# Water Quality Goal Implementation Team December 14<sup>th</sup>, 2009 Conference Call

### **DECISION and ACTION ITEMS**

**DECISION**: WQGIT approval of approach for application of N-P adjustments to adjust basinwide nutrient target loads for efficient distribution of load reduction among major basin/jurisdictions while still fully achieving all water quality standards. WQGIT withholds approval for sediment adjustments.

## **MINUTES**

#### Announcements

- Putting out responsiveness summary on TMDL comments in January
- EPA will be providing contractor support money for Watershed Implementation Plans

Adjusting N and P Loadings between Major Basins within Jurisdictions — Gary Shenk Attachment A1 - Nutrient Adjustments Among Basins
Attachment A2 - Adjustment Ratio Spreadsheet

### **Discussion:**

- EPA has not yet determined how EPA would adjudicate between states if the cumulative impact of multiple trades resulted in a violation of water quality standards
- Need to consider how an adjustment impacts another state's or local water quality standards or necessary reduction
- EPA should consider if adjustment of two points is acceptable without consideration of the whole line
- Preferably adjustments would be made by draft TMDL or final TMDL at the latest

<u>Guidelines for Nitrogen, Phosphorus, and Sediment Adjustments</u> – Lewis Linker, Ping Wang <u>Attachment B1 - N-P-S Adjustments</u>

Attachment B2\_Surface Analysis JEE Paper 3-06

Attachment B3\_N-P TRADEOFFS JWRPM Paper

## Slide 12

• Would need to run separate assessment for DO and Chlorophyll

### **Discussion:**

- Papers included in attachments are based on old model
- Ron Entringer, NY DEC, pointed out that geographically and temporally these adjustments vary quite a bit. Should consider geographically specific adjustments. NY will likely be focusing on P for local water quality.
- Sediment is major consideration for light attenuation; with less sediment and a clearer bay, more nutrients could be allowed
- Adjustments based on global N, P, S reduction. Slide 16 indicates that Phase 5.3 and more sediment modeling must be completed
- Model runs cannot account for various species of nutrients and sediments
- Considering dissolved oxygen and chlorophyll in surface analysis. uncertain if this can be applied to SAV clarity

**DECISION**: WQGIT approval of approach for application of N-P adjustments to adjust basinwide nutrient target loads for efficient distribution of load reduction among major basin/jurisdictions while still fully achieving all water quality standards. WQGIT withholds approval for sediment adjustments.

Are states interested in doing geographic adjustments, and if yes, what level of adjustment? DE – minor, not sure for N. Will likely be trading for P

MD – concerned about time, but may be safety valve for very difficult segments; should limit to areas where essential; leave option open for N, P but same concern w/ time constraint

NY – no opportunity for NY for geographic adjustments. Interested in trading between N and P. Too early to determine degree of adjustment

PA – should leave options open to allow for breakthrough in new technology. Too early to say what adjustments we might make

VA – backstop, not envisioning major changes geographically or by nutrient; rather see CBP working on finishing modeling updates than adjustments. Should consider after WIP; do not envision major adjustment for N, P trades

WV – interested in understanding N, P trades. Unsure about degree of changes likely

DC – want N, P trading option. Not sure about degree

## <u>Maryland Segment-shed Target Load Distribution Approach</u> – Lee Currey <u>Attachment C MD Draft Suballocation Process</u>

- Have not addressed NPS growth. Projected flows for WWTPs are included, but not necessarily changes in land use
- MD may use contract assistance for confirming data for model input and output and help with source sector teams. Would appreciate any help we can get as documentation will be difficult
- 2020 is the date that MD set up milestones to meet Bay allocation goal
- Areas not required to do retrofit do not have Phase I stormwater permit. Not sure about one at the top of map
- Have trading which could be used instead of costly stormwater retrofit. Still need to meet local TMDLs and requirements, which limits opportunities for trading especially in MD
- Sediment distinguished into sand, silt, and clay; could allow sediment to pass through Conowingo dam at desirable time of year for SAV health
- 2008 used to identify current condition. Could be more reduction with current capacity by refocusing perhaps in agriculture; gap in funding between 2008 nutrient load and target, available area, technical expertise, etc. gap analysis will come in Phase II of WIPs
- Default allocations will not be final decision, will be hopefully minor adjustments between MD segments

## Update on Air Allocation – Lewis Linker

- Bay Program considers multiple benefits of atmospheric deposition reductions
- Spring 2010 for preliminary data set, full emissions data set end of 2010
- Source and sector analysis for reduced nitrogen
- Compare ammonia emissions with losses from watershed model
- Indirectly considering reduction from proposed legislation

## **PARTICIPANTS**

Katherine Antos, Coordinator EPA CBPO
Karl Blankenship
Bay Journal
Pat Buckley
PA DEP
Arthur Butt
VA DEQ
Monir Chowdhury
DDOE

antos.katherine@epa.gov
bayjournal@earthlink.net
patyjournal@earthlink.net
patyjournal@earthlink.net
pbuckley@state.pa.us
ajbutt@deq.virginia.gov
monir.chowdhury@dc.gov

Ethan Cudling

Lee CurreyMDElcurrey@ mde.state.md.usDinorah DalamsyMDEddalmasy@ mde.state.md.us

James Davis-Martin VA DCR <u>james.davis-martin@dcr.virginia.gov</u>

Ron Entringer NY DEC <u>raentrin@ gw.dec. state. ny. us</u>
Rich Eskin MDE <u>reskin@ mde. state. md. us</u>

Fisher Builders Association

Steve HannHRMM&Lshann@ hrmml.comDave Hansen, ChairU. of Delawaredjhansen@ udel.eduDave HeicherSRBCdheicher@srbc.net

rick.hill@dcr.virginia.gov Rick Hill VA DCR Teresa Koon WV DEP teresa.m.koon@wv.gov David Koran david.koran@usace.army.mil USACE HQ Bob Koroncai, Chair EPA R3 Koroncai.robert@epa.gov slane@dnr.state.md.us Sara Lane MD DNR Lewis Linker EPA/CBPO linker.lewis@epa.gov Beth McGee bmcgee@cbf.org CBF

Dave MontaliWV DEPdavid.a.montali@wv.govMatt MullinCBCmmullin@chesbay.usLisa OchsenhirtAquaLawlisa@aqualaw.comKenn PattisonPA DEPkpattison@state.pa.us

Russ Perkinson VA DCR russ.perkinson@dcr.virginia.gov

Jim Pletl HRSD jpletl@hrsd.dst.va.us

aepollock@deg.virginia.gov Alan Pollock VA DEO exreilly@gw.dec.state.nv.us Ed Reilley NY DEC john.schneider@state.de.us John Schneider DE DNREC gshenk@chesapeakebay.net Gary Shenk EPA/CBPO Mohsin Siddique mohsin siddique@dcwasa.com DC WASA Jennifer Sincock sincock.jennifer@epa.gov EPA R3

Tanya Spano MWCOG tspano@mwcog.org

Tom Thornton MDE tthornton@ mde.state.md.us

Bob Yowell PA DEP <u>ryowell@state.pa.us</u>
Sara Walker WRI <u>swalker@wri.org</u>

Ping Wang CBPO/UMCES <u>pwang@chesapeakebay.net</u> Sean Zhang Carroll Engineering <u>seanxzhang@yahoo.com</u>