# 2-20-13 Goal Team 6 Conference Call

**Attendance:**

* Russ Baxter
* Beth Zinecker
* Mike Mason
* Mike Foremen
* Tim Wilke
* Greg Allen
* Carin Bisland
* Tim Roberts
* Darryl Brown
* Kevin DeBell
* John Schneider

\*actions are in red, other important discussion points are underlined and bolded

**Discussion:**

* **Interview Information Discussion:**
* Russ Baxter: Due to the small number of people interviewed I didn’t see any information in particular that wasn’t already well known by the partners. I could see people skeptical of small sample size. I don’t think there is enough new information to justify the time to present it.
* Carin Bisland: I agree that it is a small sample size and doesn’t have anything earth shattering.
* Mike Mason: The interview information gives a good background on why we did what we did. Maybe not present it, but why not include it as background material? Maybe 1-2 slides at most for presentation to MB.
* Carin Bisland: We will have 1hour 55 minutes total to talk/present to the MB. Need to use that slot of time effectively.
* Russ Baxter: The focus should be on key issues and less on 10 person’s interview feedback.
* **ACTION: create some other backgrounds documents if needed, and include in 1-2 introductory slides. (Use slides #8-11) (talk verbally about member inspiration)**
* **Options Document Discussion:**
* Most important to me it’s the format of how you present. Need to figure out how we will disseminate this options document in a presentation to the MB.
* Greg Allen: The sequence of presenting that we thought of was membership, goals, decision making, procedures would be the order. (the other suggested order was goals, membership, decision making, procedures)
* **Greg Allen: Carin and Philipia will open the presentation and then describe the options and answer questions. Want to make sure all presented options are valid and ok to move to the PSC. MB could also suggest add/remove options**.
* **Having the option of members from each GIT reflecting on their interview discussions at the management board would work.**
* **Would like GIT6 members to speak up during Q&A session to give MB members better idea of our thought process and explain how we can to these options..**
* **Russ Baxter agreed he will chime in to represent GIT6s thought process behind this document.**
* I like the idea of putting the document as it is (in word table format) in front of the MB and provide separate paper copies for MB members to follow along and add their notes.
* Greg Allen: Sounds good. We don’t want to lose context or meaning buy using boiled down slides.
* Carin Bisland: Are you all ok with some of the additions we made to the document? Are you ok with the document as it stands right now?
* **ACTION: Carin will update the PSC options and will review whole document for further edits.**
* EC option #3 same as PSC option #2. Carin says PSC option doesn’t make sense.
* Carin Bisland: +1 federal structure (1 federal agency additional to EPA) one addition member representing the Federal Leadership committee.
* **Russ Baxter: What I want to get out of the discussion is for the MB to offer suggestions as to which options they prefer, but no final decisions will be made**.
* **Suggestion: List pros and cons for each option on different sheet to clean up clutter in the table.**
* CAC and LGAC are not members of the PSC or the EC. They are only members of the MB. We must update the options document to reflect this.
* Add distinction that some jurisdictions are only focused on water quality.
* Carin Bisland: This group and the goals group have a different flavor; the goals group allowed an option where you could sign on to most agreements but not all, while the other GIT subgroup only awards full membership or membership at all to those who sign all documents. (mirrors EC membership?).
* Russ Baxter: Option will allow their participation but they won’t be voting members. We will still take note of their concerns.
* **ACTION: will delete PSC option 2 (will add new option 2 for PSC based on EC status quo) (will also fix status quo for PSC)**
* **ACTION: Staff @ CBPO will take a shot and 4 group leaders will revise and update their responsive sections of the options document**
* Note under GIT products that the MB will decide if something goes up to the PSC
* Carin Bisland: Old PSC flowchart from Greg Barranco can help decide WHEN something needs to go up or down our management structure (such as policy documents, use of logo, etc)
* Carin Bisland: I don’t think either chart is 100% right but they do some good things, but it needs further tweaking.
* Carin Bisland: Some parts need to move forward with goals while others can move along later. We need to decide structure and membership first.
* Sarah Diebel can present via phone, and Carin will work with her with presentation prep.
* **ACTION: Greg Allen will need someone to volunteer as a replacement presenter for his segment of the MB presentation. Doreen is not available that day either. Will check with Mike Mason.**
* GIT 6 members are not comfortable with Group 4. (Decision Making Section). The first 3 groups’ options are about accepting and implementation of decisions, while group 4 tries to answer who gets to make the decisions.
* **ACTION: Tim will standardize the formatting across all the different sections of the options document.**