

# General framework for each Management Strategy

- A MS is a single document that summarizes the decision framework process and collective thinking of the GIT for each outcome (or two outcomes in some cases).
- The Key Elements document identifies all the correct elements of a MS. (The document will serve as the framework and guide for the GITs to develop strategies for each outcome).

# General framework for each MS

- The MS includes a 2-year workplan section (element #10) that will include, at a highly summarized level, the activities that *each* self-identified signatory and stakeholder will do—and what the GIT/WG plans to do—to reach a 2-year target for that outcome.
- The MS should be as concise as possible. The Executive summary should be public friendly and brief?
- The development of the MS is the responsibility of the identified GITs and/or their workgroups?

## GIT/workgroup membership - will include

- All signatories who self-identified during the 90 days?
- All stakeholders identified by the GIT as important to the development of the management strategy.

# Outreach

- GITs must conduct outreach to stakeholders to broaden input during the development of the MS's. This includes stakeholder groups not currently involved in CBP activities.
- GIT/WG meetings where the MS are being developed will be advertised on the web calendar and open to the public.

# Timeline issues

- Progress updates – that the GITs/WGs should provide basic status reports to the MB at their monthly meetings and seek MB counsel where problems arise.
- Due date of final MS – The commitment in the Agreement that “within a year of the signing of the Chesapeake Bay Watershed Agreement, the Chesapeake Bay Program’s [goal teams] will develop management strategies” should be interpreted as: developed, received public comment, accepted as complete by the MB, and ratified by the PSC.

# Timeline Issues

- We recognize that each outcome is in a different place and that the first management strategies (due June 2015) may include identified gaps (such as monitoring/metrics development etc.). Therefore, a “perfect and complete MS” may not be feasible; instead we will strengthen them as we move forward.