STATEMENT OF COOPERATION REGARDING USE AND PLACEMENT

OF

DREDGED MATERIAL

IN THE MARYLAND PORTION OF THE

CHESAPEAKE BAY

N ORDER TO support the State of Maryland's efforts to identify a long-term economically and environmentally sound dredged material placement plan, in accordance with Federal and State laws;

WHEREAS, the Port of Baltimore makes a very significant contribution to the economic health of the State of 'vland and the nation, generating:

in in excess of \$2 billion per year in economic activity in Maryland;

- employment for 62,500 Marylanders, of whom 18,000 are directly employed in post jobs;
- * \$141 million in state and local taxes each year; and
- \$400 million in United States customs receipts; and

WHEREAS, the natural resources of the Chesapeake Bay alon make a very significant contribution to the accommic health of the State of Maryland, generating:

- \$738.5 million in recreation related to aquatic resources, including direct expenditure for fishing, waterfowl hunting and nonconsumptive recreation;
- \$60 million in commercial finfish and shelflish landings;
- \$1 billion in expenditures and 18,000 jobs related to bay boating activities; and
- conditions for the continuation of the cultural heritage of Maryland's watermen; and

WHEREAS, the State and Federal Governments have invested millions of dollars to construct and maintain navion channels, and the welfare of the Port is dependent maintenance of these ship channels, requiring removal of 4 million cubic yards of material annually in Maryland waters of the Bay, which along with any approved deepening and widening projects potentially generates more than 100 million cubic yards of material over twenty years to be placed in dredged material placement sites, which amounts are well in excess of existing disposal capacity, thus urgently requiring identification of suitable new dredged material placement sites; and

WHEREAS, State and Federal funding for dredged material placement sites is constrained, with Federal policy generally requiring that sites be funded by state or local parties; and

WHEREAS, the State and Federal Governments, having invested millions of dollars to protect, restore and improve the quality of the Chesapeake Bay, agree that it is important to continue progress protecting, restoring and improving water quality and fish and wikilife habitat to sustain environmental, economic, social, and recreational benefits related thereto; and

WHEREAS, Federal and State agencies have engaged in preliminary screening and evaluation to identify placement options more feasible to be carried out in compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act, the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act, and other laws and regulations (see Appendix):

Now therefore, we the undersigned parties, agree as follows:

- 1. An important goal related to the Port of Baltimore's dredged material placement program is the protection and enhancement of the Chesapcake Bay. Given the provisions of State and Federal faw, the large volume of material to be dredged, and the growing constraints on State and Federal funding, we recognize that consideration must be given to a wide range of factors including cost reduction, technological capabilities, avoidance and minimization of environmental impacts, natural resource restoration, community concerns and timaly solutions in the acleution and approval of placement sites.
- 2. In order that the Maryland dredged material placement program will be affordable, cost effective and environmentally sound, balancing natural resource beneficial use and economic interests, the State Plan should encompass a variety of dredged material placement techniques, which may include but not be limited to:
 - a, open water placement,
 - b. upland and marine containment sites, and
 - environmental enhancement projects, e.g., island and wetland creation/restoration, fish and wildlife habitate creation, and shoreline restoration.

- Each proposed alternative site should be evaluated for potential elements to benefit the natural resources of the Chesapeake Bay and modified if appropriate and feasible.
- The dredged material plan should include monitoring before, during and after placement, as appropriate, roensure environmental quality and protection of natural resources.
- Implementation of the State's Plan is contingent on the results of required environmental evaluations and the approval of necessary Federal, State and local permits for each site.
- We will enter into a partnership agreement with appropriate provisions to concurrently review individual sites under the State Plan in order to coordinate review processes to minimize delay.
- We pledge to continue to work in a cooperative and expeditious manner to find solutions to meet the State's twenty-year dredged material placement needs, consistent with applicable State and Federal laws.

ь. Биятнея, the attached State of Maryland Dredged Material Management Plan contains the following elements:

- Pooles Island Area Open Water Placement Site: (Expand area currently used for open water placement to address dredged material placement shortfall)
- 7. Herr Miller Island Containment Site: (Raise dikes to increase capacity)
- CSX/Cox Creek Containment Site: (Build containment site adjacent to the Baltimore Harbor)
- Poplar Island Beneficial Use Project: (Develop a beneficial use site, restoring eroding islands)
- Additional open water placement site(s): (Placement of clean material in one or more open water sites; preliminary screening has resulted in the identification of Site 104 (Kent Island); Site 171 (Swan Point West); and the Worton Point Open Water Site for further consideration)
- 6. Long-term upper Bay placement site: (Build a major containment/natural resources beneficial use project—artificial island—in the Bay north of the Chesapeake Bay Bridge; preliminary screening has resulted in the identification of Tolchester West; Site 168; Site 171 (Swan Point West); and the Pooles Island area for further consideration).

The Plan calls for additional preliminary screening of sites under numbers five and six above by September, 1996.

The listing of the items above does not preclude further consideration or evaluation of other dredged material placement options. For example, shoreline stabilization projects, a variety of natural resource beneficial use projects including marshlands, oyster bars, aquatic reefs, etc., upland or marine containment sites and open water placement may be considered.

environmentally sound dredged material placement plan. We recognize the value of working together to ntify sites which meet environmental and other regulatory requirements. We commit to work in partiship with the public to assure full opportunity for review of each proposed site without pre-judgment and in compliance with applicable laws and regulations. And we agree to work together to facilitate the expeditious review and evaluation of these sites.

DATE: September 5, 1996

Canada Canama Director, Northeast Region	پررسا
Director, Northeast Region	
United States Fish and Wildlife Service	
Department of the Interior	

Administrator, Region III

United States Environmental Protection Agency

Administrator, Northeast Region
National Murine Fisheries Service

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

United States Department of Commerce

Maryland Dipartment of the Environment

District Engineer, Baltimore District United States Army Corps of Engineers

Maryland Department of Natural Resources

District Engineer, Philadelphia District
11-tred States Army Corps of Engineers

red States Army Corps of Engineers Maryland Department of Transportation

APPENDIX

PRIMARY FEDERAL STATUTES GOVERNING DREDGING IN THE MARYLAND PORTION OF THE CHESAPEAKE BAY

Clean Water Act

Coastal Zone Management Act

Endangered Species Act

Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act

Merchant Marine Act of 1920

National Environmental Policy Act

Rivers and Harbors Act 1899

Water Resources Development Acts