Modeling Workgroup Meeting Quarterly Review

Optimization Update: Development of A Memetic Algorithm for Large-Scale Watershed Optimization

Kalyanmoy Deb, Pouyan Nejadhashemi, Gregorio Toscano, and Sebastian Hernandez-Suarez 6 JANUARY 2021 MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY





- Optimization Problems and Methods
 - Single-objective, multi-objective, large-scale, robust, surrogateassisted
- Project Plan in brief
- Objective 1: Understanding the CAST system and Development of an Efficient Single-objective Hybrid Optimization Procedure
 - April 1, 2020 to September 30, 2021 (18 months)
- Current Accomplishments

Optimization Problems

- Single objective function, usually has a single optimum
- Multiple objectives, usually lead to a Pareto-optimal set
 - Choose a single preferred solution through a multi-criterion decision-making
- Large dimensions (variables and constraints)
- Uncertainties in variables and parameters
- Evaluation is computationally expensive, requiring surrogates

Optimization Methods

- Optimization methods:
 - Point-based: Fast but local approach, sensitive to initial point
 - Population-based: Global approach to near-optimality
 - No one method is provably best for all problems (NFL theorem)
- Hybrid and Customized optimization principle
 - Hybrid: Population-based methods for global perspective aided with point-based method for faster search
 - Customization: Problem-specific algorithm design
- Evolutionary optimization is flexible to be aided for handling practicalities

Some Past Applied Optimization Studies by the PIs

- A polynomial-time algorithm for a Billion-variable integer linear program
- Customized algorithms for Rolling mill sequencing, Manufacturing process, Airline crew scheduling, etc.
- Popular multi-objective algorithms (NSGA-II, NSGA-III)
- An astronomically large-sized Land Use Management problem with 14 objectives and involving human decision-makers
- A Gasoline Engine design for 6 objectives with 145 variables and 146 constraints
- A Water Jacket design for better heat transfer requiring 2 days of evaluation per solution involving 500+ processors

EPA Optimization Project Plan (6 years)

- Develop efficient optimization algorithms
 - Integration with CAST system
 - County, multi-county, state, multi-state, and watershed level
 - Hybrid and customized approach
- Min. Cost subject to Loading constraints
- Multi-objective: Min. (Cost, Loadings)
 - MCDM with stake-holders
 - Robust solutions
 - Knowledge-based optimization
- Implementation through validation and discussion with CBP users



Objective I: Understanding the CAST system and Development of an Efficient Single-objective Hybrid Optimization Procedure (April 1, 2020 to September 30, 2021)

- Understanding CAST modules and effect of BMPs on objectives and constraints (Achieved)
- Development of a simplified point-based structured singleobjective optimization procedure
 - Gradient-based IPOPT (ongoing)
- Development of a hybrid customized single-objective optimization procedure
 - Customized Genetic Algorithms (ongoing)
- Verification and validation with CBP users and decision-makers and update of optimization procedure (planned)

Optimizing Efficiency BMPs

Single-objective Formulation:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{Minimize} & f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum\limits_{s \in S} \sum\limits_{h \in H_s} \sum\limits_{u \in U} \sum\limits_{b \in B_u} \tau_b x_{s,h,u,b}, & (\text{Cost of BMP Implementation}) \\ \text{Subject to} & \sum\limits_{s \in S} \sum\limits_{h \in H_s} \sum\limits_{u \in U} \left[\alpha_{s,h,u} \phi_{s,h,u} \Pi_{G^B \in \mathcal{G}^B} \left(1 - \sum\limits_{b \in G^B} \eta_{s,h,b} \frac{x_{s,h,u,b}}{\alpha_{s,h,u}} \right) \right] \leq \Theta, & (\text{Restricted} \\ \text{Loadings}) \\ & \sum\limits_{b \in G^B} x_{s,h,u,b} \leq \alpha_{s,h,u}, & \forall s \in S, \ h \in H_s, \ u \in U_s, \ G^B \in \mathcal{G}^B, \\ & x_{s,h,u,b} \geq 0, \quad \forall s \in S, \ h \in H_s, \ u \in U_s, \ b \in B_u. \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{(1)} \\ \text{The variable } x_{s,h,u,b} \text{ indicates the acres used for implementing a BMP } b \\ \text{to reduce a load resource } u. \end{array}$$

A snap picture of the whole problem

- High-dimensional: more than 2 million real variables at the watershed level
- Overlapping regions: 300 000 groups of variables
- Highly constrained: more than 100 000 constraints
- Multi-objective by nature: constraints can be naturally viewed as objectives
- Preference Incorporation and decision making

Previous work

- A local search approach based on an interior point method
- A Genetic Algorithm that finds very good solutions. However require a large number of function evaluations

Performed Improvements

- Improve the quality of solutions in both cost function and the load constraints
- Improve the execution efficiency in both the number of evaluations required and the execution time
- Solve county to multi-county problems
- Three improvements were implemented on Ipopt
 - Create an initial feasible solution
 - Use gradients
 - C++ implementation

Feasible solution to feed our local search approach

- The performance of interior-point-based approaches depends on the starting point
- Start with an initial feasible solution

Jacobian and Hessian matrix improves the convergence

- The Jacobian and Hessian are powerful tools to improve the performance of optimization approaches
- We provide the analytical **Jacobian** and **Hessian** to our approach
- The combination of feasible start solution + analytical Jacobian and Hessian matrices reduce the fitness function evaluations to 30% from original optimization algorithm

C++ programming language for fast execution

- We rewrote our interior-point-based method in C++, so it could execute faster (originally developed in Python)
- The approach computes the function up to 80 times faster (with the compiler optimization code included)

Result from several counties compared to original Ipopt

- Our new method reduced \$137,377 on an average
- Our new method reduced 12% of the post-treatment load in average



Speed Improvement compared to original Ipopt





Test different Ipopt Solvers in order to Identify weaknesses and strengths

- Ipopt is able to use different solvers
- We tested 6 different solvers
- The fastest solver MA27 generally has memory problems crashing runs above 10,000 constraints
- We have not had any problem with MA47 solver and it is the second fastest algorithm for the problems we tried

Multi-County capabilities

- We compare Single County Solution with respect to a Multi-county Solution
 - Multi-county approach is promising





Parallel execution for an even faster response

- To execute even faster, we use OpenMP. Our computer system has 32 threads. In the initial experiments, we had speed up of 30X of function, constraint, Jacobian and Hessian evaluation
- Improving the execution may allow us to execute the approach with several values of the post-treatment load
- Having several executions with different post-treatment loads can help decision makers

CAST System and Optimization: Summary

- The preliminary study suggested that both **BGA** and **Ipopt** can produce good quality results and are potentially good candidates
- Ipopt approach can be used in a hybrid manner (for local search) within a GA
 - Taking best aspects of both optimization algorithms

CAST System and Optimization: Next Steps

- Develop a Customized GA for a single-objective opt.:
 - Developing customized genetic operators and customized initialization should improve our BGA results
 - Hybridizing GA with Ipopt
 - A scale-up study with increase in variables
 - Computational power of GPUs can help us develop a faster algorithm
- Linking optimization method with CAST