

CHESAPEAKE BAY WATER-QUALITY MONITORING PROGRAM

WEST VIRGINIA NONTIDAL NUTRIENT AND SEDIMENT SAMPLING

QUALITY ASSURANCE PROJECT PLAN

JUNE 1, 2011 to MAY 31, 2012

**WEST VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL
PROTECTION, DIVISION OF WATER AND WASTE MANAGEMENT**

**IN COOPERATION WITH THE
U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY**

The use of trade, product, or firm names in this document is for descriptive purposes only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Geological Survey.

Created September 2011

QUALITY ASSURANCE PROJECT PLAN

for the

**West Virginia Non-Tidal Monitoring Program
NUTRIENT AND SEDIMENT SAMPLING**

Prepared by

Douglas B. Chambers
U.S. Geological Survey
West Virginia Water Science Center
11 Dunbar Street
Charleston, West Virginia 25301

for

West Virginia Department of Environmental Protection
Division of Water and Waste Management
601 57th Street
Charleston, WV 25304

for the period of

June 1, 2011 to May 31, 2012

Approvals:

Douglas B. Chambers, Project Chief, USGS

Date

John Wirts, Project Coordinator, WVDEP

Date

Matthew Monroe, Environmental Coordinator, WVDA

Date

CONTENTS

A. Project management

A.1 Introduction	1
A.2 Distribution list	1
A.3 Project/Task organization	1
A.4 Problem definition/background	1
A.5 Project/Task description	2
A.6 Data-Quality objectives and criteria for measurement data	3
A.7 Special training certification	3
A.8 Documentation and records	3

B. Measurement/Data acquisition

B.1 Experimental design	3
• Station description	4
B.2 Sampling method	4
B.3 Sample handling and custody	5
• Sample treatment and preservation	5
B.4 Analytical methods	6
• Laboratory analysis	6
B.5 Quality assurance/quality control	7
B.6 Instrument/Equipment testing, inspection, and maintenance	7
B.7 Instrument calibration and frequency	7
B.8 Inspection acceptance requirements for supplies and consumables	7
B.9 Data acquisition	7
B.10 Data management	9

C. Assessment/Oversight

C.1 Assessment and response actions	9
C.2 Reports to management	9

D. Data validation and usability

D.1 Data review, validation, and verification	10
D.2 Validation and verification methods	10

E. References

Attachment A: Example of field data sheet	13
--	----

Attachment B: Example of semi-annual report to West Virginia Department of Environmental Protection	20
--	----

Tables

1. Location of Potomac River Non-Tidal Monitoring sites.	4
2. Potomac River Non-Tidal Monitoring Program sampling parameters	6
3. Potomac River Non-Tidal Monitoring site drainage area and historic streamflow conditions	8

A. Project Management

A.1 Introduction

This Quality-Assurance Project Plan (QAPP) describes quality-assurance goals and measures for the Non-Tidal Monitoring program designed to support Chesapeake Bay restoration programs.

The project, the *Non-Tidal Monitoring Program*, includes the monitoring of nutrient and suspended-sediment concentrations and streamflow in selected West Virginia tributaries of the Potomac River. This project is supported through West Virginia Department of Environmental Protection (WVDEP) and U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) cooperative funds. The objectives of this project are to:

- characterize nutrient and sediment concentrations in terms of flow and load for seven (7) West Virginia tributaries to the Potomac River;
- provide nutrient and sediment data for calibration of the Chesapeake Bay Watershed model (WSM) and loading inputs to the Chesapeake Bay Water-Quality (WQ) model; and
- integrate the information collected in this program with other elements of the monitoring program to gain a better understanding of the processes affecting the water quality of the Chesapeake Bay.

The WVDEP and the USGS conduct this project cooperatively. Sampling events, goals, and objectives for this project are overseen by the USGS Project Chief, Douglas B. Chambers.

A.2 Distribution List

This QAPP will be distributed to the following project participants:

Douglas B. Chambers, USGS West Virginia Water Science Center, Project Chief/Water-Quality Specialist, (304) 347-5130 ext 231

Ronald D. Evaldi, USGS West Virginia Water Science Center, Supervisory Hydrologist, (304) 347-5130 ext. 239

John Wirts, West Virginia Department of Environmental Protection, Watershed Assessment Branch, Project Coordinator, (304) 926-0495

Matthew Monroe, WVDA, Environmental Coordinator, (304) 260-8627

Mary Ellen Ley, Quality Assurance Officer, Chesapeake Bay Program, (410) 267-5750

Jeremy White, USGS West Virginia Water Science Center, Hydrologic Technician, (304) 347-5130 ext 246

Jessica Wood, USGS West Virginia Water Science Center, Hydrologic Technician, (304) 347-5130 ext 233

Katherine Paybins, USGS West Virginia Water Science Center, Geographer, (304) 347-5130 ext 236

Britt Stock, USGS West Virginia Water Science Center, Hydrologic Technician, (304) 347-5130 ext 246

A.3 Project/Task Organization

Douglas B. Chambers, USGS, is the Project Chief for the West Virginia Non-Tidal Monitoring Program and is responsible for the technical design, operation, and execution of the program as outlined in the annual scope of work to WVDEP. He is also responsible for the evaluating and describing of collected data, quality assurance and quality control for the program, and producing USGS reports. Doug is also the Water-Quality Specialist for the USGS West Virginia Water Science Center.

John Wirts, WVDEP, DWWM, Watershed Assessment Branch, serves as the Project Coordinator for the Potomac River Non-Tidal Monitoring Program. He is tasked with assuring that all project commitments, the project timetable, and deliverables are completed.

A.4 Problem Definition/Background

The decline in water quality of the Chesapeake Bay within the last decade has, in large part, been attributed to excessive nutrients entering the estuary from its surrounding tributaries. In an effort to improve the water quality of the Bay, Federal, State, and local governments have initiated point and non-point source nutrient-reduction programs within the tributary basins discharging to the Bay. Monitoring at key sites can help to quantify improvements in water quality and verify the effectiveness of nutrient-control measures implemented in the watersheds.

In addition, the quality of the river discharge, and the timing and magnitude of the pollutant concentrations and loads delivered to the estuary are important data needed to enhance knowledge of or need to strengthen other components of the Chesapeake Bay water-quality monitoring program. The integration of all of these components will lead to a better understanding of the factors influencing water quality that can then be translated into better water-quality management for the Bay and its tributaries.

With these general goals in mind, the West Virginia Department of Environmental Protection (WVDEP), in cooperation with the USGS, initiated the West Virginia portion of the Non-Tidal Monitoring Program as part of the Chesapeake Bay Water-Quality Monitoring Program.

The Chesapeake Bay Non-Tidal Water Quality Monitoring Work Group and the State of West Virginia selected six Potomac River tributaries – Patterson Creek, the South Branch of the Potomac River, Cacapon River, Warm Springs Run, Opequon Creek, and Rockymarsh Run—for sampling. Additionally, Mill Creek, a tributary of Opequon Creek, will be sampled. Combined, these streams contribute over 30 percent of the flow to the Potomac River above Point of Rocks, Maryland and they contribute nutrients and sediments from a wide range of land-use, geologic, and hydrologic conditions. A sampling site will be established near the most downstream stream flow gaging station on each stream to monitor nutrient and sediment concentrations and streamflow to help calculate transport of these nutrient and sediment loads to the Potomac River and, ultimately, to Chesapeake Bay.

A.5 Project/Task Description

Water-quality samples that are representative of the entire river cross section are collected and later analyzed to determine concentrations of selected nutrient species and suspended sediment in the river. These samples are collected during different seasons across different flow regimes. When combined with the continuous, 15-minute flow record from the USGS gage at each station, it is possible to estimate nutrient and sediment loads on a monthly and annual basis with a known level of confidence. Additionally, water-quality field measurements are made for dissolved oxygen, pH, alkalinity, specific conductance, water temperature and air temperature.

The USGS's National Field Manual for the Collection of Water-Quality Data (Wilde and others, 1998, <http://water.usgs.gov/public/owq/FieldManual/index.html>) describes the sampling process in detail. Data-collection quality will be monitored by the assessment of field blanks and replicates and by annually conducting and documenting the results of random field audits.

Streamflow, nutrient, and suspended-sediment concentration data sets from each monitoring station will be forwarded to John Wirts at WVDEP by March 30 of each year for the previous USGS water year (October thru September). Semi-annual reports describing field activities, quality-control results, and

data-management issues will be submitted with preliminary data to John Wirts on 15 November and 15 May.

A.6 Data-Quality Objectives and Criteria for Measurement Data

This study provides West Virginia resource managers with information that can help to quantify changes in water quality, quantify nutrient loads critical for evaluating progress towards reducing controllable nutrients to the Chesapeake Bay, and verify the effectiveness of nutrient-control measures taken in the watersheds. These data can be also be used to calibrate or validate models used to calculate watershed capload allocations. A calibrated model was developed that can simulate constituent relationships, seasonal variation, and changes in trends. As a result, water-quality samples need to be collected monthly throughout the year under different streamflow conditions to determine loads within a known confidence interval. Once completed, this information is then given to researchers and Bay resource managers.

Quality-control samples, both replicate samples and blanks, will be collected for each station. The project has a goal of two quality –control samples per site, unless an increased number is warranted. Detailed quality assurance procedures are described for NWQL in Maloney (2005), and for the USGS Kentucky Sediment Laboratory in Shreve and Downs (2005).

A.7 Special Training Certification

Field sampling teams will be led by USGS personnel trained in water-quality sampling operations, record management, quality-assurance procedures, instrument operations and maintenance, and troubleshooting. Laboratory personnel must be trained in analytical methods, quality-control procedures, record management, maintenance and troubleshooting.

A.8 Documentation and Records

Water-quality field measurements of temperature, dissolved oxygen, pH, alkalinity, and specific conductance are recorded at each site. Additionally, water-quality samples are collected and submitted for analysis to the USGS National Water-Quality Laboratory in Denver, Colorado. Samples are evaluated for total nitrogen (ammonium plus organic nitrogen), dissolved nitrite, dissolved nitrate plus nitrite, dissolved ammonia, total phosphorus, dissolved orthophosphate, and total suspended solids. Suspended sediments are analyzed at the USGS Sediment Laboratory in Louisville, Kentucky.

All data will be recorded using standardized data sheets for the specific projects (Attachment A). These data will be keyed into the USGS data management systems by technicians who collect the data. These data will be provided to WVDEP in hard copy in the form of tables and data summaries. Electronic data will be submitted with the final deliverables in ASCII text files and spreadsheets via CD-ROM or by email.

B. Measurement/Data Acquisition

B.1 Experimental Design

This document provides a detailed description of the monitoring and analysis components of a study conducted by the WVDEP, in cooperation with the USGS, to quantify nutrient and suspended-sediment contributions of 7 West Virginia tributaries to the Potomac River.

The number of events to be sampled and the number of samples per event is based on the requirements of the Chesapeake Bay Non-Tidal Monitoring Network. Water-quality samples need to be collected

monthly during base flow and under various stormflow conditions. “Continuous” flow measurements also need to be collected.

Station Description

Monitoring stations were selected from a list of Chesapeake Bay Program priority monitoring sites. The location of the monitoring sites and drainage area information are presented in table 1.

Table 1. Location of West Virginia Non-Tidal Monitoring sites.

Station Name	USGS Station Identification	Latitude	Longitude	Drainage (sq. mi.)
Patterson Creek near Headsville, WV	01604500	39° 26' 35"	78° 49' 20"	211
South Branch Potomac River near Springfield, WV	01608500	39° 26' 49"	78° 39' 16"	1,486
Cacapon River near Great Cacapon, WV	01611500	39° 34' 56"	78° 18' 36"	675
Warm Springs Run near Berkeley Springs, WV	01613030	39°39'27.6"	78°12'18.3"	
Mill Creek at Bunker Hill, WV	01616400	39°20'04.6"	78°03'12.3"	
Opequon Creek near Martinsburg, WV	01616500	39° 25' 25"	77° 56' 20"	273
Rockymarsh Run at Scrabble, WV	01618100	39°28'59.1"	77°49'54.6"	9.9

B.2 Sampling Method

USGS personnel, with assistance from WVDEP and WVDA personnel, collect all water-quality samples at each of the seven West Virginia Non-Tidal Monitoring stations in accordance with the USGS National Field Manual for the Collection of Water Quality Data (Wilde and others, 1998).

Base-flow samples are collected monthly and stormflow samples are collected seasonally, with an average coverage of two storms per season. An experienced USGS Hydrologic Technician, assisted by an individual from either WVDEP or WVDA, will collect routine monthly, baseflow samples. The monitoring program emphasizes the collection of water-quality samples during periods of high flow (storm-event sampling), because most of the river-borne nutrient and suspended-sediment load is associated with storm events. Teams of two USGS Hydrologic Technicians will collect samples during high-flow events predicted through weather forecasts and by remote monitoring of river stage from the USGS offices. Discrete samples are collected during storm events, and can be collected during the rise, peak, or fall of the hydrograph. No more than one sample per day will be collected at each site, although storm samples may be collected on successive days during the same event. Discharge data are also collected for each of the streams throughout the period.

Base-flow and stormflow samples are collected using the equal-width increment (EWI) method. This method involves the collection of water-quality samples at the centroids of equal width increments along the river cross section. Water-quality samples are collected using the appropriate isokinetic sampler (table 1). These samplers hold either a 1-liter polyethylene bottle or a polyethylene bag. Samplers designed for shallow, wadeable conditions are mounted on a wading rod and samplers designed for deep conditions are lowered to the water using bridge crane. The general approach is to collect depth-integrated water samples using the Equal-Width Increment (EWI) sampling method, with minor variations to conform to site conditions. However, stream conditions, such as insufficient depth or velocity during periods of low-flow, may preclude the use of an isokinetic sampler. Under these

conditions samples will be collected at multiple verticals across the stream width using a non-isokinetic sampler, typically an open-mouthed bottle or weighted-bottle sampler.

Sample volumes collected as part of EWI sample or a multiple vertical non-isokinetic sample will be composited in an 8-liter polypropylene churn splitter. All sample aliquots for analysis, whether whole-water or filtered, will be taken from the churn splitter.

Table 2. Isokinetic samplers and their associated use criteria.

Sampler Designation	Nozzle ID (in)	Container Size	Maximum Depth (ft)	Minimum Velocity (ft/sec)	Maximum Velocity (ft/sec)	Unsampled Zone (in)	Weight (lbs)
<i>US DH-81</i>	3/16	liter	9	2	6.2	4	1
<i>US DH-81</i>	1/4	liter	9	1.5	7.6	4	1
<i>US DH-81</i>	5/16	liter	9	2	7	4	1
<i>US DH-2</i>	3/16	liter	35	2	6	3.5	30
<i>US DH-2</i>	1/4	liter	20	2	6	3.5	30
<i>US DH-2</i>	5/16	liter	13	2	6	3.5	30
<i>US D-95</i>	3/16	liter	15	1.7	6.2	4.8	64
<i>US D-95</i>	1/4	liter	15	2	6.7	4.8	64
<i>US D-95</i>	5/16	liter	15	2	6.7	4.8	64

Patterson Creek

USGS personnel collect water samples from Patterson Creek at the Headsville streamflow gaging station. At low flows samples will be collected by wading, using a USGS DH-81 sampler. At storm flows samples will be collected using either a D-95 sampler or DH-2 sampler suspended from the WV Route 46 bridge near Champwood, WV, downstream from the gaging station.

South Branch Potomac River

USGS personnel collect samples from the South Branch Potomac River near Springfield using the EWI method. At low flows samples will be collected by wading, using a USGS DH-81 sampler. At storm flows samples will be collected using a D-95 sampler suspended from the W. Va. Secondary Route 3 bridge downstream from the gaging station.

Cacapon River

USGS personnel collect Cacapon River water samples at the USGS gaging station near Great Cacapon. At low flows samples will be collected by wading, using a USGS DH-81 sampler. At storm flows samples will be collected using a D-95 sampler suspended from the W. Va. Secondary Route 7 low-water bridge up to a stage of 4 feet, when sampling from the low-water bridge becomes dangerous. At stages exceeding 4 feet samples will be collected from the WV Route 9 bridge using a D-95 sampler suspended from a bridge crane.

Warm Springs Run

USGS personnel collect Warm Springs Run water samples at the USGS gaging station near Berkeley Springs. At low flows samples will be collected by wading, using a USGS DH-81 sampler. At storm

flows samples will be collected using a either a D-95 sampler or DH-2 sampler suspended from a bridge crane on the Morgan County Route 38-8 (Jimtown Road) bridge.

Mill Creek

USGS personnel collect Mill Creek water samples at the USGS gaging station at Bunker Hill. At low flows, samples will be collected by wading, using a USGS DH-81 sampler. At storm flows, samples will be collected using a either a D-95 sampler or DH-2 sampler suspended from a bridge crane on the U.S. Highway 11 bridge.

Opequon Creek

USGS personnel collect Opequon Creek water samples at the stream flow gaging station near Martinsburg. Low-flow samples will be collected by wading at a cross section about 40 feet upstream from the bridge using a USGS DH-81 sampler. Storm-flow samples will be collected using a either a D-95 sampler or DH-2 sampler suspended from the bridge on State Route 9, at the gaging site.

Rockymarsh Run

USGS personnel collect Rockymarsh Run water samples at the USGS gaging station at Scrabble. At low flows, samples will be collected by wading, using a USGS DH-81 sampler. At storm flows, samples will be collected using a either a D-95 sampler or DH-2 sampler suspended from a bridge crane on the County Road 5 (Scrabble Road) bridge.

Constituents Monitored

The monitoring program focuses on quantifying the water quality and loads of major nutrient species and suspended sediment from Patterson Creek, South Branch of the Potomac River, Warm Springs Run, Cacapon River, Mill Creek, Opequon Creek, and Rockymarsh Run. Chemical parameters monitored for the program include:

TN	total nitrogen
NO ₂	dissolved nitrite
NH ₄	dissolved ammonia as N
NO ₂₃	dissolved nitrate plus nitrite as N
TP	total phosphorus
o-PO ₄	dissolved orthophosphorus as P
TSS	total suspended solids
SSC	total suspended sediment

Analytical methods for these constituents are shown in table 2.

B.3 Sample Handling and Custody

Sample Treatment and Preservation

Water-quality samples collected by the USGS (Wilde and others, 1998) are split using a polypropylene churn splitter. The composite sample is introduced into a pre-cleaned plastic churn splitter and sub-samples for whole-water analysis are drawn while churning at a rate of 1.0 ft/second. The remaining samples are filtered on site for dissolved analysis using a 0.45-micrometer (average pore size, polycarbonate) capsule filter (Wilde and others, 1998). Sulfuric acid (4.5N) is added to the bottle to be analyzed for whole-water nutrients for preservation. Nutrient samples are placed immediately on ice and chilled to a temperature of 4 degrees Celsius. Nutrient and total suspended solids samples are shipped to the USGS NWQL in Denver, CO according to USGS National Water Quality Laboratory technical memorandum 11.01 (P. Alex and P. Grano, 2011). This document can be found at

(http://www.nwql.cr.usgs.gov/USGS/tech_memos/nwql.2011-01.pdf). Suspended-sediment samples, collected concurrently with the water-quality samples from the churn splitter or collected separately, are shipped to the USGS Sediment Laboratory in Louisville, Kentucky, for analysis.

Table 3. Potomac River Non-Tidal Monitoring sampling parameters.

Lab Code	Parameter Code	Parameter/ Methodology	Reference	Reporting Level
<u>Total Nitrogen</u>				
LC 2756	P62855	<i>Alkaline persulfate digestion</i> I-4650-03	Patton and Kryskalla (2003)	0.05 mg/L
<u>Nitrogen, Nitrite as N</u>				
LC 3117	P00613	<i>Colorimetry</i> I-2540-90	Fishman (1993)	0.0010 mg/L
<u>Dissolved Nitrite & Nitrate as NO₂₊₃</u>				
LC 1975	P00631	<i>Colorimetry, Cd-reduction</i> I-2545-90	Fishman (1993)	0.020 mg/L
<u>Dissolved Ammonia (NH₃)</u>				
LC 3116	P00608	<i>Colorimetry</i> I-2525-89, I-2522-90	Fishman (1993)	0.010 mg/L
<u>Total Phosphorous</u>				
LC 2333	P00665	<i>Colorimetry, Auto</i> USEPA 365.1	USEPA	0.004 mg/L
<u>Dissolved Orthophosphate (DIP or o-PO₄)</u>				
LC 3118	P00671	<i>Colorimetry</i> I-2601-90, I-2606-89	Fishman (1993)	0.004 mg/L
<u>Total Suspended Sediment (SSC)</u>				
n/a	P80154	<i>Hydroscopic glass-fiber filtration</i> ASTM test method D3977-97 Method C	Shreve and Downs (2008)	0.5 mg/L
<u>Total Suspended Solids (TSS)</u>				
LC 169	P00530	<i>Gravimetric</i> I-3765-89	Fishman and Friedman (1989)	15 mg/L

B.4 Analytical Methods

Analytical Methods employed Analytical methods for these constituents are documented in table 2 and described in the USGS National Water-Quality Laboratory documents.

Laboratory Analysis

Water-quality samples collected by the USGS for the River Input Monitoring Program are analyzed by the USGS National Water-Quality Laboratory (NWQL) in Denver, CO. Analytical techniques employed by the laboratory are documented in table 2. Sediment samples are analyzed by the USGS Sediment Laboratory in Louisville, Kentucky (Shreve and Downs, 2008).

B.5 Quality Assurance/Quality Control

Quality assurance and quality control are a significant component of the monitoring program. The quality-assurance effort includes documentation of concentration variability within the cross section, sediment-transport analysis, quality assurance of sample-collection techniques and field personnel, and accounting for variability within and among the analyzing laboratories. Sample collection and processing, and data handling are performed in accordance with the USGS West Virginia Water Science Center's Water-Quality Quality Assurance plan. Quality-assurance results can be obtained from: USGS West Virginia Water Science Center, at 11 Dunbar Street, Charleston, WV, 25301.

Laboratory quality-control methods are documented in the USGS National Water-Quality Laboratory Quality Management System (Maloney, ed. 2005); available at <http://wwwnwql.cr.usgs.gov/qas.shtml?qmsdars>.

Field quality control is checked during random field audits. The Quality Assurance officer assures that samples were collected, labeled, and preserved according to standard operating procedures. A field checklist will be prepared, and a summary report will be submitted.

B.6 Instrument/Equipment Testing, Inspection, and Maintenance

Instrument probes are cleaned and thoroughly inspected between sampling events. If any probe is not functioning correctly, it is determined whether it is necessary to perform maintenance and/or replace (retire) the instrument.

Physical sampling gear is inspected before each use to assure that all parts are intact. Any gear that shows operational deficiency is not used until repairs can be made.

B.7 Instrument Calibration and Frequency

The meters used to determine field parameters are calibrated daily. Specific instructions for calibration are found in the operating manuals provided with the instrument. Fresh standards are available for calibration prior to each sampling period. The field technician is responsible for providing directions for appropriate calibration, including the appropriate potassium chloride concentration to use for salinity calibrations. Dissolved oxygen (DO) is measured with either a luminescent DO sensor or an amperometric meter. The DO meter is calibrated using the water-saturated air method.

A calibration record is maintained for each unit in a logbook. This log serves as documentation for pre- and post-calibration information for each parameter recorded. The log is useful in determining drift in a probe, which indicates that maintenance is necessary for maintenance. The field technician remains aware of questionable performance of any instruments, and determines when it is necessary to perform maintenance and/or replace an instrument.

B.8 Inspection Acceptance Requirements for Supplies and Consumables

The field technician routinely inspects equipment and supplies. The field technician is responsible for determining when supplies and consumables should be discarded. Special attention should be paid to the condition of any filtration supplies (filters, bottles, etc.) and ultra-clean gear to assure that they are uncontaminated. If contamination is suspected, the supplies should be discarded. Any supplies that have exceeded their expiration date are disposed of.

B.9 Data Acquisition

Streamflow data is a necessary data input in the load estimation model. Site summaries of historic streamflow conditions are shown in Table 3. Period of record indicates the period for which there are published discharge values for the USGS station. The annual mean for the period of record is the arithmetic mean of the individual daily-mean discharges for the designated period of record. The highest and lowest daily means are the maximum daily-mean discharge and minimum daily-mean discharge, respectively, for the designated period of record.

Table 4. Potomac River Non-Tidal Monitoring site drainage area and historic streamflow conditions.

[mi², square miles; ft³/s, cubic feet per second]

Period of Record	Drainage (sq. mi.)	Period of Record Annual Mean discharge (ft ³ /s)	Highest Daily Mean discharge (ft ³ /s)	Lowest Daily Mean discharge (ft ³ /s)
<u>Patterson Creek near Headsville, WV (01604500)</u>				
August 1938 to Present Year	211	170.1	11,100	0.48
<u>South Branch Potomac River near Springfield, WV (01608500)</u>				
August 1928 to Present Year	1,486	1,332	145,000	52
<u>Cacapon River near Great Cacapon, WV (01611500)</u>				
December 1922 to September 1995, October 1996 to Present Year	675	581.6	67,900	26
<u>Opequon Creek near Martinsburg, WV (01616500)</u>				
July 1947 to Present Year	273	239.7	15,000 (estimated)	26
<u>Rockymarsh Run at Scrabble, WV (01618100)</u>				
April 2008 to Present Year	9.9	10.56	119	3.40

Daily-mean discharges are computed by applying the daily mean stages (gage heights) to the stage-discharge curves (James and others, 2003). The USGS provides stage and discharge data for gaging stations on the internet. These data may be accessed at <http://wv.usgs.gov>.

B.10 Data Management

All data will be recorded using the PCFF software package or standardized data sheets (see Attachment A) for the specific projects. Data sheets will be coded with a site code (station name and station number, date, collection time, and collector's initials). These data will be entered into the USGS's data management systems by technicians who collect the data. All data files will be documented in metadata files. Data files will be maintained on the USGS computer network and backed up by on tape and at an offsite computer. The USGS WV Water Science Center in Charleston will house the archived copies of paper forms. Copies of the original data sets will be provided to WVDEP and maintained by the project coordinator. Electronic files with appropriate metadata will be forwarded to the appropriate analysts. The project data manager will maintain field data sheets, which will be kept at the same location as the electronic files.

Field data are entered into the USGS computers using standard USGS data entry procedures. Summary statistics are calculated to identify anomalies in the data. All data anomalies are verified against the raw data and corrected if necessary. Several times during the year, some provisional data files will be transferred from USGS to WVDEP. These intermediate data transfers include flow data from each station for the previous calendar year, raw nutrient and suspended-sediment data and quality-control results from the previous calendar year. Metadata files created by the data manager and linked to the data files also will be transferred to WVDEP. Additionally, further data requests can be coordinated by contacting Doug Chambers at the USGS West Virginia Water Science Center.

C. Assessment/Oversight

C.1 Assessment and Response Actions

The USGS quality-assurance officer will conduct random field and office audits to ensure that data collection and data manipulation follow guidelines set forth in the to the quality-assurance plan. A minimum of one field audit will be conducted each year. The field audit will consist of examining all aspects of the field collection for accuracy and adherence to sampling procedures. The field audit will be representative of all sites, but will not necessarily require a visit to each site. A summary report documenting the field activities will be provided. Office audits will be conducted to ensure that all logs are completed and up-to-date, and that proper data management and manipulation is being conducted. The principal investigator will be immediately notified of any deficiencies and take immediate corrective actions.

The project coordinator will continually monitor the logs and records associated with the project to assure that project schedules are being met. The project coordinator will immediately take any corrective action necessary if project schedules and procedures are being violated. The quality-assurance officer will perform and report on technical system audits and data-quality audits. Data-quality assessments will be conducted to determine whether the assumptions were met.

A USGS Water Science Center Water-Quality Review is held every three years by the USGS Regional Water-Quality Specialist and Regional Staff. Field methods are observed for consistency with USGS procedures and the District water-quality database (QWDATA) and the national database (STORET) are in agreement.

C.2 Reports to Management

Quarterly progress reports will be submitted from the USGS to WVDEP to describe semi-annual project activities (Attachment B). Any deviations from scheduled project activities will be noted and the effect of these deviations on the final project outcome will be described. Corrective measures will also be suggested. The Project Chief (USGS) will be responsible for producing and distributing progress reports. Additionally, progress will be reviewed during quarterly USGS West Virginia Water Science Center reviews.

D. Data Validation and Usability

D.1 Data Review, Validation, and Verification

Data will be verified using a previously developed data quality-control system. After being scrutinized during the data-entry phase, data are analyzed and plotted to examine any outliers or anomalies. These are then examined, verified, and corrected if necessary. Field audits are performed to assure that all data are collected according to standard operating procedures, and that the collection effort is consistent and equal. The USGS Project Chief is responsible for performing quality control, or assuring that quality control is performed by appropriate staff.

All field logs and information are thoroughly reviewed prior to data analysis to assure that all data were collected uniformly. Any data that are not collected according to standard operating procedures are examined to determine whether they are representative. All quality-assurance reports are examined prior to data analysis to verify that data were properly and consistently collected. Any deviations in data collection are taken into account during data analysis. All calibration logs are examined to determine how well the measurement instruments performed. If there appears to be significant drift in instrument performance, the data are adjusted accordingly. All raw data are kept in paper files. Data are entered twice and compared for keying errors. These errors will be corrected. Original (raw) data are retained by the Project Chief.

D.2 Validation and Verification Methods

The field technician or senior field staff person will verify all data entered in the field. This person will examine all data sheets to ensure that they are accurately and legibly completed. They will then sign and record the date and time on the data sheets when verified. All field validation must occur prior to leaving the site before samples are discarded. Any recording errors are to be marked through and initialed. The true value is to be recorded next to the error, and all errors are to be explained in the remarks column of the data sheet. These data sheets will be placed in a notebook and logged on a daily log sheet. These notebooks will be forwarded to the data manager on request. The data manager will forward the data sheets to the data entry staff. The final verified computerized data set is forwarded to the data analysts. A substantial effort is incorporated into the monitoring program to document and ensure quality assurance (QA) and quality control (QC). The quality-assurance effort includes documentation of observed concentration variability within the cross section, sediment transport analysis, quality assurance of sample-collection techniques and field personnel, and the variability within and among the analyzing laboratories. Field quality control is verified during random field audits. The QA officer assures that samples are collected, labeled and preserved in accordance with standard operating procedures. Field blanks and trip blanks are submitted to evaluate the potential for contamination of samples during their collection, processing, and transport.

E. References

- American Public Health Association (APHA), 1995**, Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater, 19th ed.: Washington, D.C., American Water Works Association, Water Pollution Control Federation.
- Department of Environmental Programs, 1987**, Potomac River water quality 1985, conditions and trends in metropolitan Washington: Washington, D.C., Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments, [variously paged].
- Fishman, M.J., ed., 1993**, Methods of analysis by the U.S. Geological Survey National Water Quality Laboratory--Determination of inorganic and organic constituents in water and fluvial sediments: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 93-125, 217 p
- Friedman, L.C. and Erdmann, D.E., 1982**, Quality assurance practices for the chemical and biological analysis of water and fluvial sediments. In Techniques of Water-Resources Investigations of the United States Geological Survey, Book 5, Chapter A6:181 pp.
- Glysson, D.G. and Edwards, T.K., 1988**, Field methods for measurement of fluvial sediment: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 86-531, [variously paged].
- Glysson, D.G., 1987**, Sediment transport curves. U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 87-218, 47 p.
- Guy, H.P., 1969**, Laboratory theory and methods for sediment analysis, *in* Techniques of Water-Resources Investigations. U.S. Geological Survey: Book 5, Chapter C1.
- Maloney, T.J., ed., 2005**, Quality management system, U.S. Geological Survey National Water Quality Laboratory: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 2005-1263, version 1.3, 9 November 2005, chapters and appendixes variously paged
- Patton, C.J., Kryskalla, J.R., 2003**, Methods of Analysis by the U.S. Geological Survey National Water Quality Laboratory :Evaluation of Alkaline Persulfate Digestion as an Alternative to Kjeldahl Digestion for Determination of Total and Dissolved Nitrogen and Phosphorus in Water, Water-Resources Investigations Report 03-4174, 33p.
- Pirkey, K.D., and Glodt, S. R., 1998**, Quality Control at the U.S. Geological National Water Quality Lab, U.S. Geological Survey Fact Sheet FS-02, 7 p.
- Shreve, E.A. and Downs, A.C., 2005**, Quality-Assurance Plan for the Analysis of Fluvial Sediment by the U.S. Geological Survey Kentucky Water Science Center Sediment Laboratory: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 2005-1230, 28 p.
- Skougstad, M.W., Fishman, M.J., Friedman, L.C., Erdmann, D.E., and Duncan, S.S., 1979**, Methods for determinations of inorganic substance in water and fluvial sediments. In U.S. Geological Survey Techniques of Water-Resources Investigations, Book 5, Chapter A1, 626 p.

Wershaw, R.L., Fishman, M.J., Grabbe, R.R., and Lowe, L.E., 1987, Methods for the determination of organic substances in water and fluvial sediments: Techniques of Water-Resources Investigations of the U.S. Geological Survey, Book 5, Chapter A3, 80 p.

Wilde, F.D., Radtke, D.B., Gibs, J., and Iwatsubo, R.T., eds, 1998, National field manual for the collection of water-quality data: U.S. Geological Survey Techniques of Water-Resources Investigations, book 9, Handbooks for Water-Resources Investigations, [variously paged].

Attachment A: Example of Field Data Sheet



U. S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY SURFACE-WATER QUALITY FIELD NOTES

Station No. _____

NWIS Record No. _____

Station No. _____	Station Name _____	Field ID _____
Sample Date _____	Mean Sample Time _____	Time Datum _____ (eg. EST, EDT, UTC) End Date _____ End Time _____
*Sample Medium: WS WSQ OAQ *Sample Type: 9 (regular) 7 (replicate) 2 (blank) 1 (spike)		
*Sample Purpose (71999): 10 (routine) 15 (NAWQA) 20 (NASQAN) 30 (Benchmark)		
*Purpose of Site Visit (50280): 1001 (fixed-frequency SW) 1003 (extreme high flow SW) 1004 (extreme low flow SW) 1099 (NAWQA QC)		
QC Samples Collected? Y N Blank Replicate Spike Other _____		
Project No. _____ Project Name _____		
Sampling Team _____ Team Lead Signature _____ Date _____		
START TIME _____ GAGE HT _____ TIME _____ GHT _____ TIME _____ GHT _____ END TIME _____ GHT _____		

FIELD MEASUREMENTS

Property	Param Code	Method Code	Result	Units	Remark Code	Value Qualifier	Null Value Qualifier	NWIS Result-Level	Comments
Gage Height	00065			ft					
Discharge, Instantaneous	00061			cfs					
Temperature, Air	00020	THM04 (thermistor) THM05 (thermometer)		°C					
Temperature, Water	00010	THM01 (thermistor) THM02 (thermometer)		°C					
Specific Conductance	00095	SC001 (conducting sensor)		µS/cm					
Dissolved Oxygen	00300	MEM01 (amperometric) LUM01 (luminescent)		mg/L					
Barometric Pressure	00025			mm Hg					
pH	00400	PH001 (electrode)		units					
ANC, unfiltered, incr.	00419	TT001		mg/L					
Alkalinity, filtered, incr.	39086	TT013		mg/L					
Carbonate, ft, incr.	00452	TT019		mg/L					
Bicarbonate, ft, incr.	00453	TT017		mg/L					
Hydroxide, ft, incr.	71834	TT025		mg/L					
Turbidity (see attachment for codes)									
Other									
Other									

SAMPLING INFORMATION

Parameter	Pcode	Value	Information
Sampler Type	84164	3044 DH-81 3051 DH-95 Teflon 3052 DH-95 Plastic 3053 D-95 Teflon 3054 D-95 Plastic 3055 D-95 Bag Sampler 3057 D-95 Bag Sampler 3058 DH-2 Bag Sampler 3060 Weighted-Bottle Sampler Other (see last page for codes)	Sampler ID: _____ Sampler bottle/bag material: plastic teflon other _____ Nozzle material: plastic teflon other _____ Nozzle size: 3/16" 1/4" 5/16"
Transit Rate, minimum	50014	ft/sec	Max depth used to determine transit rate
Transit Rate	50015	ft/sec	Velocity in vertical used to determine transit rate
Transit Rate, maximum	50016	ft/sec	
Sampler Splitter Type	84171	10 Chum, plastic, 8 L, cooler-type spigot 30 Chum, plastic, 8 L, cubitainer-type spigot 50 Chum splitter, fluoropolymer, 8 liter 80 Cone splitter, fluoropolymer	20 Chum, plastic, 14 L, cooler-type spigot 40 Chum, plastic, 14 L, cubitainer-type spigot 60 Chum, fluoropolymer, 14 L, US SS-1 r (see last page for codes)
Sampling Method	82398	10 EIW; 20 EDI; 30 single vertical; 40 multiple vertical; other _____	Filter type(s): capsule disc GFF membrane 142mm 25mm
Stream Velocity	81904	ft/sec estimated measured	
Hydrologic Condition	N/A	A Not Determined; 4 Stable, low stage; 5 Falling stage; 6 Stable, high stage; 7 Peak stage; 8 Rising stage; 9 Stable, normal stage	
Observations (Codes: 0=none; 1=mod; 2=moderate; 3=severe; 4=extreme)		Oil/grease (01300) _____ Alm. Odor (01330) _____	Delegent suds (01305) _____ Fish kill (01340) _____ Floating garbage (01320) _____ Floating debris (01345) _____ Floating algae mats (01325) _____ Turbidity (01350) _____

COMPILED BY: _____ CHECKED BY: _____ LOGGED INTO NWIS BY: _____

Station No. _____

SAMPLING CONDITIONS	
Stream width: _____ ft mi	Left bank _____ Right bank _____ ft Ice cover _____ % Ave. ice thickness _____ in.
Sampling points: _____	
Sampling location: wading cableway boat bridge upstream downstream side of bridge _____ ft mi above below gage _____	
Sampling site: pool riffle open channel braided backwater Bottom: bedrock rock cobble gravel sand silt concrete other _____	
Stream color: brown green blue gray clear other _____ Stream mixing: well-mixed stratified poorly-mixed unknown other _____	
Weather: sky- clear partly cloudy cloudy precipitation- none light medium heavy snow sleet rain mist _____	
Wind: calm light breeze gusty windy est. wind speed _____ mph temperature- very cold cool warm hot _____	
No. days since last significant rainfall _____	
Observations: _____	
Sample Comments (for NWIS; 300 characters max.): _____	

LABORATORY INFORMATION Sample Set ID: _____	
SAMPLES COLLECTED:	
Nutrients: _____ WCA _____ FCC _____ FCA _____ CC Major cations: _____ FA _____ RA Major anions: _____ FU Trace elements: _____ FA _____ RA _____ CU	
Mercury: _____ FAM _____ RAM _____ Wts. Hg Lab Lab pH/SG/ANC: _____ RU	
VOC: GCV (_____ vials) Organics: _____ GCC filtered _____ unfiltered _____ BGC _____ C18 _____ Kansas OGRG Lab	
Suspended solids: _____ SUSO Turbidity: _____ TBY	
Phenols: _____ PHE Oils/Grease: _____ OAG Methylene Blue Active Substances: _____ MBAS Color: _____ RCB	
Carbon: _____ TPCN _____ PIC filter1-vol filtered _____ mL filter2-vol filtered _____ mL filter3-vol filtered _____ mL DOC _____ TOC	
Stable Isotopes: _____ FUS _____ RUS Radiochemicals: _____ FUR _____ RUR _____ SUR _____ FAR _____ RAR _____ CUR _____ RUR T _____ RUCV	
_____ BOD _____ COD Chlorophyll: _____ CHL Algae: _____ Invertebrates: _____ IQE _____ JQL _____ JQM _____ JRE Fish tissue: _____ TBI	
Ultraviolet Absorbing Substances: _____ UAS	
Other: _____ (Lab _____) Other: _____ (Lab _____) Other: _____ (Lab _____)	
Other: _____ (Lab _____) Other: _____ (Lab _____) Other: _____ (Lab _____)	
Suspended sediment: _____ CONC. S/F SIZE [No. bottles _____]	
Microbiology: _____ (Lab _____)	
Laboratory Schedules: _____	
Lab Codes: _____ add/delete _____ add/delete _____ add/delete _____ add/delete _____ delete	
Comments: _____	
Date shipped: _____ Lab(s): _____	
Date sediment sample shipped: _____ Sediment L _____	
Comments: _____	
**Notify the NWQL in advance if shipping potentially hazardous samples—phone 1-888-ASK-NWQL or email LabLogin@usgs.gov	

Calibrated by: _____ Location: _____ Station No. _____
 Date: _____ Time: _____

METER CALIBRATIONS/FIELD MEASUREMENTS

TEMPERATURE Meter MAKE/MODEL _____ S/N _____ Thermistor S/N _____ Thermometer ID _____
 Calibration criteria: ± 1 percent or $\pm 0.5^\circ\text{C}$ for liquid-filled thermometers $\pm 0.2^\circ\text{C}$ for thermistors Local Meter ID: _____
 Lab Tested against NIST Thermometer/Thermistor? N Y Date: _____ \pm _____ $^\circ\text{C}$
 Measurement Location: SINGLE POINT AT _____ FT DEEP STREAMSIDE _____ FT FROM LEFT RIGHT BANK VERTICAL AVG/MEDIAN OF _____ PTS
 Field Readings #1 _____ #2 _____ #3 _____ #4 _____ #5 _____ MEDIAN: _____ $^\circ\text{C}$ Method Code _____ Remark _____ Qualifier _____

pH Meter MAKE/MODEL _____ S/N _____ Electrode ID _____ Type: GEL LIQUID OTHER _____
 Sample: FILTERED UNFILTERED CONE SPLITTER CHURN SPLITTER SINGLE POINT AT _____ FT DEEP VERTICAL AVG. OF _____ PTS

pH BUFFER	BUFFER TEMP	THEO- RETICAL pH FROM TABLE	pH BEFORE ADJ.	pH AFTER ADJ.	SLOPE	MILLI- VOLTS
pH 7						
pH 7						
pH 7						
pH ____						
pH ____						
pH ____						
CHECK pH ____						

TEMPERATURE CORRECTION FACTORS FOR BUFFERS APPLIED? Y N

pH Buffer	Lot No.	Expiration Date
pH 7		
pH 10		
pH 4		

Calibration Criteria: ± 0.1 pH units, ± 0.3 if $\text{SC} < 75 \mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$

Field Readings #1 _____ #2 _____ #3 _____ #4 _____ #5 _____ MEDIAN: _____ Units Method Code _____ Remark _____ Qualifier _____

SPECIFIC CONDUCTANCE Meter MAKE/MODEL _____ S/N _____ Sensor Type: DIP FLOW-THRU OTHER _____
 Sample: CONE SPLITTER CHURN SPLITTER SINGLE POINT AT _____ FT DEEP VERTICAL AVG. OF _____ POINTS Sensor ID _____

Std Value $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$	Std Temp	SC Before Adj.	SC After Adj.	Std Lot No.	Std type (KCl; NaCl)	Std Exp. Date

Local Meter ID: _____

AUTO TEMP COMPENSATED
 METER _____ Y N

CORRECTION FACTOR APPLIED? Y N

CORRECTION FACTOR = _____
 Calibration Criteria: $\pm 5\%$ for $\text{SC} < 100 \mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$
 or 3% for $\text{SC} > 100 \mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$

Field readings #1 _____ #2 _____ #3 _____ #4 _____ #5 _____ MEDIAN: _____ $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ Method Code _____ Remark _____ Qualifier _____

DISSOLVED OXYGEN Meter MAKE/MODEL _____ S/N _____
 Sensor Type: Amperometric Luminescent Sensor ID _____ Local Meter ID: _____
 Water-Saturated Air Air-Saturated Water Air Calibration Chamber in Water Air Calibration Chamber in Air Air Saturated Water
 Sample: SINGLE POINT AT _____ FT DEEP VERTICAL AVG. OF _____ POINTS BOD BOTTLE OTHER _____ Stirrer Used? Y N

Calibration Temperature $^\circ\text{C}$	Barometric Pressure mm Hg	DO Table Reading mg/L	Salinity Correc- tion Fac- tor	DO Before Adjustment	DO After Adjustment

Zero DO Check _____ mg/L Adj. to _____ mg/L Date: _____

Zero DO Solution Date: _____ Thermistor Check? Y N Date: _____

Membrane Changed? N Y NA Date: _____ Time: _____

Barometer Calibrated? N Y Date: _____ Time: _____

Phase Degrees/Slope/Gain/Scale Factor (100%) _____ (Zero) _____

Calibration Criteria: ± 0.2 mg/L DO saturation _____ %

Field readings #1 _____ #2 _____ #3 _____ #4 _____ #5 _____ MEDIAN: _____ mg/L Method Code _____ Remark _____ Qualifier _____

QUALITY-CONTROL INFORMATION

PRESERVATIVE LOT NUMBERS				
7.5N -- 7.7N HNO ₃ (METALS&OXIDANTS)	6N HCl (Hg)	4.5N H ₂ SO ₄ (NUTRIENTS&DOC)	Conc. H ₂ O ₂ (COD, PHENOLS)	1:1 HCl (VOC)
Drops of HCl added to lower pH to < 2 (NOTE: Maximum number of drops = 5)				
BLANK WATER LOT NUMBERS				
Inorganic (99200) _____	2nd Inorganic (99201) _____	Spike Vials (99104) _____		
Pesticide (99202) _____	2nd Pesticide (99203) _____	Surrogate Vials _____		
VOC/Pesticide (99204) _____	2nd VOC/Pesticide (99205) _____			

Filter Lot Numbers				
Filter descriptions with parameter codes require NWIS LOT NUMBERS available at http://www.nwql.er.usgs.gov/qas.shtml?filters_home				
Filter Type	Pore Size (microns)	Manufacturer's Lot Number	Parameter Code	NWIS Lot Number
Capsule	0.45		99206	
Disc	0.45			
142 mm GFF (organics)	0.70			
25 mm GFF (organic carbon)	0.70			
142 mm membrane (Inorganics)	0.45			

QC SAMPLES					
Starting date for set of samples (99109) (Y/M/DD) _____ Ending date for set of samples (99110) (Y/M/DD) _____					
Sample Type	NWIS Record No.	Sample Type	NWIS Record No.	Sample Type	NWIS Record No.
Equip Blank _____		Sequential _____		Trip Blank _____	
Field Blank _____		Spike _____		Other _____	
Split _____		Concurrent _____		Other _____	
NWQL Schedule/lab codes (QC Samples) _____					
COMMENTS:					

(Circle appropriate selections)			
---------------------------------	--	--	--

A complete set of fixed-value codes can be found online at:
<http://www.nwql.er.usgs.gov/qas.shtml?index.html>

REFERENCE LIST FOR CODES USED ON THIS FORM

A complete set of fixed-value codes can be found online at: <http://www.water.usgs.gov/currentrdocs/index.html>

Sample Medium Codes

WS Surface water
WQS Quality-control sample (Replicate, Spike)
QAO Blank

Value Qualifiers

e see field comment
f sample field preparation problem
k counts outside the acceptable range

Null-value Qualifiers

e required equipment not functional or available
f sample discarded; improper filter used
o insufficient amount of water
p sample discarded; improper preservation
q sample discarded; holding time exceeded
r sample ruined in preparation

84164 Sampler Type

100 Van Dorn Sampler
110 Geopack Sampler
125 Kemmerer Bottle
3044 US DH-81
3045 US DH-81 With Teflon Cap And Nozzle
3047 Sampler, Frame-Type, Plastic Bottle With Reynolds Oven Bag
3048 Sampler, Frame-Type, Teflon Bottle
3049 Sampler, Frame-Type, Plastic Bottle
3050 Sampler, Frame-Type, Plastic Bottle With Teflon Collapsible Bag
3051 US DH-95 Teflon Bottle
3052 US DH-95 Plastic Bottle
3053 US D-95 Teflon Bottle
3054 US D-95 Plastic Bottle
3055 US D-95 Bag Sampler
3057 US D-95 Bag Sampler
3058 US DH-2 Bag Sampler
3060 Weighted-Bottle Sampler
3081 US WBH-92 Weighted-Bottle Sampler
3070 Grab Sample
3071 Open-Mouth Bottle
3080 VOC Hand Sampler
4010 Thief Sampler
4115 Sampler, point, automatic
8000 None
8010 Other

84171 Sample splitter type, field, code

10 Chum splitter, plastic, 5 liter, cooler-type spigot
20 Chum splitter, plastic, 14 liter, cooler-type spigot
30 Chum splitter, plastic, 5 liter, cubitainer-type spigot
40 Chum splitter, plastic, 14 liter, cubitainer-type spigot
50 Chum splitter, fluoropolymer, 5 liter (future develop ent)
60 Chum splitter, fluoropolymer, 14 liter, US SS-1
70 Cone splitter, plastic
80 Cone splitter, fluoropolymer
90 Sieve, wet
100 Sieve, dry
110 Riffle splitter (Jones)
200 Other

71999 Sample Purpose

10 Routine
15 NAWQA
20 NASQAN
30 Benchmark
40 SW Network
50 Lowflow Network
70 Highflow Network
110 Seepage Study
180 Cross-Section Variation

Time Datum Codes

Time Zone	Std Time Code	UTC Offset (hours)	Daylight Time Code	UTC Offset (hours)
Hawaii-Aleutian	HST	-10	HDT	-9
Alaska	AKST	-9	AKDT	-8
Pacific	PST	-8	PDT	-7
Mountain	MST	-7	MDT	-6
Central	CST	-6	CDT	-5
Eastern	EST	-5	EDT	-4
Atlantic	AST	-4	ADT	-3

Sample Type Code

0 Regular
7 Replicate
2 Blank
1 Spike
4 Blind
5 Duplicate
6 Reference material
8 Spike solution
A Not determined
B Other QA
H Composite

Alkalinity/ANC Parameter Codes

30085 Alkalinity, water, filtered, incremental titration, mg/L
00418 Alkalinity, water, filtered, fixed endpoint, mg/L
29802 Alkalinity, water, filtered, Gran titration, mg/L
00419 ANC, water, unfiltered, incremental titration
00410 ANC, water, unfiltered, fixed endpoint, mg/L
29813 ANC, water, unfiltered, Gran titration, mg/L
29804 Bicarbonate, water, filtered, fixed endpoint, mg/L
63786 Bicarbonate, water, filtered, Gran, mg/L
00453 Bicarbonate, water, filtered, incremental, mg/L
00440 Bicarbonate, water, unfiltered, fixed endpoint, mg/L
00450 Bicarbonate, water, unfiltered, incremental, mg/L
29807 Carbonate, water, filtered, fixed endpoint, mg/L
63788 Carbonate, water, filtered, Gran, mg/L
00452 Carbonate, water, filtered, incremental, mg/L
00445 Carbonate, water, unfiltered, fixed endpoint, mg/L
00447 Carbonate, water, unfiltered, incremental, mg/L
29810 Hydroxide, water, filtered, fixed endpoint, mg/L
71834 Hydroxide, water, filtered, incremental, mg/L
71830 Hydroxide, water, unfiltered, fixed endpoint, mg/L
71832 Hydroxide, water, unfiltered, incremental, mg/L

82386 Sampling Method

10 Equal Width Increment (EWI)
15 Equal Width Increment (non-kinetic)
20 Equal Discharge Increment (EDI)
25 Timed Sampling Interval
30 Single Vertical
40 Multiple Verticals
50 Point Sample
55 Composite, multi-point samples
70 Grab Sample (Dip)
80 Discharge Integrated, Equal Transit Rate (ETR)
90 Discharge Integrated, Centroid
120 Velocity Integrated
8010 Other

60280 Purpose of Site Visit

1001 Fixed frequency, surface-water
1002 Storm hydrograph, surface-water
1003 Extreme high flow, surface-water
1004 Extreme low flow, surface-water
1005 Durnal, surface-water
1006 Synoptic, surface-water
1098 NAWQA surface-water quality control
1099 Other, surface-water
3001 Occurrence Survey, bed sediment or tissue
3002 Spatial Distribution Survey, bed sediment or tissue
3003 Synoptic Study, bed sediment or tissue
3098 Bed-sediment or tissue quality control
3099 Other, bed sediment or tissue

Dissolved Oxygen

AZIDE Azide-modified Winkler
INDIGO Spectrophotometer, indigo carmine
INDKT Field Kit, indigo carmine, visual
LUMIN Luminescence sensor
MEMBR Amperometric, Membrane (DO/EC)
MEMBR Amperometric, Membrane electrode
RHODA Field Kit, Rhodamine-D, visual
SPC10 Spectrophotometer, Rhodamine-D
WINKL Winkler titration

Parameter and method codes for field measurements and turbidity can be found in separate attachments at <http://water.usgs.gov/usgs/owq/Forms.html>

**Attachment B: Example of Quarterly Report to West Virginia
Department of Environmental Protection**

SAMPLE

West Virginia Non-Tidal Monitoring Program : *Semi-Annual Progress Report*

Monitoring Sites:

- (01604500) Patterson Creek near Headsville, WV
- (01608500) South Branch of the Potomac River near Springfield, WV
- (01611500) Cacapon River at Great Cacapon, WV
- (01613030) Warm Springs Run near Berkeley Springs, WV
- (01616400) Mill Creek at Bunker Hill, WV
- (01616500) Opequon Creek near Martinsburg, WV
- (01618100) Rockymarsh Run at Scrabble, WV

Report Period: May 1, 2011 – November 30, 2011

Funding: West Virginia Department of Environmental Protection (WVDEP) and U.S. Geological Survey (USGS)

Start Date: June 2005

Completion Date: continuous

Project Personnel: USGS Chief: Doug Chambers; USGS Lead Technician: Jeremy White and additional assistance from various other USGS and WVDEP personnel.

Project Objectives:

Determine the ambient concentration of nutrient and suspended sediment water-quality samples collected over a range in flow conditions in four West Virginia tributaries to the Potomac River: Patterson Creek, the South Branch of the Potomac River, The Cacapon River, Warm Springs Run, Mill Creek, Opequon Creek, and Rockymarsh Run.

Sampling Events:

	Sample Type		
	Routine	Storm	QA/QC
Patterson Creek Nr Headsville	6	4	2
So. Br. Potomac @ Springfield	6	3	1
Warm Srping Run	6	3	1
Mill Creek @Bunker Hill	6	4	1
Cacapon River @ Great Cacapon	6	4	1
Opequon Cr. Nr Martinsburg	6	3	1

SAMPLE