

**CBP WQGIT BMP Verification Committee  
Tuesday, June 19, 2012 Meeting  
Chesapeake Bay Program Office Main Conference Room  
410 Severn Avenue  
Annapolis, Maryland 21403**

Conference Call Number: 1-866-299-3188 Code: 2675715  
Abode Connect: [https://epa.connectsolutions.com/verification\\_sc/](https://epa.connectsolutions.com/verification_sc/)

**AGENDA**

Hot Dunkin Donuts Coffee and Tasty Treats for the Early Birds (8:30 AM)

**9:00 AM Objectives of Today's Conference Call – Rich Batiuk**

- Brief review of the agenda, the advance briefing materials, and desired outcomes from the meeting

**9:05 AM What is Verification and Will I Know it When I See It – Mark Dubin/Tom Schueler**

- A brief primer on some real live examples of BMP verification and the challenges we are facing so we all work from the same level of understanding

**9:15 AM Review of Source Sector and Habitat Workgroups' Draft Verification Protocols – Workgroup Chairs/ Coordinators**

- Agriculture: Frank Coale/Mark Dubin (9:15-9:45AM)
- Forestry: Sally Claggett (9:45-10:15AM)
- Stormwater: Norm Goulet/Tom Schueler (10:15-10:45AM)
- Wastewater/Onsite Treatment Systems: Tanya Spano/Ning Zhou (10:45-11:15AM)
- Streams: Mark Seacrist/Jennifer Greiner (11:15-11:35 AM)
- Wetlands: Melanie Steinkamp/Jennifer Greiner (11:35-11:55 PM)
- Open discussion across all source sectors/habitats (11:55AM - 12:30 PM)

**ACTION REQUESTED:** Committee members direct feedback to each workgroup chair/coordinator on what they are hearing in terms of protocols under development and how well those protocols match with draft BMP verification principles. Discussions of the need for a common format/set of content for documentation of each workgroup's recommended set of BMP verification protocols.

**12:30-12:45 PM Working Lunch** (of course—Batiuk is running this meeting!)

- Pick up lunches ordered at the beginning of the meeting.

**12:45 PM Ensuring Full Access to Federal Cost Shared Conservation Practices – Dean Hively**

**ACTIONS REQUESTED:** Committee members direct feedback to Dean on: 1) whether the process(es) being proposed will truly ensure full access to federal cost shared conservation practices; 2) whether the committee is comfortable with the proposed next steps to put in place ‘wall to wall’, internally consistent data sharing agreements between USDA NRCS/FSA and all six states; and 3) discussion on exactly what we are going to ask the PSC to decide on this winter.

**1:15 PM Addressing Double Counting through State Specific Protocols – Dean Hively**

**ACTIONS REQUESTED:** Committee members direct feedback to Dean on: 1) whether the state specific protocols being proposed will truly address double counting; and 2) whether the committee is comfortable with the proposed next steps and exactly what we are going to ask the PSC to decide on under the basinwide BMP verification framework.

**1:45 PM Addressing the Need for and Approaches to Cleaning-up of Historical Databases – Jeff Sweeney**

**ACTIONS REQUESTED:** Committee members direct feedback to Jeff on: 1) whether the proposed approaches/options to undertaking historical database clean-ups will be acceptable to the jurisdictions; 2) are there alternative ways to getting to the same end product; and 3) whether the committee is comfortable with the proposed next steps and exactly what we are going to ask the PSC to decide on the commitment to cleaning-up historical databases.

**2:15 PM Role of NEIEN in Ensuring Accounting for Non-cost Shared Data – Marty Hurd**

**ACTIONS REQUESTED:** Committee members direct feedback to Marty on whether the committee is comfortable with the proposed next steps and exactly what we are going to ask the PSC to decide on the ensuring the partnership uses NEIEN for the tracking and reporting of verified no-cost share BMP data.

**2:40 PM Institutionalizing the Application of Life Spans into our Tracking, Verification, and Reporting Systems – Mark Dubin/Tom Schueler/Jeff Sweeney**

**ACTIONS REQUESTED:** Committee members direct feedback to Mark, Tom and Jeff on: 1) whether the proposed approaches to application of life span will be acceptable to the jurisdictions and federal agency partners (e.g., USDA); 2) are there alternative ways to getting to the same outcome; 3) whether the committee is comfortable with the proposed next steps; and 4) discussion of exactly what we are going to ask the PSC to decide in terms of enforcing life spans within our tracking, verification and reporting systems.

**Adjourn 3:00 PM** (We certainly hope so!!)