

Marine Heatwaves in the Chesapeake Bay

Piero Mazzini & Cassia Pianca

Virginia Institute of Marine Science - William & Mary

Image by: NASA



Source:
<https://www.worldatlas.com/articles/what-are-the-harmful-effects-of-a-heat-wave.html>

Publications about Marine Heat Waves




FIGURE 1. Frequency of publications returned from a Google Scholar search based on the search terms “marine heatwave” and “marine heat wave.” Note the first bin (1999) contains all records for the period 1900–1999.

- **record-breaking harmful algal blooms**

(McCabe et al., 2016; Trainer et al., 2020; Gobler, 2020)



- **global-scale coral bleaching**

(Hughes et al., 2017; Eakin et al., 2019)



- **mortality of kelps, SAVs, invertebrates**

(Moore and Jarvis, 2008; Garrabou et al., 2009; Marbà and Duarte, 2010; Fraser et al., 2014; Thomson et al., 2015; Wernberg et al., 2016; Shields et al., 2018; Thomsen et al., 2019; Seuront et al., 2019; Shields et al., 2019; Filbee-Dexter et al., 2020; Aoki et al., 2021; Johnson et al., 2021)



- **impacted commercial fisheries and aquaculture**


(Mills et al., 2013; Caputi et al., 2016; Oliver et al., 2017; Jacox, 2019)




- **geographical species shifts and changes in species composition**


(Ehlers et al., 2008; Cavole et al., 2016; Sanford et al., 2019)





Oliver et al. 2018,
Nat. Commun.






From 1925 to 2016, global average MHWs:

- **34%** increase in frequency
- **17%** increase in duration
- **54%** increase in MHW days

An aerial photograph of a river estuary. The river flows from the bottom right towards the top left, where it meets the ocean. The river's path is highly irregular, with many meanders and oxbow-like features, creating a complex network of waterways. The surrounding landscape is a mix of green fields, some of which appear to be wetlands or marshes, and dense green forests. In the bottom left, there is a small cluster of buildings, possibly a farm or a small village. The ocean is visible in the top left corner, with a few small rocks or islands in the distance. The overall scene is a natural, undisturbed landscape.

What about estuaries?

**Time for
SCIENCE!**



Questions:

1. What do MHWs typically look like in the CB: frequency, duration, temperature anomaly, etc

Questions:

1. What do MHWs typically look like in the CB: frequency, duration, temperature anomaly, etc
2. Are there trends in MHWs characteristics?

Questions:

1. What do MHWs typically look like in the CB: frequency, duration, temperature anomaly, etc
2. Are there trends in MHWs characteristics?
3. What are the drivers of these potential trends?
long-term temperature increase OR internal variability

Questions:

1. What do MHWs typically look like in the CB: frequency, duration, temperature anomaly, etc
2. Are there trends in MHWs characteristics?
3. What are the drivers of these potential trends?
long-term temperature increase OR internal variability
4. Are MHWs localized processes, OR do they co-occur between different regions within the CB and the adjacent coastal ocean;


Questions:

1. What do MHWs typically look like in the CB: frequency, duration, temperature anomaly, etc
2. Are there trends in MHWs characteristics?
3. What are the drivers of these potential trends?
long-term temperature increase OR internal variability
4. Are MHWs localized processes, OR do they co-occur between different regions within the CB and the adjacent coastal ocean;
5. Is there any relationship between MHWs and large scale climate indices: the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) index, El Niño (Niño 1+2) and Bermuda High Index (BHI).

Objective definition

Hobday et al (2016)


- Minimum record length of 30 years
- Climatology is calculated using an 11-day moving-average window
- SST > 90th percentile (threshold) of seasonal climatology for 5 consecutive days or more
- definition considers MHWs as relative warm deviations from the baseline climatologies, allowing them to exist at any time of the year, and not only during hot summer months.




<http://www.marineheatwaves.org/all-about-mhws.html>

MHW metrics:


- Duration
- Intensity
- Frequency
- MHW days
- Cumulative Intensity
- Categories: Moderate, Strong, Severe, and Extreme
- etc...



Hobday et al (2018)



Chesapeake Bay



- Largest and most productive estuary in the US (Cloern et al., 2014).


- Watershed area 166,319 km², encompassing 6 states (NY, PA, DE, MD, VA, WV) + Washington D.C.

- 18 million people live within the CB watershed


- environmental issues: eutrophication, HABs, hypoxia

Estuary characteristics:

- 320 km long
- 4.5-48 km wide
- average depth of 6.4 m
- coastal plain, partially mixed estuary
- 50% of its freshwater inflows from Susquehanna River
- Long residence times: ~160 days










Chesapeake Bay



VIMS | **WILLIAM & MARY**
VIRGINIA INSTITUTE OF MARINE SCIENCE





Information about Stations


TABLE 1 | Summary of the stations used in this study: TB, Tolchester Beach; TP, Thomas Point; SI, Solomons Island; LW, Lewisetta; GI, Goodwin Island (extended with data from VIMS Ferry Pier between 1986 and 1997, see section 2 Methods); KP, Kiptopeke; CHL, Chesapeake Light Tower; DEB, Delaware Bay buoy.

Name	Station ID	Location	Distance (km)	Depth (m)	Start-end	Length (years)	Source
TB	8573364	39.216°N 76.259°W	247.5	0.82	1995–2020	26	CO-OPS
TP	TPLM2	38.899°N 76.436°W	214.7	1.00	1986–2020	35	NDBC
SI	8577330	38.317°N 76.450°W	151.9	0.70	1994–2020	27	CO-OPS
LW	8635750	37.995°N 76.465°W	118.3	1.92	1994–2020	27	CO-OPS
GI	CBVGIWQ	37.216°N 76.393°W	43.0	0.75	1986–2020	35	CBNERR/VIMS
KP	8632200	37.165°N 75.988°W	18.5	1.50	1995–2020	26	CO-OPS
CHL	CHLV2/44099	36.915°N 75.722°W	25.7	0.46	1986–2020	35	NDBC
DEB	44009	38.457°N 74.702°W	197.7	0.60	1986–2020	35	NDBC

Distance refers to the linear distance from each station to the Chesapeake Bay mouth; Depth refers to the average depth at which the temperature sensors are located.




Results

Seasonal Variability in MHW Occurrence









Frequency










Duration






MHW Days





Categories



Intensity




Yearly Cum. Intensity



Co-occurrence

Jaccard Index:
$$J(A, B) = \frac{|A \cap B|}{|A \cup B|} = \frac{|A \cap B|}{|A| + |B| - |A \cap B|}$$

UB - MB - LB: 50-65%, lags: ≤ 2 days
CB - MAB: 40-50%, lags: 2 - 5.5 days




Co-occurrence

Jaccard Index: $J(A, B) = \frac{|A \cap B|}{|A \cup B|} = \frac{|A \cap B|}{|A| + |B| - |A \cap B|}$

UB - MB - LB: 50-65%, lags: ≤ 2 days
CB - MAB: 40-50%, lags: 2 - 5.5 days

Surface mixed layer temperature budget
 (Moisan and Niiler, 1998; Oliver, 2021; Schlegel et al., 2021):

$$\underbrace{\frac{\partial T_{\text{mix}}}{\partial t}}_{\text{temperature change}} = \underbrace{\frac{Q_{\text{net}}}{\rho c_p H}}_{\text{air sea heat flux}} - \underbrace{u_{\text{mix}} \cdot \nabla_h T_{\text{mix}}}_{\text{advection}} + \underbrace{R}_{\text{residual}}$$




Co-occurrence

Jaccard Index:
$$J(A, B) = \frac{|A \cap B|}{|A \cup B|} = \frac{|A \cap B|}{|A| + |B| - |A \cap B|}$$



UB - MB - LB: 50-65%, lags: ≤ 2 days
CB - MAB: 40-50%, lags: 2 - 5.5 days

Surface mixed layer temperature budget
 (Moisan and Niiler, 1998; Oliver, 2021; Schlegel et al., 2021):


$$\underbrace{\frac{\partial T_{\text{mix}}}{\partial t}}_{\text{temperature change}} = \underbrace{\frac{Q_{\text{net}}}{\rho c_p H}}_{\text{air sea heat flux}} - \underbrace{u_{\text{mix}} \cdot \nabla_h T_{\text{mix}}}_{\text{advection}} + \underbrace{R}_{\text{residual}}$$



Relation to Climate Indices



What is driving the trends? Temperature Increase



Summary

Average MHW characteristics:

- Frequency: 2x per year
- Intensity: 3 °C
- Duration: 11 days
- MHW days: 22 days
- Yearly cumulative intensity: 72 °C x days

Co-occurrence of MHW events:

- UB - MB - LB: 50-65%, ≤ 2 days
- CB - MAB: 40-50%, 2-5.5 days

Significant trends:

Frequency, MHW days and yearly cum. intensity.

**If trends persist,
by 2100 the CB will reach a
semi-permanent MHW state**



Photo by Stepehn Salpukas.

Future Work

- MHWs impact on water quality, dissolved oxygen, chlorophyll, HABs, etc
- Subsurface characteristics
- Spatial variability (tributaries, embayments, etc)
- Systematic comparison of MHWs in different estuary types, morphologies, sizes, flushing times, and contrasting coastal ocean regions (e.g., eastern vs. western boundary systems)
- And much more!!!

Thank you



Mazzini, P.L.F. and C. Pianca. 2022. Marine Heatwaves in the Chesapeake Bay. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 8:750265, doi:10.3389/fmars.2021.750265