

Land Use Outcomes: Update on SRS & Indicators Survey

Land Use Workgroup (LUWG) Meeting

March 16, 2023

Jackie Pickford, LUWG Staffer (pickford.jacqueline@epa.gov)

Overview

- I. [Review of Land Use Outcomes](#)
- II. [Strategy Review System \(SRS\) Process](#)
 - I. Review purpose of SRS
 - II. Quarterly Progress Meeting (QPM)
 - III. Outcomes of the QPM: LUMM and LUOE
- III. [Indicators Survey](#)
 - I. Brief overview of 2023 proposed indicators
 - II. Internal CBP survey results: how will the CBP use our indicators?
 - III. What do the survey responses tell us?
- IV. [Next steps](#)

2014 Chesapeake Bay Watershed Agreement:

Themes, Goals,
and Outcomes

Themes (5)	Goals (10)	Outcomes (31)
Abundant Life	Sustainable Fisheries	Blue Crab Abundance
		Blue Crab Management
		Oysters
		Forage Fish
		Fish Habitat
	Vital Habitats	Wetlands
		Black Duck
		Stream Health
		Brook Trout
		Fish Passage
		Submerged Aquatic Vegetation
		Forest Buffers
		Tree Canopy
Clean Water	Water Quality	2017 Watershed Implementation Plan (WIP)
		2025 Watershed Implementation Plan (WIP)
		WQ Standards Attainment & Monitoring
	Toxic Contaminants	Toxic Contaminants Research
		Toxic Contaminants Policy & Prevention
	Healthy Watersheds	Healthy Watersheds
Conserved Lands	Land Conservation	Protected Lands
		Land Use Methods and Metrics Development
		Land Use Options Evaluation
Engaged Communities	Stewardship	Citizen Stewardship
		Local Leadership
		Diversity
	Public Access	Public Access Site Development
	Environmental Literacy	Student
		Sustainable Schools
		Environmental Literacy Planning
Climate Change	Climate Resiliency	Climate Monitoring & Assessment
		Climate Adaptation



What is the **Land Use Methods and Metrics** Outcome

Outcome: Assess and understand the impacts of land use change on watersheds, habitats, and communities at a scale relevant to county-level decision-makers.

1. Measure rate of farmland, forest and wetland conversion, and the extent and rate of change in impervious surface coverage.
2. Quantify the potential impacts of land conversion to water quality, healthy watersheds and communities.
3. Launch a public awareness campaign to share this information with citizens, local governments, elected officials and stakeholders.

Note: The LUWG is the lead for this outcome.

2014 Chesapeake Bay Watershed Agreement:

Themes, Goals,
and Outcomes

Themes (5)	Goals (10)	Outcomes (31)
Abundant Life	Sustainable Fisheries	Blue Crab Abundance
		Blue Crab Management
		Oysters
		Forage Fish
		Fish Habitat
	Vital Habitats	Wetlands
		Black Duck
		Stream Health
		Brook Trout
		Fish Passage
		Submerged Aquatic Vegetation
		Forest Buffers
		Tree Canopy
Clean Water	Water Quality	2017 Watershed Implementation Plan (WIP)
		2025 Watershed Implementation Plan (WIP)
		WQ Standards Attainment & Monitoring
	Toxic Contaminants	Toxic Contaminants Research
		Toxic Contaminants Policy & Prevention
	Healthy Watersheds	Healthy Watersheds
Conserved Lands	Land Conservation	Protected Lands
		Land Use Methods and Metrics Development
		Land Use Options Evaluation
Engaged Communities	Stewardship	Citizen Stewardship
		Local Leadership
		Diversity
	Public Access	Public Access Site Development
	Environmental Literacy	Student
		Sustainable Schools
		Environmental Literacy Planning
Climate Change	Climate Resiliency	Climate Monitoring & Assessment
		Climate Adaptation



What is the **Land Use Options Evaluation** Outcome

Evaluation of policy options, incentives and planning tools that can help local governments reduce the rate of conversion of agricultural lands, forests and wetlands by the end of 2017.

Development of strategies to support local government efforts in reducing land conversion rates by 2025 and beyond.

Note: The Healthy Watersheds GIT is the lead for this outcome.

Strategy Review System (SRS)

- The purpose of SRS is for outcome leads to:
 - Report on the progress of their outcome.
 - Reflect on any challenges encountered in achieving their outcome.
 - Request action or assistance from the Management Board to support their work moving forward.
 - Adapt future work accordingly.

Quarterly Progress Meeting (QPM) for LUMM

QUARTERLY PROGRESS MEETING – March 2023

Chesapeake Bay Program



Land Use Methods and Metrics

*Peter Claggett,
U.S. Geological Survey
Land Use Workgroup Coordinator*



Requests to the MB (LUMM)

1. Advise the US EPA that continued monitoring of high-res land use change through 2033 is needed to support the achievement of multiple outcomes and the Phase 7 model.
2. Support a [broader charge](#) for the Land Use Workgroup to provide land use data and forecasts to serve the needs of multiple CBP outcomes and related local government actions.

BIENNIAL STRATEGY REVIEW SYSTEM
Chesapeake Bay Program

Narrative Analysis



LAND USE METHODS AND METRICS OUTCOME, FEBRUARY 9TH,
2023

ABSTRACT: This outcome is on course with tremendous progress made over the past two years including the finalization of a 1-meter resolution, 54-class land use/land cover (LULC) dataset for all counties within and adjacent to the Chesapeake Bay watershed for 2013/14 and 2017/18. These data are now informing the Bay watershed model (CAST-21), Chesapeake Healthy Watershed Assessment, Chesapeake Data Dashboard, the Community Tree Cover Indicator, Impervious Surface Indicator, and County Tree Cover Fact Sheets. The 1-meter LULC data are viewable and downloadable on the web. These data will be used to inform additional indicators developed as part of this outcome over the coming year including metrics on the rates of land conversion (i.e., forest, wetland, and productive lands converted to development), riparian forest extent and change, and effective impervious cover extent and change. These metrics and the associated high-resolution LULC data will also inform ten other outcomes outlined in the 2014 Chesapeake Bay Agreement.

QPM Takeaways: LUMM

- **Request 1:** support for continued monitoring of high-res land use change through 2033.
- **MB Response:**
 - **Agreed that continued LULC monitoring is a priority for the partnership.**
 - Need to see breakdown of funding request for future agreement – what will the future agreement cost?
 - Need options for how to support the funding request – the future agreement will require more money, how can we fill that gap?
 - Need to see use cases of this data to help bolster the argument of why this should be funded and prioritized

QPM Takeaways: LUMM

- Request 2: support a broader charge for the LUWG.
- **MB Response:**
 - **Supports proposed change to LUWG charge.**
 - Requested the LUWG approve the charge and present it to the MB with the funding options proposal described on previous slide.

Quarterly Progress Meeting (QPM) for LUOE

QUARTERLY PROGRESS MEETING – March 9 2023
Chesapeake Bay Program





Land Use Options Evaluation

Jeff Lerner
EPA Partnership Programs Branch
Chief with the EPA Office of Wetlands,
Oceans and Watersheds (OWOW)
Maintain Healthy Watersheds GIT,
Chair
Photo: Chesapeake Bay Program; Slides prepared by
Sophie Waterman, CRC and Renee Thompson, USGS



Requests to the MB (LUOE)

1. Advise the US EPA that continued monitoring of high-res land use change through 2033 is needed to support the achievement of multiple outcomes and the Phase 7 model.
2. Support the integration of management strategies for the Land Use Methods and Metrics Outcome and the Land Use Options Evaluation Outcome.
3. Promote active use of these data, tools, and resources by jurisdictions and locals.
 - Convey the urgency of habitat and living resource loss as a result of population increase and land use decisions happening recently and in the near term.

BIENNIAL STRATEGY REVIEW SYSTEM Chesapeake Bay Program

Narrative Analysis



[LAND USE OPTIONS EVALUATION OUTCOME – MARCH 9, 2023]

ABSTRACT: The status of the LUOE is on track due to the work done over the past two years and prior, including the completion of a 1-meter resolution, 54-class land use/land cover (LULC) dataset for all counties within and adjacent to the Chesapeake Bay watershed for 2013/14 and 2017/18, working with relevant CBP groups to provide input and help to create a Local Government Engagement Strategy, an upcoming GIT funding project to help make land use and land use change data actionable and operational at the community level in areas vulnerable to habitat loss, and engagement with local and state organizations. The LUOE needs to continue to work subject matter experts to translate data and analysis into materials for those to utilize at the local and jurisdictional level to influence the rate of land conversion to development, especially considering population and land use change trends. There is a real opportunity for the next two years to enhance and integrate complementary local engagement efforts across related outcomes and workgroups.

QPM Takeaways: LUOE

- Request 1: support for continued monitoring of high-res land use change through 2033.
- **MB Response: See slide for LUMM Request 1.**
 - Agreed that continued LULC monitoring is a priority for the partnership.

QPM Takeaways: LUOE

- Request 2: integration of management strategies for LUMM and LUOE.
- **MB Response:**
 - **Supports the integration of Management documents for two Land Use Outcomes.**
 - Defers to the workgroups on how best to execute the integration.
 - Requests Land Use outcome staff work with GIT and WG leadership to identify where the WG best fits within their organizational hierarchy.

QPM Takeaways: LUOE

- **Request 3:** Promote active use of these data, tools, and resources by jurisdictions and locals.
- **MB Response:**
 - **Supports this effort.**
 - Local Leadership Workgroup, Healthy Watersheds GIT, Land Protection WG, LUWG, LGAC and others will coordinate efforts to promote active use of data, tools, and resources by jurisdictions and locals.
 - This strategy will be incorporated into an updated Land Use logic and action plan (LAP).

Internal (Bay Program) Survey on 2023 Proposed Indicators

- Sent survey to CBP goal teams and workgroups.
- Purpose of survey: to capture the ways in which the LUMM [proposed indicators](#) could be (or are currently being) used by Bay Program goal teams and workgroups to demonstrate the importance of tracking land conversion
 - Impervious cover
 - Farmland conversion
 - Forest land conversion
 - Wetland conversion
 - Riparian natural lands conversion

LUMM Indicators Planned for Release in 2023

Impervious Cover¹ (2017/18) and Impervious Cover Change (2013-2017)

Percent and area (acres) of impervious cover and impervious cover change by catchment, watershed*, and county

Effective² Impervious Cover (2017/18) and Impervious Cover Change (2013/14 to 2017/18)

Percent and acres of effective impervious cover and impervious cover change by catchment and watershed

Farmland³ Conversion to Development (2013/14 to 2017/18)

Acres of farmland converted to development by place and county

Forest⁴ Land Conversion to Development (2013/14 to 2017/18)

Acres of natural land converted to development by place and county

Wetland⁵ Land Conversion to Development (2013/14 to 2017/18)

Acres of natural land converted to development by place and county

Riparian⁶ Natural Lands (2017/18) and Natural Land Change (2013/14 to 2017/18)

Percent and acres of riparian natural land and natural land change by catchment and watershed

¹ Impervious Cover = roads, structures, parking lots, and tree canopy overhanging such features

² Effective Impervious Cover = impervious cover weighted by its proximity to streams relative to ridges.

³ Farmland = cropland and/or pasture

⁴ Forest = forest, other tree canopy, timber harvest, and natural succession.

⁵ Wetland = tidal, riverine, and terrene wetlands

⁶ Riparian = area within 30 meters of streams (lakes, ponds, and Bay shoreline excluded)

Full [survey responses](#) are posted to the calendar page.

Survey Response Summary - LUMM indicators support a variety of applications across the partnership, including:

- Development of tributary reports
- Explaining drivers of water quality trends
- Improving communications to local leadership
- Evaluating “accounting for growth” strategies
- Identifying stressors to SAV / correlation with distribution, abundance and resiliency of SAV
- Targeting conservation
- Understanding watershed trends and vulnerability
- Informing trends in forest and riparian areas

CBWA Themes Goals, & Outcomes

How many
mention land use
change or land
conversion as an
important metric
or factor
influencing
progress?

5 of 5 Themes

*taken from survey results and/or
identified from individual LAPs,
management strategies, or science
needs lists*

Themes (5 of 5)	Goals (8 of 10)	Outcomes (20 of 31)
Abundant Life	Sustainable Fisheries	Blue Crab Abundance
		Blue Crab Management
		Oysters
		Forage Fish
		Fish Habitat
	Vital Habitats	Wetlands
		Black Duck
		Stream Health
		Brook Trout
		Fish Passage
		Submerged Aquatic Vegetation
		Forest Buffers
		Tree Canopy
Clean Water	Water Quality	2017 Watershed Implementation Plan (WIP)
		2025 Watershed Implementation Plan (WIP)
		WQ Standards Attainment & Monitoring
	Toxic Contaminants	Toxic Contaminants Research
	Healthy Watersheds	Toxic Contaminants Policy & Prevention
		Healthy Watersheds
Conserved Lands	Land Conservation	Protected Lands
		Land Use Methods and Metrics Development
		Land Use Options Evaluation
Engaged Communities	Stewardship	Citizen Stewardship
		Local Leadership
		Diversity
	Public Access	Public Access Site Development
	Environmental Literacy	Student
		Sustainable Schools
		Environmental Literacy Planning
Climate Change	Climate Resiliency	Climate Monitoring & Assessment
		Climate Adaptation

CBWA Themes Goals, & Outcomes

How many
mention land use
change or land
conversion as an
important metric
or factor
influencing
progress?

8 of 10 Goals

*taken from survey results and/or
identified from individual LAPs,
management strategies, or science
needs lists*

Themes (5 of 5)	Goals (8 of 10)	Outcomes (20 of 31)
Abundant Life	Sustainable Fisheries	Blue Crab Abundance
		Blue Crab Management
		Oysters
		Forage Fish
		Fish Habitat
	Vital Habitats	Wetlands
		Black Duck
		Stream Health
		Brook Trout
		Fish Passage
		Submerged Aquatic Vegetation
		Forest Buffers
		Tree Canopy
Clean Water	Water Quality	2017 Watershed Implementation Plan (WIP)
		2025 Watershed Implementation Plan (WIP)
		WQ Standards Attainment & Monitoring
	Toxic Contaminants	Toxic Contaminants Research
	Healthy Watersheds	Toxic Contaminants Policy & Prevention
		Healthy Watersheds
Conserved Lands	Land Conservation	Protected Lands
		Land Use Methods and Metrics Development
		Land Use Options Evaluation
Engaged Communities	Stewardship	Citizen Stewardship
		Local Leadership
		Diversity
	Public Access	Public Access Site Development
	Environmental Literacy	Student
		Sustainable Schools
		Environmental Literacy Planning
Climate Change	Climate Resiliency	Climate Monitoring & Assessment
		Climate Adaptation

CBWA Themes Goals, & Outcomes

How many
mention land use
change or land
conversion as an
important metric
or factor
influencing
progress?

20 of 31 Outcomes

*taken from survey results and/or
identified from individual LAPs,
management strategies, or science
needs lists*

Themes (5 of 5)	Goals (8 of 10)	Outcomes (20 of 31)
Abundant Life	Sustainable Fisheries	Blue Crab Abundance
		Blue Crab Management
		Oysters
		Forage Fish
		Fish Habitat
	Vital Habitats	Wetlands
		Black Duck
		Stream Health
		Brook Trout
		Fish Passage
		Submerged Aquatic Vegetation
		Forest Buffers
		Tree Canopy
Clean Water	Water Quality	2017 Watershed Implementation Plan (WIP)
		2025 Watershed Implementation Plan (WIP)
		WQ Standards Attainment & Monitoring
	Toxic Contaminants	Toxic Contaminants Research
		Toxic Contaminants Policy & Prevention
Healthy Watersheds	Healthy Watersheds	
Conserved Lands	Land Conservation	Protected Lands
		Land Use Methods and Metrics Development
		Land Use Options Evaluation
Engaged Communities	Stewardship	Citizen Stewardship
		Local Leadership
		Diversity
	Public Access	Public Access Site Development
	Environmental Literacy	Student
		Sustainable Schools
		Environmental Literacy Planning
Climate Change	Climate Resiliency	Climate Monitoring & Assessment
		Climate Adaptation

What do the survey responses tell us?

- Tracking land conversion is not only important for the two Land Use Outcomes, but across the work of all 6 Goal Implementation Teams (GITs) and nearly 2/3 of the Watershed Agreement outcomes.
- Improvement of the data (temporally, spatially) & associated indicators will also improve our ability to assess long term progress for multiple Watershed Agreement outcomes.
- Cross-outcome collaboration is crucial.

Full [survey responses](#) are posted to the calendar page.

Review of Next Steps

- Develop proposal of funding options for continued monitoring of land use change. Present to MB at future meeting.
 - Overview of funding sources for current monitoring agreement.
 - Identify gaps in funding for the future agreement and **develop options for filling those gaps.**
- Approve the LUWG [updated charge.](#)
- Develop an integrated LUMM and LUOE management strategy and logic and action plan for the upcoming two-years to finish up the SRS process.
- Coordinate efforts with Local Leadership WG, Healthy Watersheds GIT, Land Protection WG, and Local Gov Advisory Committee to promote active use of data, tools, and resources by jurisdictions and locals.

Acronym List

- CBP: Chesapeake Bay Program
- CBWA: Chesapeake Bay Watershed Agreement
- GIT: Goal Implementation Team
- HWGIT: Healthy Watershed GIT
- LUMM: Land Use Methods and Metrics Outcome
- LUOE: Land Use Options Evaluation Outcome
- LUWG: Land Use Workgroup
- MB: Management Board
- QPM: Quarterly Progress Meeting
- SAV: Submerged Aquatic Vegetation
- SRS: Strategy Review System
- WQGIT: Water Quality GIT

Reference Materials

- [LUMM QPM Presentation to MB](#) (2023)
- [LUMM Narrative Analysis](#) (2023)
- [Quarterly Progress Meeting Materials:](#) March 9, 2023
- 2023 [Proposed Indicators](#) Presentation
- [Indicator Survey Responses](#)



Requests to the MB (LUMM)

1. Advise the US EPA that continued monitoring of high-res land use change through 2033 is needed to support the achievement of multiple outcomes and the Phase 7 model.
2. Support a [broader charge](#) for the Land Use Workgroup to provide land use data and forecasts to serve the needs of multiple CBP outcomes and related local government actions.



Requests to the MB (LUOE)

1. Advise the US EPA that continued monitoring of high-res land use change through 2033 is needed to support the achievement of multiple outcomes and the Phase 7 model.
2. Support the integration of management strategies for the Land Use Methods and Metrics Outcome and the Land Use Options Evaluation Outcome.
3. Promote active use of these data, tools, and resources by jurisdictions and locals.
 - Convey the urgency of habitat and living resource loss as a result of population increase and land use decisions happening recently and in the near term.

LUMM Indicators Planned for Release in 2023

Impervious Cover¹ (2017/18) and Impervious Cover Change (2013-2017)

Percent and area (acres) of impervious cover and impervious cover change by catchment, watershed*, and county

Effective² Impervious Cover (2017/18) and Impervious Cover Change (2013/14 to 2017/18)

Percent and acres of effective impervious cover and impervious cover change by catchment and watershed

Farmland³ Conversion to Development (2013/14 to 2017/18)

Acres of farmland converted to development by place and county

Forest⁴ Land Conversion to Development (2013/14 to 2017/18)

Acres of natural land converted to development by place and county

Wetland⁵ Land Conversion to Development (2013/14 to 2017/18)

Acres of natural land converted to development by place and county

Riparian⁶ Natural Lands (2017/18) and Natural Land Change (2013/14 to 2017/18)

Percent and acres of riparian natural land and natural land change by catchment and watershed

¹ Impervious Cover = roads, structures, parking lots, and tree canopy overhanging such features

² Effective Impervious Cover = impervious cover weighted by its proximity to streams relative to ridges.

³ Farmland = cropland and/or pasture

⁴ Forest = forest, other tree canopy, timber harvest, and natural succession.

⁵ Wetland = tidal, riverine, and terrene wetlands

⁶ Riparian = area within 30 meters of streams (lakes, ponds, and Bay shoreline excluded)

Indicators Survey Results

The Integrated Trends Analysis Team (ITAT)

12 Tributary Summary reports

Tracking change informs outcomes and drivers of water quality trends.

The Local Leadership Workgroup's (LLWG)

Utilizing data and indicators in communications such as the Local Government Guide to the Bay to demonstrate the importance of land conservation and related policy tools.

WQGIT

focuses on BMPs and not enough on land conversion

synthesis of these indicators with WQ monitoring data at varying scales is a valuable application.

Answer how well different jurisdictions "accounting for growth" strategies are paying off.

all land conversions play a role in either moving us closer or farther away from our 2025 WIP outcome targets, and these conversion indicators will help us better understand this over time.

WQGIT's workgroups will also benefit from this data (AgWG, USWG, FWG, Federal Facilities WG)

SAV

distribution, abundance and resiliency are directly linked to subestuary land use (positive correlation with forested lands, negative correlation with hardened shorelines) - see Patrick et al. 2014.

Tracking land conversion over time will also aid identifying SAV stressors at the larger scale.

Indicators Survey Results

BFWG

Not directly using these data

BUT, DNR is using LU change data to target areas for restoration and conservation in Maryland.

Healthy Watersheds GIT

Incorporated as metrics in the Chesapeake Healthy Watersheds Assessment.

Specific land use thresholds and metrics can help characterize the vulnerability of watersheds.

Supporting information to projects and prioritization of the GIT work.

Forestry Workgroup

supports efforts to minimize loss of forest to development.

being able to track this conversion over time is important for understanding the extent of forest loss and where.

riparian natural lands indicator would be helpful to understand riparian condition, but a forested riparian lands indicator would be more directly relevant to our riparian forest buffer outcome

The Agricultural Modeling Team

land use change data is instrumental in working to identify feeding operations.

2014 Chesapeake Bay Watershed Agreement:

Themes, Goals,
and Outcomes

Themes (5)	Goals (10)	Outcomes (31)
Abundant Life	Sustainable Fisheries	Blue Crab Abundance
		Blue Crab Management
		Oysters
		Forage Fish
		Fish Habitat
	Vital Habitats	Wetlands
		Black Duck
		Stream Health
		Brook Trout
		Fish Passage
		Submerged Aquatic Vegetation
		Forest Buffers
		Tree Canopy
Clean Water	Water Quality	2017 Watershed Implementation Plan (WIP)
		2025 Watershed Implementation Plan (WIP)
		WQ Standards Attainment & Monitoring
	Toxic Contaminants	Toxic Contaminants Research
	Healthy Watersheds	Toxic Contaminants Policy & Prevention
		Healthy Watersheds
Conserved Lands	Land Conservation	Protected Lands
		Land Use Methods and Metrics Development
		Land Use Options Evaluation
Engaged Communities	Stewardship	Citizen Stewardship
		Local Leadership
		Diversity
	Public Access	Public Access Site Development
	Environmental Literacy	Student
		Sustainable Schools
		Environmental Literacy Planning
Climate Change	Climate Resiliency	Climate Monitoring & Assessment
		Climate Adaptation