

Synthesis Element 2: Identification of Where Rising Bay Water Temperatures will have the Most Impacts on Bay Fish, Shellfish and Crab Populations and Their Prey Including Identification of Critical Temperatures/Temperature Changes

July 30, 2021 DRAFT

Synthesis Task:

- Compile a [table](#) listing temperature sensitivities of key Bay fish, shellfish and crab species/communities and their principal prey cross referenced with the geographical range of their habitats and existing information on where their habitats are most endangered due to increasing water temperatures.
 - Complete
- Describe where observed declines in Bay fish, shellfish and crab can be partially explained by observed increasing water temperatures.
 - We didn't really have the resources to answer this on a fine spatial scale. Not completed
- Based on the Partnership's spatial and temporal projections for increasing tidal Bay water temperature in the coming years to decades, lay out the anticipated implications for Bay fish, shellfish and crab species/communities with high sensitivities to water temperatures.
 - Complete
- Share information on the vulnerability, impacts, uncertainty, and science gaps for increasing temperature on key species and habitats using oysters, blue crab, striped bass and bay anchovy as representative species
 - Complete

A. Contributors

Bruce Vogt, NOAA; Mandy Bromilow, NOAA Affiliate; Justin Shapiro, CRC; Jay Lazar, NOAA; Emily Farr, NOAA

B. Resources

- [NOAA's Northeast Species Climate Vulnerability Ranking Profiles](#)
- NOAA's Habitat Vulnerability Ranking Profiles
- MD Sea Grant EBFM Species Fact Sheets
 - [Striped Bass](#)
 - [Blue Crab](#)


- Other Chesapeake Bay-specific literature put forward by working group members and scientists (Found in bibliography)

C. Approach


The synthesis uses representative bay species to contextualize effects from rising temperatures. A number of factors were considered in choosing the representative species. These included, ecological importance, economic value, cultural significance, biological diversity, management structure, and differing anticipated responses to increasing temperatures. These considerations led to synthesis summaries on blue crab, eastern oyster, striped bass, summer flounder, and forage species (bay anchovy, Atlantic menhaden, and polychaetes).

All of the above mentioned species (with the exception of polychaetes) are assigned a climate vulnerability ranking from NOAA's [Northeast Fish and Shellfish Climate Vulnerability Assessment](#) (Hare et al. 2016). This assessment ranks species vulnerability by calculating exposure and sensitivity scores using a process of expert elicitation under agreed-upon criteria. Exposure refers to climate variables that impact the species (e.g., rising water temperature), while sensitivity refers to attributes of the species that determine their response to those climate impacts (e.g., occurs in a limited temperature range).

Figure 1. Definitions of Vulnerability, Sensitivity and Exposure used in the assessments



The vulnerability assessment also provides species narratives with a focus on life history, drivers of climate vulnerability, likely climate effects, and predicted distributional shifts. The assessment process can be seen in flow diagram. Specifics about the vulnerability ranking methodology can be seen [here](#). An important note on the term “vulnerability”: under this assessment vulnerability is the extent to which the abundance or productivity of a species may be impacted by climate change, which may be either positive or negative. For example, blue crabs are ranked as very highly vulnerable, but will most likely be a climate change “winner” in the Chesapeake Bay region. Below is a summary of each species, their vulnerability to climate change, sensitivity to increasing temperatures, and impacts on key habitats of interest.



It is important to recognize that temperature is just one of many interconnected stressors that impact species recruitment, health, and abundance. A good example of temperature not telling the full story is the eastern oyster (detailed below). Oysters are classified as “low” for temperature sensitivity according to the NOAA Climate Vulnerability Assessment, as they can be found as far south as the Gulf of Mexico. Other related climatic stressors such as ocean acidification and freshwater input score as “highly sensitive” for oysters, making the species “very highly” vulnerable to a changing climate.

A recently completed NOAA assessment of the climate vulnerability of marine, estuarine, and riverine habitats in the Northeast U.S. using a very similar framework to the one described for fish and shellfish species above (Farr et al. 2021, in prep) was used to consider temperature impacts on key habitats required by the representative species. Estuarine habitats evaluated include salt marsh, SAV, and shellfish reef. Lastly the synthesis provides an overview of existing management frameworks being used to advance climate science priorities and include climate impacts to guide ecosystem based fishery management efforts.

D. Synthesis

Eastern Oyster

Climate Vulnerability: Very High

Temperature Sensitivity: Low

Temperature Narrative Information:

- Spawning & Recruitment:
 - Northern climates - spawning occurs in the summer only (EOBRT 2007)
 - Southern climates - spawning can occur all year if temperatures remain above 20 degrees celsius (EOBRT 2007)
 - Reductions in recruitment in Chesapeake Bay were due to decreased spawning stock biomass (decreased spawning stock biomass has also contributed to a decrease in oyster reef substrate needed for recruitment) and climate-driven changes in environmental conditions (Kimmel and Newell, 2007).
- Juveniles:
 - Larvae do not tolerate high temperatures and have a narrower salinity tolerance range than adults (Sellers and Stanley, 1984; EOBRT, 2007).
 - Shell growth of juvenile Eastern Oysters is lower under lower aragonite saturation states (Ries et al., 2009) and lower pH (Waldbusser et al., 2011).
- Adult & General:
 - Oyster growth and reproductive rates peak in waters ranging in temperature from 20-30°C and they can live in water temperatures of 0-36°C (Shumway 1996; Lenihan 1999).
 - Though temperature sensitivity for oysters is classified as low, other climatic factors closely connected with temperature, such as ocean acidification and freshwater increases, are driving the species' high vulnerability scores (NOAA Climate Vulnerability Assessment). Warming coupled with eutrophication common in many coastal estuaries will likely amplify the conditions that result in bottom water hypoxia, further contributing to subtidal shellfish reef habitat loss.
 - Exposure to warming (and other stressors) may influence oyster tissue and shell growth later in the oyster's life. Responses to current stress can be strongly shaped by previous stress exposure, and may influence the fitness, production, and restoration. (Donelan et al. 2021)
 - Warming air and water can increase the susceptibility of shellfish to disease, parasites and predation by local and invasive species (Smolowitz 2013; Burge et al. 2014).

Likely Distributional Shift/Impact from Climate:

- The effect of climate change on Eastern Oyster on the Northeast U.S. Shelf is very likely to be negative (>95% certainty in expert scores).

Blue Crab

Climate Vulnerability: Very High

Temperature Sensitivity: Moderate

Temperature Narrative Information:

- Spawning & Recruitment:
 - Female blue crabs may mature and mate earlier because of warming temperatures. However small size at maturation increases vulnerability to predation and diminishes the number of offspring produced per brood. (MD Sea Grant EBFM)
- Juvenile:
 - Predation and cannibalism on juveniles is also higher during warm seasons; therefore the juvenile portion of the population might also be negatively impacted by the extended warm temperatures predicted. (MD Sea Grant EBFM)
- Adult/General:
 - Blue Crab survival in Chesapeake Bay is higher during mild winters (Rome et al., 2005; Bauer and Miller, 2010), meaning warmer winters should lead to higher survival and population productivity.
 - Blue Crab also are moving into the Gulf of Maine and this has been linked to increasing temperatures (Johnson, 2014).

Likely Distributional Shift/Impact from Climate:

- The effect of climate change on Blue Crab on the Northeast U.S. Shelf is estimated to be neutral, but with a moderate degree of uncertainty (66-90% certainty in expert scores).
- Warming may lead to increased productivity and northward shifts in the region, both of which would represent positive effects of climate change, but more research is needed to confirm these effects.

Striped Bass

Climate Vulnerability: Very High

Temperature Sensitivity: Low/Moderate

Temperature Narrative Information:

- Spawning & Recruitment:
 - Temperature induced overwinter mortality of juveniles is important for recruitment in northern portions of striped bass range. (Hurst and Conover, 1998)
 - Survival of striped bass larvae is highest at temperatures of 18 degrees celsius. (Secour and Houde, 1995). Continued Bay warming will likely result in a fast transition of spring to summer, reducing optimal temperature time for larval survival (MD Sea Grant EBFM).
- Adult/General:
 - Increasing summer temperatures resulted in a reduction of Chesapeake Bay striped bass habitat . (Coutant and Benson 1990)
 - Winter warming could also promote year-round residency, and reduce overwinter juvenile mortality leading to increased pressure on the forage species targeted by striped bass. (MD Sea Grant EBFM)
 - Earlier migrations, during warmer springs, can increase chances of spawning/recruitment prior to set catch seasons hence lowering fish mortality prior to reproduction. (Peer and Miller 2014)
 - As found by Coutant and Cox, striped bass' thermal niches in mature specimens are most optimal between 24 and 26 degrees (Uphoff, 2011)
 - Striped bass detections indicated tolerance of a wide range of surface water temperatures, including those >25°C, which regional regulatory bodies stipulate are stressful for this species. Still, during summer and fall striped bass selected the lowest-available temperature and avoided water temperature >27°C, demonstrating that Chesapeake Bay striped bass can encounter habitat compressions due to the behavioural avoidance of bottom hypoxia and high temperatures. (Itakura et al. 2021)

Likely Distributional Shift/Impact from Climate:

- The effect of climate change on Striped Bass on the Northeast U.S. Shelf is estimated to be neutral, but with a moderate degree of uncertainty (66-90% certainty in expert

scores). The uncertainty likely stems from the complex life history and the potential for different aspects of climate change to affect the species differently.

- Increasing temperatures could reduce habitat in the southern part of the Northeast U.S. Shelf while increasing habitat in the northern portions.

Summer Flounder

Climate Vulnerability: Moderate

Temperature Sensitivity: Low

Temperature Information Narrative:

- Summer Flounder productivity may change with the changing climate. Recent changes in Summer Flounder distribution also have been identified and linked to climate (Pinsky et al 2013)
- Other evidence suggests that changes in Summer Flounder distribution are linked to reductions in fishing and expanding population rather than changes in temperature. (Bell et al, 2014; Murawski, 1993)

Likely Distributional Shift/Impact from Climate:

- The effect of climate change on Summer Flounder on the Northeast U.S. Shelf is estimated to be neutral but with high uncertainty (<66% certainty in expert scores).
- Adult distribution has shifted northward, but this is linked to changes in fishing.
- Also, productivity of the stock has remained fairly constant over the past 3 decades, during which temperatures in the ecosystem have increased.

Forage (Anchovy, Menhaden, Polychaetes)

Climate Vulnerability: Low to Moderate

Temperature Sensitivity: Low

Temperature Information Narrative:

- There have been surprisingly few studies of the effect of climate change on Anchoa spp., especially in the Northeast U.S. Shelf ecosystem.

- A bioenergetics model was developed for anchovies in the Chesapeake Bay; work indicated that bay anchovy consumption of zooplankton will increase with warming waters. (Lou and Brandt, 1993)
- A Black Sea ecosystem bioenergetics model was also developed, indicating population productivity of anchovies would increase as temperature increases. (Güraslan et al., 2014)
- The rate of springtime warming, i.e. how quickly water temperatures rise in the spring, is a primary driver of forage fish abundance. Faster (earlier) springtime warming leads to decreased abundance of forage fishes. (Woodland et. al, 2021)

Likely Distributional Shift/Impact from Climate:

- The effect of climate change on anchovies on the Northeast U.S. Shelf is very likely to be positive (>95% certainty in expert scores). As warming continues more habitat in the Northeast U.S. is expected to become available.
- Based on research in other regions, population productivity is also likely to increase with continued warming.
- The effect of climate change on Atlantic Menhaden on the Northeast U.S. Shelf is very likely to be positive (90-95% certainty in expert scores). Recruitment will likely increase as temperature warm and more spawning occurs in the region. Adult distribution will likely extend northwards and the species may re-occupy the Gulf of Maine during summertime.

Shifting species distributions

- There is evidence that climate drivers including temperature are allowing range expansion for cobia, brown shrimp, and red drum. The impacts of southern species moving into the Bay are not fully understood. However, the increased abundance of brown shrimp has led to a new fishery in the Bay and some scientists have pointed to red drum increasing predation pressure on species such as blue crab.

Invasive Species

- There were no vulnerability assessments conducted specific to invasive species in the Chesapeake Bay. Here we classify invasive species as those introduced to non-native habitats from factors other than northward climate-driven distribution shifts. A number of key invasive generalists (ie. Blue Catfish) are increasing in abundance, impacting trophic interactions, and driving attention to management response. Typically, these generalists are classified as climate change “winners” with less restrictive

temperature/salinity ranges than many native bay specialists. More on invasive catfish is available in the [2017 Invasive Catfish Symposium Workshop Summary](#).

- The Northeast habitat climate vulnerability assessment included invasive wetlands, which were determined to be moderately vulnerable to climate change. Invasive wetlands were the only habitats in the assessment expected to be positively impacted by climate change, given their high adaptation to disturbance and the likelihood that invasive wetland plants will outcompete native salt marsh species.

Vulnerable Habitats Important to Representative Bay Species

Changing temperature impacts these species in both direct and indirect ways. Importantly, eastern oyster, blue crab, striped bass, and forage species all rely on nearshore habitats that are highly or very highly vulnerable to climate change. The impact of rising temperatures on these habitats will therefore have implications for the species that depend on those habitats. The table below details the habitat dependence of each of these species by life stage on a few key estuarine habitats: salt marsh, SAV, and shellfish reef. The impacts of rising temperature on water column habitat is described in the text below, but not included in the table, since each of the representative species depends on the water column throughout its life cycle. The importance of each habitat by life stage comes from a [habitat-species matrix](#) developed by the Atlantic Coastal Fish Habitat Partnership (ACFHP). The habitat climate vulnerability rankings come from Farr et al. 2021, and the species vulnerability rankings from Hare et al. 2016.

Habitat Name	Species	Importance of habitat by life stage (ACFHP)			
		Eggs/Larva	Juvenile/YOY	Adult	Spawning Adult
Estuarine emergent wetland	Striped bass		Moderate	Moderate	
	Blue crab		High	High	
	Summer flounder		High	Moderate	
	Winter flounder	High	Moderate		High
Estuarine submerged aquatic vegetation	Striped bass		Moderate	Moderate	
	Black sea bass		High		
	Blue crab	Very high	Very high		
	Summer flounder		High	Moderate	

Estuarine shellfish reef	Black sea bass		High	High	
	Blue crab	Moderate	Moderate	Moderate	
	Summer flounder		Moderate		
	Menhaden			Low	
Legend		<i>Very High Vulnerability</i>	<i>High Vulnerability</i>	<i>Moderate Vulnerability</i>	<i>Low Vulnerability</i>

Climate Vulnerability and Impacts of Rising Temperature on Key Habitats

Estuarine Emergent Wetland:

Very highly vulnerable to climate change

- Most salt marsh flora are eurythermal. Rising temperatures may lead to changes in plant physiological processes including an increase in photosynthetic rates and plant biomass (Charles and Dukes 2009; Gedan and Bertness 2010; Kirwan and Mudd 2012).
- Temperature can have indirect effects on salt marshes by influencing production of soil organic matter, rates of evaporation and decomposition, and salt marsh community composition (Najjar et al. 2000; Charles and Dukes 2009; Gedan and Bertness 2009; Gedan and Bertness 2010; Carey et al. 2017). Salt marshes are also sensitive to changes in the marsh platform, as rising temperatures can cause an increase in decay rate of organic matter. This may offset the enhanced productivity and soil carbon accumulation associated with increased temperatures (Kirwan and Blum 2011).
- The precise responses of coastal wetlands to increased warming are difficult to predict given the complexity of interactions among biological and environmental factors (Cahoon et al. 2009). For example, Kirwan et al. (2009) reported an increase in productivity of smooth cordgrass throughout its range in North America by about 50-100 g per m² per year under a projected warming of 2-4°C. For the Mid-Atlantic and New England regions, this would represent a 10-40% increase in productivity for smooth cordgrass, which approximates the projected marsh losses due to sea level rise.

Estuarine Submerged Aquatic Vegetation:

Highly vulnerable to climate change

- Increases in water temperature may impact the normal timing of flowering and seed production in both eelgrass and widgeon grass (Short and Neckles 1999). Increases in water temperature as small as 1°C have been shown to advance flower formation in eelgrass by 12 days and seedling maturation by 10.8 days (Blok et al. 2018). It is not clear

what changes in the timing of the normal reproductive cycle may mean for the long term survival of individual meadows.

- Increased water temperatures may lead to a reduction in the distribution and productivity of eelgrass over its existing range (Moore et al. 1996; Short and Neckles 1999). Widgeon grass is unlikely to be negatively affected by increasing water temperature along the Atlantic coast due to its higher temperature tolerance (Kantrud 1991). As water temperatures increase, widgeon grass distribution is likely to increase in the study area, replacing eelgrass meadows in the southern portion of eelgrass' current distribution (Moore et al. 2014). For most of its range, eelgrass actively grows from spring through fall. At the southern edge of its range, eelgrass grows from fall through spring, disappearing in the summer (Thayer et al. 1984; Short and Neckles 1999). As sea surface temperature increases, it is likely this adaptation in the growing season will move northward (Short and Neckles 1999).
- Increased water temperature may also lead to greater survival and distribution of invasive species that negatively impact eelgrass (Neckles 2015; Carman et al 2019; Young and Elliot 2020). Warmer winter temperatures have led to greater green crab overwinter survival (Young and Elliott 2020), which have been shown to cause the decline of hundreds of acres of eelgrass in Maine and Canada (Neckles 2015). Invasive tunicates also have the potential to lead to eelgrass shoot mortality (Wong and Vercaemer 2012). Latitudinal changes in invasive tunicates distribution on eelgrass have been documented, and changing water temperature is likely contributing to this shift (Carman et al. 2016; Carman et al. 2019).
- Meadows with higher genetic diversity have proven more resilient to extended heat waves (Dubois et al. 2019).

Estuarine Shellfish Reef

Very highly vulnerable to climate change

See above section on Eastern Oyster climate vulnerability

Estuarine Water Column

Highly vulnerable to climate change

- Water temperature in estuaries is largely influenced by heat exchange with the atmosphere and freshwater input, the temperature of which is also influenced by heat exchange with the atmosphere (Hare et al. 2010). The temperature of the region's estuaries have warmed over the past several decades (Bell et al. 2014).
- Stratification in estuaries is unlikely to change much because of wind and tidal mixing. However, stratification could increase as a result of increased freshwater inflows and increased air temperatures (Najjar et al. 2010). Changes in stratification could have consequences for oxygen-levels; hypoxia does occur in estuarine systems throughout the Northeast largely as a result of summertime thermal stratification and increased primary production (Nixon et al. 2009)

Gaps:

- There is a need for downscaled climate models with better resolution in the nearshore and coastal environments for projected temperature and other factors.
- Both the species and habitat climate vulnerability assessments described here were conducted at a regional scale. Climate change often impacts species and habitats at much smaller scales, with variability between estuaries, watersheds, or basins. Finer-scale assessments of climate vulnerability may be something to work towards.
- Spatial information on the distribution of habitats is fairly limited for several habitat types, highlighting a need for better data.


E. Evaluation

Key Findings

- Likely winners:
 - Blue crab- increased survival and productivity linked to increasing temperatures
 - Menhaden- increased survival and productivity linked to increasing temperatures
 - Bay Anchovy- increased survival and productivity linked to increasing temperatures, however a change in the rate of springtime warming could decrease abundances
- Likely losers
 - Oysters-caused by multiple climate stressor not solely temperature
- Neutral Uncertain due to utilization of bay at various life stages
 - Summer flounder-potential changes in habitat suitability
 - Striped bass-potential negative impacts on spawning, larval survival and adult habitat availability

Management Implications

- Climate impacts on fisheries threaten fishing communities, the economy, and require new science based approaches to managing fishery resources. The NOAA Fisheries Climate Science Strategy is part of a proactive approach to increase the production, delivery, and use of climate-related information needed to support management. The Strategy identifies seven objectives which will provide decision-makers with the information they need to reduce impacts and increase resilience with changing climate and ocean conditions.



- The Strategy responds to growing demands for information and tools to prepare for and respond to climate impacts on marine and coastal resources. It is being implemented through [Regional Action Plans](#) that focus on building regional capacity, partners, products and services to address the seven objectives.
- The NOAA Chesapeake Bay Office has prioritized impacts of changing environmental conditions including climate change in recent research funding opportunities. NCBO is collaborating with scientists funded through those opportunities to develop habitat suitability models and indicators that link temperature and other climate factors to impacts on striped bass, summer flounder and forage fish. The results of these studies can help inform Bay Program and regional fishery management decisions.
- NOAA publishes an annual [State of the Ecosystem Report for the Mid Atlantic](#). This report includes a section on habitat risks, climate and species implications. The report is used by the Mid Atlantic Fishery Management Council to update their Ecosystem Approach to Fisheries Management Risk Assessment. This is an example of how climate information can be synthesized for use by managers.

Further Follow-up Synthesis Work Planned or Under Consideration

- Additional information not linked to species of interest:

- Long term trends of zooplankton decreases (*A. tonsa*) are correlated with long term temperature increases (increased phytoplankton blooms earlier in season before optimal time for zooplankton to feed on - results in decomp and eutrophication) (Kimmel et al. 2012)
- There may be interest in exploring these lower trophic interactions when contextualizing temperature effects on living resources.
- Considering applications of emerging science: The Fisheries GIT and NOAA will track emerging science and convene partners to discuss applications of this work to indicator development and management.
 - SST Heat Wave Forecasts for the Chesapeake Bay (Andrew Ross NOAA)
 - Forage Habitat Suitability Models (Mary Fabrizio, VIMS)
 - Suitable habitat for anchovy was classified as bottom average of 23.7-27 degrees celsius
 - Increased temperature is expected to increase suitability for anchovy (and other high tolerance forage) but it's unclear the interaction with other climate change factors like lowered salinity
 - Suitability of Striped Bass Nursery Habitat (Rachel Dixon, VIMS)

F. Bibliography

References Cited

- Bauer LJ, Miller TJ. Spatial and interannual variability in winter mortality of the blue crab (*Callinectes sapidus*) in the Chesapeake Bay. *Estuar Coasts*. 2010; 33(3): 678-687. DOI: 10.1007/s12237-009-9237-x
- Bell, R. J., Hare, J. A., Manderson, J. P., & Richardson, D. E. 2014. Externally driven changes in the abundance of summer and winter flounder. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* 71(9):2416-428.
- Bell RJ, Richardson DE, Hare JA, Lynch PD, Fratantoni PS. Disentangling the effects of climate, abundance, and size on the distribution of marine fish: an example based on four stocks from the Northeast US shelf. *ICES J Mar Sci*. 2014; fsu217. doi: 10.1093/icesjms/fsu217
- Blok SE, Olesen B, Krause-Jensen D. 2018. Life history events of eelgrass *Zostera marina* L. populations across a gradient of latitude and temperature. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 590:70-93. <https://doi.org/10.3354/meps12479>.
- Burge CA, Eakin CM, Friedman CS, Froelich B, Hershberger PK, Hofmann EE, Petes LE, Prager KC, Weil E, Willis BL, Ford SE, Harvell CD. 2014. Climate change influences on marine infectious

- diseases: implications for management and society. *Annual Review of Marine Science* 6:249-77.
- Cahoon DR, Reed DJ, Kolker AS, Brinson MM, Stevenson JC, Riggs S, Christian R, Reyes E, Voss C, Kunz D. 2009. Coastal wetland sustainability. Coastal sensitivity to sea-level rise: a focus on the Mid-Atlantic Region. [Titus JG, Anderson KE, Cahoon DR, Gesch DB, Gill SK, Gutierrez BT, Thieler ER, Williams SJ (eds)]. Report by the U.S. Climate Change Science Program and the Subcommittee on Global Change Research. Synthesis and Assessment Product 4.1. 57-72.
- Carey JC, Moran SB, Kelly RP, Kolker AS, Fulweiler RW. 2017. The declining role of organic matter in New England salt marshes. *Estuaries and Coasts* 40(3):626-39.
- Carman MR, Colarusso PD, Nelson EP, Grunden DW, Wong MC, McKenzie C, Matheson K, Davidson J, Fox S, Neckles HA, Bayley H, Schott S, Dijkstra JA, Stewart-Clark S. 2016. Distribution and diversity of tunicates utilizing eelgrass as substrate in the western North Atlantic between 39° and 47° north latitude (New Jersey to Newfoundland). *Management of Biological Invasions* 7(1):51-7.
- Carman MR, Colarusso PD, Neckles HA, Bologna P, Caines S, Davidson JDP, Evans, NT, Fox SE, Grunden DW, Hoffman S, Ma KCK, Matheson K, McKenzie CH, Nelson EP, Plaisted H, Reddington E, Schott S, Wong MC. 2019. Biogeographical patterns of tunicates utilizing eelgrass as a substrate in the western North Atlantic between 39° and 47° north latitude (New Jersey to Newfoundland). *Management of Biological Invasions* 10(4):602-16.
- Charles H, Dukes JS. 2009. Effects of warming and altered precipitation on plant and nutrient dynamics of a New England salt marsh. *Ecological Applications* 19(7):1758-73.
- Coutant CC, Benson DL. Summer habitat suitability for striped bass in Chesapeake Bay: reflections on a population decline. *Trans Am Fish Soc.* 1990; 119(4): 757-778. doi: 10.1577/1548- 8659(1990)119<0757:SHSFSB>2.3.CO;2
- Donelan, S. C., Breitburg, D., and Ogburn, M. B.. 2021. Context-dependent carryover effects of hypoxia and warming in a coastal ecosystem engineer. *Ecological Applications* 31(4):e02315. 10.1002/eap.2315
- Eastern Oyster Biological Review Team (EOBRT). Status review of the eastern oyster (*Crassostrea virginica*). Report to the National Marine Fisheries Service, Northeast Regional Office. 2007. NOAA Tech. Memo. NMFS F/SPO-88, 105 p. Available: http://www.nmfs.noaa.gov/pr/species/Status%20Reviews/eastern_oyster_sr_2007.pdf
- Farr ER, Johnson MR, Nelson MW, Hare JA, Morrison WE, Lettrich MD, Vogt B, Meaney C, Howson UA, Auster PJ, Borsuk FA, Brady DC, Cashman MJ, Colarusso P, Grabowski JH, Hawkes JP, Mercaldo-Allen R, Packer DB, Stevenson DK. 2021. An assessment of marine,

estuarine, and riverine habitat vulnerability to climate change in the Northeast U.S. PLoS One (in prep).

Gedan KB, Bertness MD. 2009. Experimental warming causes rapid loss of plant diversity in New England salt marshes. *Ecology Letters* 12(8):842-8.

Gedan KB, Bertness MD. 2010. How will warming affect the salt marsh foundation species *Spartina patens* and its ecological role? *Oecologia* 164(2):479-87.

Güraslan C, Fach BA, Oguz T. Modeling the impact of climate variability on Black Sea anchovy recruitment and production. *Fish Oceanogr.* 2014; 23(5): 436-457. doi: 10.1111/fog.12080

Hare JA, Alexander MA, Fogarty MJ, Williams EH, Scott JD. 2010. Forecasting the dynamics of a coastal fishery species using a coupled climate–population model. *Ecological Applications* 20(2):452-64.

Hare JA, Morrison WE, Nelson MW, Stachura MM, Teeters EJ, Griffis RB, et al. A vulnerability assessment of fish and invertebrates to climate change on the Northeast U.S. Continental Shelf. *PLoS One.* 2016; 11(2):e0146756. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0146756.

Hikaru Itakura, Michael H P O'Brien, David Secor, Tracking oxy-thermal habitat compression encountered by Chesapeake Bay striped bass through acoustic telemetry, *ICES Journal of Marine Science*, 2021;, fsab009, <https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsab009>

Hurst TP, Conover DO. Winter mortality of young-of-the-year Hudson River striped bass (*Morone saxatilis*): size-dependent patterns and effects on recruitment. *Can J Fish Aquat Sci.* 1998; 55(5): 1122- 1130. doi: 10.1139/cjfas-55-5-1122

Johnson DS. The savory swimmer swims north: a northern range extension of the blue crab *Callinectes sapidus*?. *J Crust Biol.* 2015; 35(1): 105-110. DOI: 10.1163/1937240X-00002293

Kantrud HA. 1991. Widgeongrass (*Ruppia maritima* L.): a literature review. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. *Fish and Wildlife Research* 10. 58 pp.

Kimmel DG, Newell RI. The influence of climate variation on eastern oyster (*Crassostrea virginica*) juvenile abundance in Chesapeake Bay. *Limnol Oceanogr.* 2007; 52(3): 959-965. doi: 10.4319/lo.2007.52.3.0959

Kimmel DG, Tarnowski M, Newell RI. Long-term (1939 to 2008) spatial patterns in juvenile eastern oyster (*Crassostrea virginica*, Gmelin 1791) abundance in the Maryland portion of Chesapeake Bay. *J Shell Res.* 2012; 31(4), 1023-1031. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.2983/035.031.0414>

- Kirwan ML, Blum LK. 2011. Enhanced decomposition offsets enhanced productivity and soil carbon accumulation in coastal wetlands responding to climate change. *Biogeosciences* 8(4):987-93.
- Kirwan ML, Guntenspergen GR, Morris JT. 2009. Latitudinal trends in *Spartina alterniflora* productivity and the response of coastal marshes to global change. *Global Change Biology* 15(8):1982-9.
- Kirwan ML, Mudd SM. 2012. Response of salt-marsh carbon accumulation to climate change. *Nature* 489(7417):550-3.
- Lenihan HS. 1999. Physical-biological coupling on oyster reefs: how habitat structure influences individual performance. *Ecological Monographs* 69:251-75.
- Lou J, Brandt SB. Bay anchovy production and consumption in mid-Chesapeake Bay based upon a bioenergetics model and acoustic measurements of fish abundance. *Mar Ecol Prog Ser.* 1993; 98: 223- 236.
- Marsh JA, Dennison WC, Alberte RS. 1986. Effects of temperature on photosynthesis and respiration in eelgrass (*Zostera marina* L.). *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 101:257-67.
- Moore KA, Neckles HA, Orth RJ. 1996. *Zostera marina* (eelgrass) growth and survival along a gradient of nutrients and turbidity in the lower Chesapeake Bay. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 142(1-3):247-59.
- Murawski SA. Climate change and marine fish distributions: forecasting from historical analogy. *Trans Am Fish Soc.* 1993; 122(5): 647-658. doi: 10.1577/1548-8659(1993)122<0647:CCAMFD>2.3.CO;2
- Najjar RG, Pyke CR, Adams MB, Breitburg D, Hershner C, Kemp M, Howarth R, Mulholland MR, Paolisso M, Secor D, Sellner K, Wardrop D, Wood R. 2010. Potential climate-change impacts on the Chesapeake Bay. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* 86(1):1-20.
- Najjar RG, Walker HA, Anderson PJ, Barron EJ, Bord RJ, Gibson JR, Kennedy VS, Knight CG, Megonigal JP, O'Connor RE, Polsky CD, Psuty NP, Richards BA, Sorenson LG, Steele EM, Swanson RS. 2000. The potential impacts of climate change on the mid-Atlantic coastal region. *Climate Research* 14(3):219-33.
- Neckles HA. 2015. Loss of eelgrass in Casco Bay, Maine, linked to green crab disturbance. *Northeastern Naturalist* 22(3):478-500.

- Nixon, S. W., Fulweiler, R. W., Buckley, B. A., Granger, S. L., Nowicki, B. L., & Henry, K. M. 2009. The impact of changing climate on phenology, productivity, and benthic–pelagic coupling in Narragansett Bay. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* 82(1):1-18.
- Peer, A. & Miller, Thomas. (2014). Climate Change, Migration Phenology, and Fisheries Management Interact with Unanticipated Consequences. *North American Journal of Fisheries Management*. 34. 10.1080/02755947.2013.847877.
- Pinsky, M. L., Worm, B., Fogarty, M. J., Sarmiento, J. L., & Levin, S. A. (2013). Marine taxa track local climate velocities. *Science*, 341, 1239–1242. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1239352>
- Ries JB, Cohen AL, McCorkle DC. Marine calcifiers exhibit mixed responses to CO2-induced ocean acidification. *Geol.* 2009; 37(12), 1131-1134. doi: 10.1130/G30210A.1
- Rome MS, Young-Williams AC, Davis GR, Hines AH. Linking temperature and salinity tolerance to winter mortality of Chesapeake Bay blue crabs (*Callinectes sapidus*). *J Exp Mar Biol Ecol.* 2005; 319(1): 129-145. DOI: 10.1016/j.jembe.2004.06.014
- Secor, D.H., Houde, E.D. Temperature effects on the timing of striped bass egg production, larval viability, and recruitment potential in the Patuxent River (Chesapeake Bay). *Estuaries* 18, 527–544 (1995). <https://doi.org/10.2307/1352370>
- Sellers MA, Stanley JG. Species profiles: life histories and environmental requirements of coastal fishes and invertebrates (North Atlantic) – American oyster. 1984. U.S. Fish Wildl. Serv. FWS/OBS-82/11.23. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, TR EL-82-4. 15 pp. Available: <http://www.nwrc.usgs.gov/publications/specprof.htm>
- Short FT, Neckles HA. 1999. The effects of global climate change on seagrasses. *Aquatic Botany* 63:169-96.
- Shumway CA. 1999. A neglected science: applying behavior to aquatic conservation. *Environmental Biology of Fishes* 55:183-201.
- Smolowitz R. 2013. A review of current state of knowledge concerning *Perkinsus marinus* effects on *Crassostrea virginica* (Gmelin) (the Eastern oyster). *Veterinary Pathology* 50(3):404-11.
- Thayer GW, Kenworthy WJ, Fonseca MS. 1984. The ecology of eelgrass meadows of the Atlantic coast: a community profile. U.S. Fish Wildlife Service. FWS/OBS-84/02. 147pp.
- Waldbusser GG, Voigt EP, Bergschneider H, Green MA, Newell RI. Biocalcification in the eastern oyster (*Crassostrea virginica*) in relation to long-term trends in Chesapeake Bay pH. *Estuar Coasts*. 2011; 34(2): 221-231. 10.1007/s12237-010-9307-0

Wong MC, Vercaemer, B. 2012. Effects of invasive tunicates and a native sponge on the growth, survival, and light attenuation of eelgrass (*Zostera marina*). *Aquatic invasions* 7(3):315-26.

Woodland, R.J., Buchheister, A., Latour, R.J. et al. Environmental Drivers of Forage Fishes and Benthic Invertebrates at Multiple Spatial Scales in a Large Temperate Estuary. *Estuaries and Coasts* 44, 921–938 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12237-020-00835-9>

Young AM, Elliott JA. 2020. Life history and population dynamics of green crabs (*Carcinus maenas*). *Fishes* 5(1):4. <https://doi10:3390/fishes5010004>.

Additional Resources