measure Name(1)
CCI-CNT	Maintenance	2009	Y	Continuous No Till Planting Systems				Continuous No Till Planting Systems	Residue and Tillage Management, No Till (329)		No-Till	Area Treated
CCI-FRB-1	Maintenance	2011		Continuing Conservation Initiative Forested Riparian Buffer				Riparian Forest Buffer			Riparian Forest Buffer	Area Maintained
CCI-HRB-1	Maintenance	2011		Continuing Conservation Initiative Herbaceous Riparian Buffer				Riparian Herbaceous Buffer			Stream Buffer	Area Maintained
CCI-SE-1	Maintenance	2011		Continuing Conservation Initiative Stream Exclusion				Stream Exclusion			Streambank Protection	Stream Bank Length
CP-21	CREP Rent			NWSG Buffer on Cropland				Grass Filter Strip Rent			Grass Filter Strip	Area Planted
CP-22	CREP Rent			CREP Riparian Forest Buffer				Riparian Buffer Rent				
CP-22B	CREP Rent			CREP Riparian 100' Wide and Wider Buffer Bonus				CREP Riparian 100' Wide and Wider Buffer Bonus				
CP-23	CREP Rent			CREP Wetland Restoration				Wetland Restoration Rent				
CP-23B	CREP Rent			CREP Wetland Restoration Bonus				CREP Wetland Restoration Bonus				
CP-29	CREP Rent			CREP Wildlife Habitat Buffer				CREP Wildlife Habitat Buffer Rent				

CRFR-3	CREP		Y	CREP Riparian Forest Buffer	Riparian Forest Buffer	Riparian Forest Buffer (391)	Riparian Forest Buffer	Buffer Area
CRLF-1	CREP			CREP Buffer Length Recording	CREP Buffer Length Recording		Stream Buffer	Buffer Length
CRSL-6	CREP	2010	Y	CREP Stream Exclusion	Stream Exclusion	ST	Stream Buffer	Stream Bank Length
CRWP-2	CREP	2010	Y	CREP Stream Protection	Streambank Protection (Fencing)	Streambank and Shoreline Protection (580)	Streambank Protection	Stream Bank Length
CRWQ-1	CREP	2010	Y	CREP Herbaceous Riparian Buffers	Grass Filter Strip	Filter Strip (393)	Grass Filter Strip	Area Planted
CRWQ-6B	CREP	2010	Y	CREP Wetland Restoration	Wetland Restoration	Wetland Restoration(657)	Wetland Restoration	Area Restored
CRWQ-11	CREP	2010		CREP Agricultural Sinkhole Protection	CREP Agricultural Sinkhole Protection		Vegetated Buffer	Buffer Area
FR-1	Basic		Y	Reforestation of Erodible Crop and Pastureland	Reforestation of Erodible Crop and Pastureland	(ST)	Tree Planting	Area Planted
FR-3	Basic		Y	Woodland Buffer Filter Area	Woodland Buffer Filter Area	(ST)	Riparian Forest Buffer	Area Planted
FR-4	Basic		Y	Woodland Erosion Stabilization	Forest Harvesting Practices	(ST)	Forest Harvesting Practices	Acres
LE-1T	TMDL Maintenance	2009	Y	Livestock Exclusion With Riparian Buffers	Livestock Exclusion With Riparian Buffers	(ST)	Area Improved and Protected	Stream Bank Length
LE-2	Basic	2009		Livestock Exclusion With Reduced Setback Southern Rivers	Livestock Exclusion With Reduced Setback	(ST)	Streambank Protection	Stream Bank Length
LE-2T	TMDL	2009	Y	Livestock Exclusion With Reduced Setback	Livestock Exclusion With Reduced Setback	(ST)	Streambank Protection	Stream Bank Length
NM-1	Contractual			Nutrient Management Plan Writing and Revisions	Nutrient Management Plan Writing		Area Planned	

		Nutrient Management Plan Implementation and Record Keeping		Nutrient Management Plan Implementation and Record Keeping		Area Treated	
NM-2	Contractual						
NM-3	Basic		Sidedress Application of Nitrogen on Corn	Sidedress Application of Nitrogen on Corn		Area Treated	
NM-3B	Basic		Manure Application to Corn Using Pre-app. Nitrate Test	Manure Application to Corn Using Pre-app. Nitrate Test		Area Treated	
NM-4	Basic		Late Winter Split Application of N on Small Grains	Late Winter Split Application of N on Small Grains		Area Treated	
RB-1	TMDL	Y	Septic Tank Pumpout	Septic Tank Pumpout (ST)		Septic Tank Pumpouts	No. Systems
RB-2	TMDL	Y	Connection to Public Sewer	Public Sewer Connections		Public Sewer Connections	Hook Ups
RB-3	TMDL		Septic Tank System Repair	Septic Repair		Septic Repair	Systems Repaired
RB-4	TMDL		Septic Tank System Installation or Replacement	Septic Install/Replacement		Septic Install/Replacement	Systems Installed or Replaced
RB-4P	TMDL	2008	Septic Tank System Installation or Replacement With Pump	Septic Tank System With Pump Replacement		Septic Install/Replacement	Systems Installed or Replaced
RB-5	TMDL		Alternative On-Site Waste Treatment Systems	Alternative Waste Treatment Systems		Alternative Waste Treatment System	Systems Installed
SE-1	Basic	Y	Vegetative Stabilization of Marsh Fringe Areas	Marsh Grass Planting (ST)		Marsh Grass Planting	Area Planted
SE-2	Tax Only		Agricultural Shoreline Stabilization	Shoreline Stabilization		Shoreline Stabilization	Area Treated
SL-1	Basic	Y	Permanent Vegetative Cover on Cropland	Permanent Vegetative Cover on Cropland (ST)		Pasture and Hay Planting	Area Planted
SL-1W	Wildlife	Y	Permanent Vegetative Cover Establishment on Cropland (Wildlife)		(ST)	Pasture and Hay Planting	Area Planted

Option)

SL-3	Basic			Stripcropping Systems				Stripcropping	Strip Area
SL-3B	Basic			Buffer Stripcropping				Stripcropping	Strip Area
SL-3W	Wildlife			Buffer Stripcropping (Wildlife Option)				Stripcropping	Strip Area
SL-4	Basic			Terrace Systems				Terrace	Terrace Length
SL-5	Basic			Diversions				Diversion	Feature Length
SL-5 (SF)	Basic			Diversions				Area of Reduced Erosion	
				Stream Exclusion With Grazing Land Management				Area Improved and Protected	Stream Bank Length
SL-6	Basic		Y				(ST)	Streambank Protection	No. Systems
SL-6 (SY)	Basic		Y	Grazing Land Protection			(ST)	Watering Facility	
SL-6 (AC)	Basic		Y	Grazing Land Protection			(ST)	Area Improved and Protected	
SL-6A	Tax Only			Small Acreage Grazing System				Streambank Protection	Stream Bank Length
SL-6AT	TMDL			Small Acreage Grazing Systems				Streambank Protection	Stream Bank Length
SL-6B	Tax, Loan		Y	Alternative Water System			(ST)	Area Improved	
				Stream Exclusion With Grazing Land Management				Area Improved and Protected	Stream Bank Length
SL-6T	TMDL	2011	Y				(ST)	Streambank Protection	Stream Bank Length

SL-7	Basic	2010	Y	Support for Extension of CREP Watering System	Extension of CREP Watering System		Area Improved		
SL-7T	TMDL	2011	Y	Support for Extension of CREP Watering System	Extension of CREP Watering System		Area Improved		
SL-8	Basic		Y	Protective Cover for Specialty Cropland	Protective Cover for Specialty Crops	(ST)		Cover Crops	Area Planted
SL-8B	Basic		Y	Small Grain Cover Crop for Nutrient and Residue Management	Small Grain Cover Crop for Nutrient Management	(ST)		Cover Crops	Area Planted
SL-8C	Contractual			Small Grain cover crop for Nutrient Management and Residue Management			Area Planned		
SL-8H	Basic	2008	Y	Harvestable Cover Crop	Cover Crops - Harvestable	(ST)		Cover Crops - Harvestable	Area Planted
SL-9		2012		Grazing Land Management					
SL-11	Basic		Y	Permanent Vegetative Cover on Critical Areas	Critical Area Planting	Critical Area Planting (342)		Critical Area Planting	Area Treated
SL-11B	Tax, Loan			Farm Road, Animal Travel Lane, Heavy Use Area Stabilization	Heavy Use Area Protection			Heavy Use Area Protection	Area Treated
SL-13	Basic			Contour Farming				Conservation Tillage	Area Treated
SL-14	Basic			Minimum Till Cropland				Conservation Tillage	Area Treated
SL-15				No-Till Cropland				No-Till	Area Treated
SL-15A	Basic		Y	Continuous No-Till System	Residue and Tillage Management, No Till	Residue and Tillage Management, No Till (329)		No-Till	Area Treated

SL-15B	Basic	2008	Continuous No-Till Forage Production System	Continuous No-Till Forage Production System	No-Till	Area Planted
SP -1			Nutrient Management Plan Writing (pilot BMP)			Area Planned
SP-2			Soil Analysis Used for Nut. Man. Plan Writing (Pilot BMP)			Area Planned
SP-2 (SY)			Soil Analysis Used for Nut. Man. Plan Writing (Pilot BMP)		Soil Analysis	Soil Tests
SP-3			Sidedress Application of N on Corn (pilot BMP)			Area Treated
SP-3B			Manure Application to Corn Using Preapp Nitrate Test (Pilot BMP)			Area Treated
SP-4			Late Winter Split Application of N on Small Grains (Pilot BMP)			Area Treated
WL-1	Wildlife	Y	Field Borders (Wildlife Option)	Field Borders/Wildlife Option	Field Border	Area Planted
WL-2	Wildlife		Idle Land Cost Share Criteria (Wildlife Option)	Idle Land/Wildlife Option		
WL-3	Wildlife		Fescue Conversion (Wildlife Option)	Fescue Conversion/Wildlife Option		
WP-1	Basic		Sediment Retention, Erosion or Water Control Structures	Sediment Retention Structures	Site Area	Structures
WP-2	Basic	Y	Stream Protection	Streambank Protection	Fence (382)	Stream Bank Length
WP-2A	Basic	Y	Streambank Stabilization	Streambank Stabilization	(ST)	Stream Bank

									Length	
WP-2B	Tax, Loan			Stream Crossing & Hardened Access				Stream Crossing	Stream Crossing	Structures
WP-2C	Tax, Loan	Y		Stream Channel Stabilization				Channel Stabilization (ST)	Channel Stabilization	Stream Bank Length
WP-2D	Tax Only			Maintenance of Stream Exclusion Fencing				Maintenance of Stream Exclusion Fencing	Streambank Protection	Stream Bank Length
WP-2T	TMDL			Stream Protection				Streambank Protection	Streambank Protection	Stream Bank Length
WP-2W	Wildlife			Vegetation Establishment for Stream Protection				Streambank Protection	Streambank Protection	Stream Bank Length
WP-3	Basic			Sod Waterways				Sod Waterway	Vegetated Waterway	Waterway Area
WP-3 (LF)	Basic			Sod Waterway					Vegetated Waterway	Waterway Area
WP-4	Basic	Y		Animal Waste Control Facilities				Waste Control Facilities	Waste Treatment (629)	No. Systems
WP-4B	Basic	Y		Loafing Lot Management System				Loafing Lot Management System	Waste Treatment - Poultry Loafing Lot Management System	Waste Treated Area Implemented
WP-4C	Basic	Y		Composter Facilities				Composting Facility	Composting Facility	No. Systems
WP-4D	Tax Only			Soil Test in Support of Nutrient Management Plan				Soil Test in Support of Nutrient Management Plan	Soil Analysis	Area Tested
WP-4D (SY)	Tax Only			Soil Test in Support of Nutrient Management Plan					Soil Analysis	Area Tested
WP-4E	Tax, Loan			Animal Waste Structure Pumping Equipment				Waste Pumping Equipment	Waste Pumping Equipment	Pumps
WP-4F	Basic	2008		Animal Mortality Incinerator Facility				Animal Fatality Incinerator	Animal Fatality Incinerator	Systems

WP-5	Tax, Loan			Stormwater Retention Pond		Stormwater Retention Pond	Drainage Area	Stormwater Retention Pond	Structures
WP-6	Basic			Agricultural Chemical & Fertilizer Handling Facility		Chemical Handling Facility		Chemical Handling Facility	Structures
WP-7	Tax, Loan			Surface Water Runoff Impoundment for Water Quality		Stormwater Retention Pond	Drainage Area	Water Impoundment	Structures
WP-8	Tax, Loan			Relocation of CAFOs From Environmentally Sensitive Areas		Relocation of Confined Feeding Operations		Confined Feeding Operation	Structures
WQ-1	Basic	Y		Grass Filter Strips		Grass Filter Strip	Filter Strip (393)	Filter Area Plus Contributing Field	Grass Filter Strip Area Planted
WQ-1 (LF)	Basic	Y		Grass Filter Strips				Filter Area Plus Contributing Field	Grass Filter Strip Feature Length
WQ-1W	Wildlife	Y		Filter Strips for Water Quality and Wildlife Habitat (Wildlife Option)			Filter Strip (393)	Filter Area Plus Contributing Field	Grass Filter Strip Area Planted
WQ-2				Grass Filter Strips (Restrictive Use)				Filter Area Plus Contributing Field	Grass Filter Strip Feature Length
WQ-3		Y		Voisin Rational Grazing System					Grazing System Grazing Area
WQ-3 (SY)		Y		Voisin Rational Grazing System					Grazing System Grazing Area
WQ-4	Basic			Legume Cover Crop		Cover Crops - Legume			Cover Crops - Legume Area Planted
WQ-5	Basic			Water Table Control Structures		Water Control Structures	Drainage Area	Water Control Structures	Structures

WQ-6	Tax, Loan		Constructed Wetlands		Constructed Wetlands		Drainage Area	
WQ-6B	Tax, Loan	Y	Wetland Restoration		Wetland Restoration	Wetland Restoration(657)	Wetland Restoration	Area Restored
WQ-7	Tax, Loan		Irrigation Water Recycling System		Irrigation Water Recycling System		Drainage Area	Irrigation Water Recycling Structures
WQ-8	Tax, Loan		Fuel Storage Treatment		Fuel Storage Treatment		Fuel Storage Sytems	Structures
WQ-9	Tax Only		Capping/Plugging of Abandoned Wells		Capped or Plugged wells		Capped or Plugged Wells	Wells Area
WQ-10	Tax Only		Integrated Pest Management		Pest Management		Pest Management	Scouted
WQ-11	Basic		Agricultural Sinkhole Protection		Agricultural Sinkhole Protection		Vegetated Buffer	Buffer Area
				Nutrient Mangement	Nutrient Mangement	Nutrient Mangement (590)	Nutrient Management on Hay	Area Implemented
				Septic Tank Pumpout	Septic Tank Pumpout	(ST)	Septic Tank Pumpout	No. Systems
				Septic Connections	Septic Connections		Public Sewer Connections	Hook Ups
				Septic Tank System Repair	Septic Tank System Repair		Septic Tank System Repair	Systems Repaired
				Septic Tank System Replacement	Septic Tank System Replacement		Septic Tank System Replacement	Systems Replaced
				Septic Tank Advanced Treatment	Septic Tank Advanced Treatment		Septic Tank Advanced Treatment	Septic Tank Advanced Treatment
				Septic Denitrification	Septic Denitrification		Alternative Waste Treatment System	Systems Installed
					Forest Harvesting	Forest Harvesting Practices (ST)	Forest Harvesting Practices	Acres

Practices

Note: Only those BMP practices that are reported to the CBPO end up in the instance file.

KEY

VACS No longer offered

recent changes

2012 changes


old forms

Change for NEIEN submission in PY2011


-

Appendix 3 – DSWM Organizational Charts – October 2011

Department of Conservation and Recreation
PHASE II - Division of Stormwater Management
 Conservation and Restoration Programs Overview
 October 28, 2011



Department of Conservation and Recreation
PHASE II - Division of Stormwater Management
 Regulatory Operations - Permits, Training & Certification, and Program & Guidance Development
 September 27, 2011



VACS Practice Code	VACS Practice Type	VACS Practice New in PY	VACS Report to CBP?	VACS Practice Name	DCR Nutrient Management	VDH BMP Name	VDOF BMP Name	NEIEN BMP Name	Federal Name if Reportable (ST = state only)	NEIEN BMP Measure Name	NEIEN BMP Component Name (1)	NEIEN BMP Component (1) Measure Name(1)	NEIEN BMP Component (1) Measure Unit (1)
CCI-CNT	Maintenance	2009	Y	Continuous No Till Planting Systems				Continuous No Till Planting Systems	Residue and Tillage Management, No Till (329)		No-Till	Area Treated	ACRE
CCI-FRB-1	Maintenance	2011		Continuing Conservation Initiative Forested Riparian Buffer				Riparian Forest Buffer			Riparian Forest Buffer	Area Maintained	ACRE
CCI-HRB-1	Maintenance	2011		Continuing Conservation Initiative Herbaceous Riparian Buffer				Riparian Herbaceous Buffer			Stream Buffer	Area Maintained	ACRE
CCI-SE-1	Maintenance	2011		Continuing Conservation Initiative Stream Exclusion				Stream Exclusion			Streambank Protection	Stream Bank Length	FEET
CP-21 CP-22	CREP Rent CREP Rent			NWSG Buffer on Cropland CREP Riparian Forest Buffer				Grass Filter Strip Rent Riparian Buffer Rent			Grass Filter Strip	Area Planted	ACRES
CP-22B CP-23	CREP Rent CREP Rent			CREP Riparian 100' Wide and Wider Buffer Bonus CREP Wetland Restoration				CREP Riparian 100' Wide and Wider Buffer Bonus Wetland Restoration Rent					
CP-23B	CREP Rent			CREP Wetland Restoration Bonus				CREP Wetland Restoration Bonus					
CP-29	CREP Rent			CREP Wildlife Habitat Buffer				CREP Wildlife Habitat Buffer Rent					
CRFR-3	CREP		Y	CREP Riparian Forest Buffer				Riparian Forest Buffer	Riparian Forest Buffer (391)		Riparian Forest Buffer	Buffer Area	ACRE
CRLF-1	CREP			CREP Buffer Length Recording				CREP Buffer Length Recording			Stream Buffer	Buffer Length	FEET
CRSL-6	CREP	2010	Y	CREP Stream Exclusion CREP Stream Protection				Stream Exclusion Streambank Protection (Fencing)	ST Streambank and Shoreline Protection (580)		Stream Buffer	Stream Bank Length	FEET
CRWP-2	CREP	2010	Y	CREP Herbaceous Riparian Buffers				Grass Filter Strip			Streambank Protection	Stream Bank Length	FEET
CRWQ-1	CREP	2010	Y	CREP Wetland Restoration				Wetland Restoration	Filter Strip (393) Wetland Restoration (657)		Grass Filter Strip	Area Planted	ACRE
CRWQ-6B	CREP	2010	Y	CREP Agricultural Sinkhole Protection				CREP Agricultural Sinkhole Protection			Wetland Restoration	Area Restored	ACRE
CRWQ-11	CREP	2010									Vegetated Buffer	Buffer Area	ACRE
FR-1	Basic		Y	Reforestation of Erodible Crop and Pastureland				Reforestation of Erodible Crop and Pastureland	(ST)		Tree Planting	Area Planted	ACRE
FR-3	Basic		Y	Woodland Buffer Filter Area				Woodland Buffer Filter Area	(ST)		Riparian Forest Buffer	Area Planted	ACRE
FR-4	Basic		Y	Woodland Erosion Stabilization				Forest Harvesting Practices	(ST)		Forest Harvesting Practices	Acres	ACRE
LE-1T	TMDL Maintenance	2009	Y	Livestock Exclusion With Riparian Buffers				Livestock Exclusion With Riparian Buffers	(ST)	Area Improved and Protected	Streambank Protection	Stream Bank Length	FEET
LE-2	Basic	2009		Livestock Exclusion With Reduced Setback Southern Rivers				Livestock Exclusion With Reduced Setback	(ST)		Streambank Protection	Stream Bank Length	FEET
LE-2T	TMDL	2009	Y	Livestock Exclusion With Reduced Setback				Livestock Exclusion With Reduced Setback	(ST)		Streambank Protection	Stream Bank Length	FEET
NM-1	Contractual			Nutrient Management Plan Writing and Revisions				Nutrient Management Plan Writing		Area Planned			
NM-2	Contractual			Nutrient Management Plan Implementation and Record				Nutrient Management Plan Implementation and Record		Area Treated			
NM-3	Basic			Sidedress Application of Nitrogen on Corn				Sidedress Application of Nitrogen on Corn		Area Treated			

NM-3B	Basic		Manure Application to Corn Using Pre-app. Nitrate Test	Manure Application to Corn Using Pre-app. Nitrate Test		Area Treated		
NM-4	Basic		Late Winter Split Application of N on Small Grains	Late Winter Split Application of N on Small Grains		Area Treated		
RB-1	TMDL	Y	Septic Tank Pumpout	Septic Tank Pumpout	(ST)	Septic Tank Pumpouts	No. Systems	COUNT
RB-2	TMDL	Y	Connection to Public Sewer	Public Sewer Connections		Public Sewer Connections	Hook Ups	COUNT
RB-3	TMDL		Septic Tank System Repair	Septic Repair		Septic Repair	Systems Repaired	COUNT
RB-4	TMDL		Septic Tank System Installation or Replacement	Septic Install/Replacement		Septic Install/Replacement	Systems Installed or Replaced	COUNT
RB-4P	TMDL	2008	Septic Tank System Installation or Replacement With Pump	Septic Tank System With Pump Replacement		Septic Install/Replacement	Systems Installed or Replaced	COUNT
RB-5	TMDL		Alternative On-Site Waste Treatment Systems	Alternative Waste Treatment Systems		Alternative Waste Treatment System	Systems Installed	COUNT
SE-1	Basic	Y	Vegetative Stabilization of Marsh Fringe Areas	Marsh Grass Planting	(ST)	Marsh Grass Planting	Area Planted	ACRE
SE-2	Tax Only		Agricultural Shoreline Stabilization	Shoreline Stabilization		Shoreline Stabilization	Area Treated	SQFT
SL-1	Basic	Y	Permanent Vegetative Cover on Cropland	Permanent Vegetative Cover on Cropland	(ST)	Pasture and Hay Planting	Area Planted	ACRE
SL-1W	Wildlife	Y	Permanent Vegetative Cover Establishment on Cropland		(ST)	Pasture and Hay Planting	Area Planted	ACRE
SL-3	Basic		Stripcropping Systems	Stripcropping		Stripcropping	Strip Area	ACRE
SL-3B	Basic		Buffer Stripcropping	Buffer Stripcropping		Stripcropping	Strip Area	ACRE
SL-3W	Wildlife		Buffer Stripcropping (Wildlife Option)	Buffer Stripcropping/Wildlife Option		Stripcropping	Strip Area	ACRE
SL-4	Basic		Terrace Systems	Terrace		Terrace	Terrace Length	FEET
SL-5	Basic		Diversions	Diversion		Diversion	Feature Length	FEET
SL-5 (SF)	Basic		Diversions			Reduced Erosion		
SL-6	Basic	Y	Stream Exclusion With Grazing Land Management	Stream Exclusion With Grazing Land Management	(ST)	Area Improved and Protected	Streambank Protection	Stream Bank Length
SL-6 (SY)	Basic	Y	Grazing Land Protection		(ST)	Watering Facility	No. Systems	COUNT
SL-6 (AC)	Basic	Y	Grazing Land Protection		(ST)	Area Improved and Protected		
SL-6A	Tax Only		Small Acreage Grazing System	Small Acreage Grazing System		Streambank Protection	Stream Bank Length	FEET
SL-6AT	TMDL		Small Acreage Grazing Systems	Small Acreage Grazing Systems		Area Improved and Protected	Streambank Protection	Stream Bank Length
SL-6B	Tax, Loan	Y	Alternative Water System	Alternative Water System	(ST)	Area Improved		
SL-6T	TMDL	2011	Stream Exclusion With Grazing Land Management	Stream Exclusion With Grazing Land Management	(ST)	Area Improved and Protected	Streambank Protection	Stream Bank Length
SL-7	Basic	2010	Support for Extension of CREP Watering System	Extension of CREP Watering System		Area Improved		
SL-7T	TMDL	2011	Support for Extension of CREP Watering System	Extension of CREP Watering System		Area Improved		
SL-8	Basic	Y	Protective Cover for Specialty Cropland	Protective Cover for Specialty Crops	(ST)	Cover Crops	Area Planted	ACRE

SL-8B	Basic	Y	Small Grain Cover Crop for Nutrient and Residue Management	Small Grain Cover Crop for Nutrient Management	(ST)	Cover Crops	Area Planted	ACRE	
SL-8C	Contractual		Small Grain cover crop for Nutrient Management and Residue Management			Area Planned			
SL-8H	Basic	2008	Harvestable Cover Crop	Harvestable	(ST)	Cover Crops - Harvestable	Area Planted	ACRE	
SL-9		2012	Grazing Land Management						
SL-11	Basic	Y	Permanent Vegetative Cover on Critical Areas	Critical Area Planting	Critical Area Planting (342)	Critical Area Planting	Area Treated	ACRE	
SL-11B	Tax, Loan		Farm Road, Animal Travel Lane, Heavy Use Area Stabilization	Heavy Use Area Protection		Heavy Use Area Protection	Area Treated	ACRE	
SL-13	Basic		Contour Farming			Conservation Tillage	Area Treated	ACRE	
SL-14	Basic		Minimum Till Cropland			Conservation Tillage	Area Treated	ACRE	
SL-15			No-Till Cropland			No-Till	Area Treated	ACRE	
SL-15A	Basic	Y	Continuous No-Till System	Residue and Tillage Management, No Till	Residue and Tillage Management, No Till (329)	No-Till	Area Treated	ACRE	
SL-15B	Basic	2008	Continuous No-Till Forage Production System	Continuous No-Till Forage Production System		No-Till	Area Planted	ACRE	
SP -1			Nutrient Management Plan Writing (pilot BMP)			Area Planned			
SP-2			Soil Analysis Used for Nut. Man. Plan Writing (Pilot BMP)			Area Planned			
SP-2 (SY)			Soil Analysis Used for Nut. Man. Plan Writing (Pilot BMP)			Area Planned	Soil Analysis	Soil Tests	COUNT
SP-3			Sidedress Application of N on Corn (pilot BMP)			Area Treated			
SP-3B			Manure Application to Corn Using Preapp Nitrate Test (Pilot BMP)			Area Treated			
SP-4			Late Winter Split Application of N on Small Grains (Pilot BMP)			Area Treated			
WL-1	Wildlife	Y	Field Borders (Wildlife Option)	Field Borders/Wildlife Option		Field Border	Area Planted	ACRE	
WL-2	Wildlife		Idle Land Cost Share Criteria (Wildlife Option)	Idle Land/Wildlife Option		Idle Area			
WL-3	Wildlife		Fescue Conversion (Wildlife Option)	Fescue Conversion/Wildlife Option		Area Converted			
WP-1	Basic		Sediment Retention, Erosion or Water Control Structures	Sediment Retention Structures		Site Area	Sediment Retention Structures	COUNT	
WP-2	Basic	Y	Stream Protection	Streambank Protection	Fence (382)	Streambank Protection	Stream Bank Length	FEET	
WP-2A	Basic	Y	Streambank Stabilization	Streambank Stabilization	(ST)	Streambank Stabilization	Stream Bank Length	FEET	
WP-2B	Tax, Loan		Stream Crossing & Hardened Access	Stream Crossing		Stream Crossing	Structures	COUNT	
WP-2C	Tax, Loan	Y	Stream Channel Stabilization	Channel Stabilization	(ST)	Channel Stabilization	Stream Bank Length	FEET	
WP-2D	Tax Only		Maintenance of Stream	Maintenance of Stream		Streambank Protection	Stream Bank Length	FEET	
WP-2T	TMDL		Stream Protection	Streambank Protection		Streambank Protection	Stream Bank Length	FEET	

WP-2W	Wildlife		Vegetation Establishment for Stream Protection				Streambank Protection	Stream Bank Length	FEET	
WP-3	Basic		Sod Waterways		Sod Waterway		Vegetated Waterway	Waterway Area	ACRE	
WP-3 (LF)	Basic		Sod Waterway				Vegetated Waterway	Waterway Area	ACRE	
WP-4	Basic	Y	Animal Waste Control Facilities		Waste Control Facilities	Waste Treatment (629)	No. Systems	Waste Treatment - Poultry	Waste Treated	TPY
WP-4B	Basic	Y	Loafing Lot Management System		Loafing Lot Management System	(ST)		Loafing Lot Management System	Area Implemented	ACRE
WP-4C	Basic	Y	Composter Facilities		Composting Facility	Composting Facility (317)		Composting Facility	No. Systems	COUNT
WP-4D	Tax Only		Soil Test in Support of Nutrient Management Plan		Soil Test in Support of Nutrient Management Plan			Soil Analysis	Area Tested	ACRE
WP-4D (SY)	Tax Only		Soil Test in Support of Nutrient Management Plan					Soil Analysis	Area Tested	ACRE
WP-4E	Tax, Loan		Animal Waste Structure Pumping Equipment		Waste Pumping Equipment			Waste Pumping Equipment	Pumps	COUNT
WP-4F	Basic	2008	Animal Mortality Incinerator Facility		Animal Mortality Incinerator			Animal Mortality Incinerator	Systems	COUNT
WP-5	Tax, Loan		Stormwater Retention Pond		Stormwater Retention Pond		Drainage Area	Stormwater Retention Pond	Structures	COUNT
WP-6	Basic		Agricultural Chemical & Fertilizer Handling Facility		Chemical Handling Facility			Chemical Handling Facility	Structures	COUNT
WP-7	Tax, Loan		Surface Water Runoff Impoundment for Water Quality Relocation of CAFOs From Environmentally Sensitive Areas		Stormwater Retention Pond Relocation of Confined Feeding Operations		Drainage Area	Water Impoundment	Structures	COUNT
WP-8	Tax, Loan							Confined Feeding Operation	Structures	COUNT
WQ-1	Basic	Y	Grass Filter Strips		Grass Filter Strip	Filter Strip (393)	Filter Area Plus Contributing Field	Grass Filter Strip	Area Planted	ACRE
WQ-1 (LF)	Basic	Y	Grass Filter Strips Filter Strips for Water Quality and Wildlife Habitat (Wildlife Option)				Filter Area Plus Contributing Field	Grass Filter Strip	Feature Length	FEET
WQ-1W	Wildlife	Y				Filter Strip (393)	Filter Area Plus Contributing Field	Grass Filter Strip	Area Planted	ACRE
WQ-2			Grass Filter Strips (Restrictive Use)				Filter Area Plus Contributing Field	Grass Filter Strip	Feature Length	FEET
WQ-3		Y	Voisin Rational Grazing System					Grazing System	Grazing Area	ACRE
WQ-3 (SY)		Y	Voisin Rational Grazing System					Grazing System	Grazing Area	ACRE
WQ-4	Basic		Legume Cover Crop		Cover Crops - Legume			Cover Crops - Legume	Area Planted	ACRE
WQ-5	Basic		Water Table Control Structures		Water Control Structures		Drainage Area	Water Control Structures	Structures	COUNT
WQ-6	Tax, Loan		Constructed Wetlands		Constructed Wetlands		Drainage Area			
WQ-6B	Tax, Loan	Y	Wetland Restoration		Wetland Restoration	Wetland Restoration (657)		Wetland Restoration	Area Restored	ACRE

WQ-7	Tax, Loan	Irrigation Water Recycling System	Irrigation Water Recycling System	Drainage Area	Irrigation Water Recycling	Structures	COUNT
WQ-8	Tax, Loan	Fuel Storage Treatment	Fuel Storage Treatment		Fuel Storage Sytems	Structures	COUNT
WQ-9	Tax Only	Capping/Plugging of Abandoned Wells	Capped or Plugged wells		Capped or Plugged Wells	Wells	COUNT
WQ-10	Tax Only	Integrated Pest Management	Pest Management		Pest Management	Area Scouted	ACRE
WQ-11	Basic	Agricultural Sinkhole Protection	Agricultural Sinkhole Protection		Vegetated Buffer	Buffer Area	ACRE
		Nutrient Mangement	Nutrient Mangement	Nutrient Mangement (590)	Nutrient Management on Hay	Area Implemented	ACRE
		Septic Tank Pumpout Connections System Repair	Septic Tank Pumpout	(ST)	Septic Tank Pumpout	No. Systems	COUNT
		Septic Tank System Replacement	Septic Connections		Public Sewer Connections	Hook Ups	COUNT
		Septic Tank Advanced Treatment	Septic Tank System Repair		Septic Tank System Repair	Systems Repaired	COUNT
		Septic Denitrification	Septic Tank System Replacement		Septic Tank System Replacement	Systems Replaced	COUNT
			Septic Tank Advanced Treatment		Septic Tank Advanced Treatment	Septic Tank Advanced Treatment	COUNT
			Septic Denitrification				
			Harvesting Practices	Forest Harvesting Practices (ST)	Alternative Waste Treatment System	Systems Installed	COUNT
					Forest Harvesting Practices	Acres	ACRE

Note: Only those BMP practices that are reported to the CBPO end up in the instance file.

KEY

VACS No longer offered

recent changes

2012 changes

old forms

Change for NEIEN submission in PY2011