

## Notes from the 10/16/17 Climate Resiliency Workgroup Meeting

1. In your opinion, what should be the stated purpose(s) of this suite of indicators?
  - Understand basic physical trends, changes, impacts
  - Communicating those trends & impacts.
  - Making the data available
  - Communication need beyond programmatic objectives
  - Satisfying general interest for community (esp. city & county level) and homeowner level.
  - Measurements that can help inform progress and how to allocate resources
  - Optimizing decision making
  - Potential for improvement or expansion of available data
  - Actionable for next workplan
  - Relationship to BMPs (forward looking) and trends that relate
2. What additional considerations do you feel are important when selecting the final suite of indicators—for example, number of indicators and qualities that make for a cohesive “whole”?
  - Weaving a thread between trend/impact/response (storytelling), but without sacrificing standalone value
  - Actionable for this group, where we can offer support
  - Broader focus beyond the shoreline, including watershed-level resiliency activity (prioritize broader scope over size of resiliency effort)
  - Diversity, with eye to communication value
  - Prioritize societal value and human health components
  - Consider the coordination of the expansion of metrics