



**Maryland**  
Department of  
the Environment

---

# **Water Quality and Habitat Goals for Chesapeake Bay Program**

## **Potential Conflicts and Resolutions**

**November 17, 2020**

**Denise Clearwater**

**Wetlands and Waterways Program**

---



**Maryland**  
Department of  
the Environment

## **Chesapeake Bay Restoration**

**Commitments to meet TMDL Requirements for Nutrient and Sediment Reduction**

**Commitments for Habitat and Living Resources, including:**

**SAV**

**Wetlands**

**Riparian Forest**

**Healthy Streams**

**Fish Passage**

**Anadromous Fish, Brook Trout, Other Fisheries**



**Maryland**  
Department of  
the Environment

## **Questions Have Arisen About Tradeoffs Between Creditable BMPs for Nutrient and Sediment Reductions and Other Goals**

**What are Benefits and Consequences Which Should be Considered to Meet All Relevant Goals and Achieve Net Ecological Uplift?**

**These are Questions Regulators, Policy Makers and Practitioners Try to Understand and Answer in Decision Making**

**“Ecological Uplift” Itself May Have Different Interpretations Depending Upon Perspective. A Collaborative Approach is Essential to Avoid or Minimize Adverse Impacts.**



**Maryland**  
Department of  
the Environment

## **Benefits and Consequences Depend upon Condition of Project Site, Project Design and Implementation, and Upstream and Downstream Areas**

**Unintended or Adverse Consequences Potentially Greater for Existing Sensitive and Functioning Resources and Critical Infrastructure**

**For sites with less degradation and high functioning ecological processes ...**

**There is a greater risk of unintended consequences from a design which does not take these considerations into account**



**Maryland**  
Department of  
the Environment

**An Understanding and Acknowledgement of Both Benefits and Consequences is Necessary to Avoid and Minimize Adverse Effects**

**The Following Consequences Do Not Occur at All Sites, But May Occur When the Design Does Not Consider All Functions and Characteristics at the Site**

**Examples: Shoreline Stabilization and Stream Restoration**



**Maryland**  
Department of  
the Environment

## **Shoreline BMPs**

**Eroding shorelines need stabilization practices to prevent shoreline loss and excess sediment from entering tidal waters.**

**Outcome: Improved water quality; climate change resiliency**



**Maryland**  
Department of  
the Environment

## Shorelines- Additional habitat considerations

- Practices for living shorelines typically place material in shallow water, converting shallow water habitat to marsh
- Some erosion is necessary as a sediment source to maintain existing tidal wetlands
- Potential loss of SAV and gain of tidal marsh
- Loss of shoreline trees to allow sunlight to reach planted vegetation



**Maryland**  
Department of  
the Environment

## Potential Conflicts With Other CBP Commitments

- **Riparian Forest**
- **SAV**
- **Anadromous Fish**







**Maryland**  
Department of  
the Environment

## **Stream Restoration**

### **Re-connected or Have Increased Connection to Floodplain by Several Methods**

- **Raising Stream Bed by Fill**
- **Raising Water Level by Structure**
- **Excavation of Floodplain**
- **Combination of Approaches**

**Outcomes: Stabilized stream channels, reduced nutrient and sediment loads; potential habitat improvement; increased water storage in floodplain**



**Maryland**  
Department of  
the Environment

# **Stream Restoration Summary Based Upon Published Studies, Monitoring Results, Direct Observations, Anecdotal information, Other Scientific Results, and Modeling Results**



**Maryland**  
Department of  
the Environment

## Unintended Consequences for Stream Restoration May Include Undesirable:

- Changes to Water Chemistry
- Loss of Vegetation
- Increase in Invasive Species
- Blockages to Passage of Aquatic Life
- Reduction of Hyporheic Exchange
- Increase in sedimentation/erosion from failed structure



**Maryland**  
Department of  
the Environment

## **Unintended Consequences Cont.**

- **Loss of Baseflow from Failed Structure**
- **Decline in Wetland Functions, including Denitrification**
- **Change in Aquatic Resource Type or Decline in Habitat**
- **Degradation of Soil Processes from Construction**
- **Finite Capacity for Sediment Retention-Not Self Sustaining**
- **Damage to Infrastructure, Safety Hazards, Loss of Flood Insurance**



**Maryland**  
Department of  
the Environment

## Water Chemistry

- **Changes found: lowered DO, increased or lowered pH, Iron flocculation, increased temperature**
- **Many related to increases in water levels which result in loss of vegetation and shade**
- **Also disturbance of highly acidic soils – potential pH decrease**
- **Designs which maintain shade or spring flow, or expose cold water springs may not have temperature increases**
- **May Result in New Impairment Listings and TMDL Requirements**



**Maryland**  
Department of  
the Environment

## Loss/Change in Vegetation from Increased Water Levels

- Plants require oxygen to roots, are stressed by low oxygen and toxins in soil. Most tree species die with prolonged inundation and saturation
- Broad range of tolerance to increased water levels– species specific
- Tree seedlings more sensitive
- N, P uptake by Trees may decrease in wetter soils with lower redox potential
- Changes in plant community type or aquatic resource type and habitats – Decline in macroinvertebrate scores



**Maryland**  
Department of  
the Environment

## Blockages to Passage to Aquatic Life

- **Blockages May be Physical or Chemical**
  - **If there is too great “drop” from top of structure to water in channels, aquatic species movement may be prevented or impaired**

**Structure with openings allowing flow  
may allow movement**

- **Chemical blockages and/or mortality from temperature increase and possibly DO decrease**





**Maryland**  
Department of  
the Environment

## Reduction in Hyporheic Exchange

Hyporheic exchange depends upon flow; groundwater levels; hydraulic conductivity (heterogeneous sediments and bed complexity and topography; and features such as wood) and permeability in streambed; DOC; residence time; microbial communities

Structures which may slow flow or force more too rapid downwelling may reduce effective instream denitrification



**Maryland**  
Department of  
the Environment

**Increased Sedimentation and Erosion in channel**

**Loss of Baseflow in Channel Resulting from Failed Structures or Improperly Placed Structures**



**Maryland**  
Department of  
the Environment

## Potential Conflicts With Other CBP Commitments

- **Wetlands BMP crediting: Accepted rehabilitation (return to previous condition) rejected enhancement -favors one service over others e.g. water quality**
- **Stream Health**
- **Fish/Aquatic life Passage**
- **Riparian Forest**
- **Anadromous Fish and Other Fisheries (e.g. Brook Trout)**









**Maryland**  
Department of  
the Environment

---

## **Minimizing Unintended Consequences While Maximizing Ecological Uplift**

- **Do More than Reduce Adverse Effects and Tradeoffs**
  - **“Maximizing Uplift” Means Considering the Range of Ecological Processes and Ecosystem Services Which Could Be Improved by a Restoration Project**
-



**Maryland**  
Department of  
the Environment

## **Minimizing Unintended Consequences While Maximizing Ecological Uplift cont.**

**Consider existing functions and other factors in site design – additional tools and guidance**

**Consider adverse effect of increasing water in floodplain**

**Design and build for specific site conditions and retain natural system and processes where feasible**

**Re-Design**

**Collaborative work between Regulators, Policy Makers, and Practitioners**

**Recognize that more modest alterations may be most beneficial overall**

**Address problems at source**

- **Maximize upland treatment**
- **Properly size culverts and other crossings, if undersized structures resulted in erosive flows**