

## Riparian Forest Buffer 2018-2019 Logic Table and Work Plan

**Primary Users:** Goal Implementation Teams, Workgroups, and Management Board | **Secondary Audience:** Interested Internal or External Parties

**Primary Purpose:** To assist partners in thinking through the relationships between their actions and specific factors, existing programs and gaps (either new or identified in their Management Strategies) and to help workgroups and Goal Implementation Teams prepare to present significant findings related to these actions and/or factors, existing programs and gaps to the Management Board. | **Secondary Purpose:** To enable those who are not familiar with a workgroup to understand and trace the logic driving its actions.

**Long-term Target:** (the metric for success of Outcome): Seventy percent of riparian areas throughout the watershed forested

**Two-year Target:** (increment of metric for success): 900 miles of riparian forest buffers planted and preserved per year

Factor	Current Efforts	Gap	Actions (critical in bold)
<i>What is impacting our ability to achieve our outcome?</i>	<i>What current efforts are addressing this factor?</i>	<i>What further efforts or information are needed to fully address this factor?</i>	<i>What actions are essential to achieve our outcome?</i>
<b>Scientific and Technical Understanding</b>	Lots of data, good targeting and use of high-resolution	Training and delivery	<a href="#">2.1</a> , <a href="#">2.2</a>
<b>Improved Technical Assistance</b>	11 new positions in forestry and some additional trainings	Need for consistent funding for positions	<a href="#">2.1</a> , <a href="#">2.2</a> , <a href="#">3.1</a> , <a href="#">3.2</a> , <a href="#">3.3</a>
<b>Partner Coordination</b>	PSC appointed State RFB Lead to coordinate at state level	Help from upper-level state WQ lead, federal programs have important role	<a href="#">1.2</a> , <a href="#">2.3</a> , <a href="#">2.5</a> , <a href="#">4.1</a>
<b>Nongovernmental Organization Engagement</b>	Good involvement, but soft money	More funding, training ops, TSP	<a href="#">2.6</a> , <a href="#">3.2</a>
<b>Legislative Engagement at the Federal, State, and/or Local Levels</b>	Fed- 2018 Farm Bill, Clean Water Act; State grant funds	Farm Bill influence for CREP and TA	<a href="#">1.3</a>
<b>Government Agency Engagement at the Federal, State and/or Local Levels</b>	FSA, and some states and locals are engaged	More engagement needed at all levels	<a href="#">1.1</a> , <a href="#">1.2</a> , <a href="#">2.3</a> , <a href="#">5.1</a> , <a href="#">5.2</a>
<b>Public Engagement</b>	Some general outreach efforts	Not a priority at this time	<a href="#">4.1</a>
<b>Landowner Engagement</b>	Some targeted outreach	More education/TA needed	<a href="#">2.6</a> , <a href="#">4.1</a>

Factor	Current Efforts	Gap	Actions (critical in bold)
<i>What is impacting our ability to achieve our outcome?</i>	<i>What current efforts are addressing this factor?</i>	<i>What further efforts or information are needed to fully address this factor?</i>	<i>What actions are essential to achieve our outcome?</i>
<b>Funding or Financial Resources</b>	Cost-share funding available for Ag lands, FSA provides funding for 11 forester positions	Need more and consistent funding for more TAs and non-ag buffer programs	<a href="#">2.3</a> , <a href="#">2.4</a> , <a href="#">2.6</a> , <a href="#">5.1</a> , <a href="#">5.2</a>

WORK PLAN ACTIONS					
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party (or Parties)	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline
<b>Management Approach 1: RFB Leadership</b>					
<b>1.1</b>	State RFB leadership	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) Coordination meetings with NRCS and Water Quality leads</li> <li>b) New sources of funding (See also 2.2, 2.6, 5.2)</li> <li>c) Increased funding</li> </ul>	State Leads	Bay wide	Ongoing
<b>1.2</b>	Target RFB to local areas where most needed	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) FWG and state leads use diagnostic tools to show where buffers are most needed</li> <li>b) Set goals and work with local governments and local ag teams to deliver targeted programs</li> </ul>	USFS, state leads, LGAC, EPA	Bay wide	
<b>1.3</b>	MB/PSC	MB/PSC chooses their actions with support from the RFB Action Team	USFS	Bay wide	Ongoing
<b>1.4</b>	Work on Policies to Increase RFB on landscape	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) #new buffer programs</li> <li>b) New federal policy for RFB/CRP</li> <li>c) Conservation policies to reduce RFB loss</li> </ul>	CBC, Choose Clean Water Coalition	Bay wide	Ongoing
<b>Management Approach 2: Improved Ag RFB Programs</b>					
<b>2.1</b>	Coordinated local team approach (in combination with leadership and training)	Increase RFB enrollment in <a href="#">counties showing up as light colored</a>	SWCDs, States	Bay wide	Ongoing
<b>2.2</b>	Increase state and local funding for CRP (or similar RFB program)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) Increase, stabilize funding (no breaks in CRP)</li> <li>b) New programs in place</li> </ul>	States, FSA	Bay wide	Ongoing

**WORK PLAN ACTIONS**

<b>Action #</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Performance Target(s)</b>	<b>Responsible Party (or Parties)</b>	<b>Geographic Location</b>	<b>Expected Timeline</b>
<b>2.3</b>	Find ways to make existing program more efficient	a) Employ LEAN process on RFB in PA b) Additional staff and training as needed (separate from TA)	States, USFS, EPA	Bay wide	a) June 2019 b) Ongoing
<b>2.4</b>	Pursue a state/private-funded RFB program (see 6.1)	a) Pay for Success programs developed b) Identify additional funding available	States, USFS, partners	Bay wide	Ongoing
<b>2.5</b>	Implement RFB Verification	Update NEIEN with verified RFB acres	States	Bay wide	Ongoing
<b>2.6</b>	Develop programs to provide comprehensive services for landowners with RFB; maintenance at scale	Maintenance programs	States, partners	Bay wide	Ongoing
<b>Management Approach 3: RFB Improved Technical Assistance</b>					
<b>3.1</b>	Work to improve staffing to provide more technical assistance	a) Maintain existing trained personnel b) Hire additional field personnel through grant from FSA	FSA, USFS, NRCS, CBC, States, SWCDs, Ag consultants	Bay wide	Ongoing
<b>3.2</b>	Improve Technical Service Providers' ability to offer RFBs	a) Trainings and trainees b) New TSPs working on RFB	States, USFS, NRCS, FSA, SWCD	Bay wide	Ongoing
<b>3.3</b>	Whole-farm hydrology planning to improve flow issues/decrease buffer by-pass	a) Whole farm hydrology trainings for RFB b) Design plans to address buffer by-pass	USFS, Stroud, NRCS, States	Bay wide	Ongoing
<b>Management Approach 4: RFB Outreach and Communications</b>					
<b>4.1</b>	Produce Outreach and Communications Plan for RFB	Produce Outreach and Communications Plan for RFB	ACB (CBP Comm Staff), USFS, States and FWG	Bay wide	June 2019
<b>Management Approach 5: Focus on Non-Ag</b>					
<b>5.1</b>	Develop RFB/Tree planting program targeted to MS4s/local governments/institutions that may include private investment and charitable contributions	a) Pay for Success programs developed b) Additional programs funded c) #new partnerships	USFS, States, partners	Bay wide	Ongoing
<b>5.2</b>	Increase regular funding (i.e. CBIG/319) for RFB programs on non-Ag lands	a) New programs for non-Ag lands b) Additional funding available	States	Bay wide	Ongoing

**WORK PLAN ACTIONS**

<b>Action #</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Performance Target(s)</b>	<b>Responsible Party (or Parties)</b>	<b>Geographic Location</b>	<b>Expected Timeline</b>
5.3	Train NGOs (e.g., Master Naturalists, tree groups) to deliver forest buffers in developed areas	a) # trainings b) #people trained c) Training guide prepared	FWG, state forestry agencies	Baywide	
5.4	Work with institutions, public land managers, and other land owners to be better buffer stewards	#new partners taking action on buffers	FWG, Businesses for the Bay, InterFaith, etc.	Baywide	