

Federal Facilities Workgroup Minutes

Date: January 10, 2017

Time: 10:00 A.M. – 12:00 P.M.

Location: Large NPS Conference Call

Call-in: 866-299-3188

Code: 267-985-6222

Adobe Connect Link: <http://epawebconferencing.acms.com/FFWG/>

Meeting Webpage: <http://www.chesapeakebay.net/calendar/event/24540/>

Facility Viewing/Reporting Application: <http://gistest.chesapeakebay.net/fedfacs/>



Members in attendance

| | | | |
|---|---------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Greg Allen, EPA, <i>Coordinator</i> | Andy Fitch, USGS | Jack Lady, Army | Kevin Shao, Army |
| Joel Campbell, USGS | Kelly Gable, EPA | Marian Norris, NPS | Jeff Sweeny, EPA |
| Heather Cisar, USACE | Stephanie Gustafson, Army | Elisa Ortiz, DOD | Renee Thompson, USGS |
| James Davis-Martin, VDEQ, <i>Co-Chair</i> | Dana Jackson, USDA | Lisa Quiveors, DHS | Katherine Wares, CRC, <i>Staffer</i> |
| Sarah Diebel, DOD, <i>Co-Chair</i> | Rachel Knight, Navy | Greg Sandi, MDE | Bob Williams, Navy |

| Agenda Item, Discussion Lead and Desired Outcome | Time | Materials, Notes, and Action Items |
|--|-------------|--|
| Introductions (Discussion Lead: Sarah Diebel, DOD Navy) | 10:00-10:15 | <p>Summary of Action Items from December Meeting:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ask Renee Thompson whether the new boundary layer can be used to create a revised master list of facilities. In Progress <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ There are 5 missing facilities. Renee and Joel will work with these facility managers to get their data incorporated. • Ask Lucinda Power to review the WIP III Expectation document more thoroughly at a future FFWG meeting. In Progress (suggest Feb/March 2017) • Jeff and Peter will follow-up and provide more information regarding how to designate agricultural land uses. Complete • Jeff Sweeney to provide presentation to the federal partners on Beta 4 version of federal BMP reporting and identify if changes between Beta 4 and Beta 3 are present in January Complete • Sarah will revise and summarize options for fed ag land uses and will note where the group stood Complete |

| | | |
|--|-------------|--|
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sarah will present these options at the WQGIT meeting Monday, December 19th Complete • Jeff and Sarah will reach out to James and other jurisdictions for input before the WQGIT meeting Complete • Renee will reach out to Joel Campbell to decide whether to incorporate DOI into "Fed Other" Complete |
| Updates (Discussion Lead: Sarah Diebel) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Agricultural land use designation for federal lands | 10:15-10:25 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Meeting Materials</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Draft Schedule ○ WIP III Expectation Document ○ Mentions of fed facilities in current WIP III Expectation.ppt ○ Local Planning Goals Task Force Recommendations Document ○ Mentions of fed facilities in Local Planning Goals Recommendations.ppt • <u>Notes</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Sarah presented federal ag land use designation options to the WQGIT. It was decided that the Agricultural Workgroup would evaluate the options. Sarah will keep the group updated and informed on the Ag Workgroups thoughts and decisions. |
| Editor Tool and Related Issues (Discussion Lead: Renee Thompson, Andy Fitch) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Status of the master list • Editor tool database functions • Revising the agency groupings for Phase 6 model | 10:25-10:50 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Meeting Materials</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Draft Schedule ○ WIP III Expectation Document ○ Mentions of fed facilities in current WIP III Expectation.ppt ○ Local Planning Goals Task Force Recommendations Document ○ Mentions of fed facilities in Local Planning Goals Recommendations.ppt • <u>Notes</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Renee and Katherine will work together to create the master list that is consistent with the previous format. Renee cautioned that there are duplicates for some records in the dataset so the master list could be confusing, but they will work to clean the table up. The dataset is also already being incorporated into the model so it is unsure if changes can be made. It was noted not to worry about the duplicates though due to how the dataset is analyzed. ○ Renee will present a draft spreadsheet of the master list in February and the group will work to resolve it by March. There needs to be time to discuss expectations and fatal flaw errors. Greg asked if Renee could |

| | | |
|---|--------------------|---|
| | | <p>provide a visual map at the next meeting; Renee said yes, she could create a pdf map.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Andy gave a demo of the Federal Facilities Viewing and Reporting Application. Sarah asked if parts of the model could be incorporated into the application's dataset; Andy replied yes. Sarah noted that this could be helpful for the Army Corp of Engineers comprehensive plan. Greg asked if users could create pdf maps from this tool. Andy replied that he would look into a print function. ○ Any comments on this tool should be sent to Andy by February 3rd. Katherine will send an email with the link to the application out to the workgroup and add it to the agenda. ○ James asked about transferring data from the application to BayFAST so the system is linked. In CAST, optimization derives the most cost effective BMPs. The CBPO issued an RFP; CRC is leading the development project. The WQGIT is moving forward with a fulltime employee to lead the optimization function. It will be done early 2018, and will be refined by 2019. It was mentioned that conversion from Phase 5 to Phase 6 of the model is taking up a lot of time and other optimization like co-benefits was noted. ○ ACTION: Sarah, James, Greg, Jeff, and Andy will follow up with the development team for BayFAST. ○ ACTION: Jeff will send the current list of proposed changes and improvements to BayFAST to the group. |
| <p>Federal Facility BMP Reporting (Discussion Lead: Jeff Sweeny) Jeff will present on Federal Facility BMP reporting for the 2016 Progress model assessment to-date and for the Phase 6 model BMP history (Beta 4)</p> | <p>10:50-11:15</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Meeting Materials</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ FFWG BMP Reporting.ppt • <u>Notes</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Jeff presented on Phase 5 2016 BMP Progress data and Phase 6 BMP History data through 2013. Four jurisdictions (MD, VA, DC, and WV) reported to the CBP office through NEIEN for Phase 5 2016 Progress data. Pennsylvania and New York data was not received. Slides 3-6 of Jeff's presentation show BMP data reported by each of the four jurisdictions. In the Phase 5 model, federal agency id can only be specified if the state has it as part of their unique identifiers. More federal BMP information can be identified by the states in NEIEN for the Phase 6 model. Outputs in Phase 6 will provide BMPs and associated loads for every scenario that is run. Slides 9-13 show BMP |

| | | |
|---|--------------------|---|
| | | <p>history data through 2013; there is still missing information from states that was not received or entered into NEIEN.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ James asked if there was a way for federal facilities to enter data directly into NEIEN, instead using states as the middle man. Jeff responded that NEIEN is a very complex system and having someone dedicated to entering data as part of their job is necessary, which the states have. ○ ACTION: Sarah asked Jeff to put together information on the differences between the Phase 5 and Phase 6 models. ○ ACTION: Greg will cross check the FFWG Reporting Progress Table and data in NEIEN to see what jurisdictions need to submit more data. ○ ACTION: Jeff will continue working with states leads to investigate under reporting. ○ ACTION: Discuss EPA's plan to report federal progress. There will be an interim evaluation of 2016 progress. Discuss what an interim evaluation would look like for federal progress. |
| <p>WIP III Issues (Discussion Lead: Sarah Diebel)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • General timeline • WIP III expectation document status • Revised targets | <p>11:15-11:55</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Meeting Materials</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Draft Schedule ○ WIP III Expectation Document ○ Mentions of fed facilities in current WIP III Expectation.ppt ○ Local Planning Goals Task Force Recommendations Document ○ Mentions of fed facilities in Local Planning Goals Recommendations.ppt • <u>Notes</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Comments on the draft WIP III Expectation Document were due to the WQGIT Monday, January 9th. Sarah and James submitted comments to the WQGIT. James submitted the following comments: the document could benefit from a more thorough review/longer review time; Conowingo Dam and climate change items could be viewed as having limited options; could be difficult to achieve accomplishments in the time frame so an extending timeline or change in language used should be considered. ○ Sarah asked the group if they would be interested in developing a WIP III guide. A few members said that it would be a good idea and that it would be helpful for federal agencies in moving forward and understanding expectations. |

| | | |
|--|-------------|---|
| | | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ It was decided that more time needs to be devoted to WIP III topics. Sarah said she will work with Greg, James, and jurisdictions on presenting WIP III topics more clearly/thoroughly. |
| Update, Wrap-up, Next Meeting (Discussion Lead: Sarah Diebel, DOD Navy) | 11:55-12:15 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Looking Ahead</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Showcase Federal Lands Data Layer ○ WIP III Issues • <u>Next Meeting:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Next meeting will be Tuesday February 14th, 10a.m. – 12p.m. |