** Participation in the**

**Development of Chesapeake Bay Watershed Agreement**

**Management Strategies**

(09/17/14)

The table below provides the compiled list of planned signatory participation in the development of Management Strategies: As provided by the Chesapeake Bay Watershed Agreement, participation in Management Strategies or participating in the achievement of Outcomes is expected to vary by signatory based on differing priorities across the watershed. This participation may include sharing knowledge, data or information, educating citizens or members, working on future legislation and developing or implementing programs or practices.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Partner** | **Signatories** | | | | | | | | **Federal Agencies** | | | | | | | | | | |
| **MD** | **VA** | **DC** | **PA** | **DE** | **WV** | **NY** | **CBC** | **EPA** | **NOAA** | **USACE** | **FWS** | **USGS** | **NPS** | **NRCS** | **FS** | **DoD** | **DHS** | **DoT** |
| **Sustainable Fisheries Goal** | | | | | | | | |  | | | | | | | | | |  |
| Blue Crab Abundance | **✓** | **✓** |  |  |  |  |  | **✓** |  | **✓\*** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blue Crab Management | **✓** | **✓** |  |  |  |  |  | **✓** |  | **✓\*** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oyster | **✓** | **✓** |  |  |  |  |  | **✓** |  | **✓\*** | **✓\*** | **✓** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Forage Fish | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** |  |  |  | **✓** |  | **✓\*** |  | **✓** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fish Habitat | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** |  | **✓ \*** | **✓** | **✓\*** | **✓\*** |  | **✓** |  |  |  |  |
| **Vital Habitats Goal** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Wetlands | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓\*** | **✓\*** |  | **✓** |  |  |  |  |
| Black Duck | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | X | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** |  |  | **✓** | **✓\*** | **✓\*** |  | **✓** |  |  |  |  |
| Stream Health | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** |  | **✓** | **✓\*** | **✓\*** | **✓** | **✓** |  |  |  |  |
| Brook Trout | **✓** | **✓** |  | **✓** |  | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** |  |  | **✓** | **✓\*** | **✓\*** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** |  |  |  |
| Fish Passage | **✓** | **✓** |  | **✓** |  | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** |  | **✓** | **✓** | **✓\*** | **✓** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Submerged Aquatic Vegetation | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** |  | X |  |  | **✓** | **✓** |  | **✓** | **✓\*** | **✓** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Forest Buffer | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** |  | **✓** | **✓** |  | **✓** | **✓** | **✓\*** | **✓** |  |  |
| Tree Canopy | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** |  |  |  |  |  | **✓** |  | **✓\*** | **✓** |  |  |
| **Water Quality Goal** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2017 Watershed Implementation Plans | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓\*** |  | **✓** |  |  |  | **✓** |  | **✓** | **✓** |  |
| 2025 Watershed Implementation Plans | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓\*** |  | **✓** |  |  |  | **✓** |  | **✓** | **✓** |  |
| Water Quality Standards Attainment and Monitoring | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓\*** |  |  |  | **✓\*** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Toxic Contaminants Goal** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Toxic Contaminant Research | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | X | **✓** | **✓** |  |  | **✓\*** | **✓\*** |  |  |  |  | **✓** |  |
| Toxic Contaminant Policy and Prevention | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓\*** | **✓** |  | **✓** |  |  |  |  |  | **✓** |  |
| **Healthy Watersheds Goal** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Healthy Watersheds | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓\*** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓\*** | **✓\*** |  |  | **✓** |  |  |  |
| **Stewardship Goal** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Citizen Stewardship | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | X | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** |  | **✓** |  | **✓\*** |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Partner** | **Signatories** | | | | | | | | **Federal Agencies** | | | | | | | | | | |
| **MD** | **VA** | **DC** | **PA** | **DE** | **WV** | **NY** | **CBC** | **EPA** | **NOAA** | **USACE** | **FWS** | **USGS** | **NPS** | **NRCS** | **FS** | **DoD** | **DHS** | **DOT** |
| Local Leadership | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | X | **✓** | **✓\*** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** |  |  | **✓** |  |  |  |  |
| Diversity | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | X | X | **✓** | **✓\*** | **✓** |  | **✓\*** | **✓\*** | **✓\*** | **✓** |  |  |  |  |
| **Land Conservation Goal** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Protected Lands | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** |  |  | **✓** | **✓** | **✓\*** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** |  | **✓** |
| Land Use Methods and Metrics Development | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | X | **✓** | **✓** |  |  | **✓** | **✓\*** |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land Use Options Evaluation | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | X | X | **✓** | **✓\*** |  |  | **✓** | **✓** |  | **✓** |  |  |  |  |
| **Public Access Goal** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Public Access Site Development | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** |  |  |  | **✓** |  | **✓\*** |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Environmental Literacy** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Student | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | X | **✓** | **✓** | **✓\*** |  | **✓\*** | **✓\*** | **✓\*** |  | **✓** |  |  |  |
| Sustainable Schools | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | X | **✓** | X | **✓\*** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Environmental Literacy Planning | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | X | X | **✓** | X | **✓\*** |  |  |  | **✓** |  |  |  |  |  |
| **Climate Resiliency** | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Monitoring and Assessment | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | X | **✓** | **✓** | **✓\*** | **✓** | **✓\*** | **✓\*** | **✓** |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adaptation | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓** | **✓\*** | **✓\*** | **✓\*** | **✓** | **✓** |  |  |  |  | **✓** |
| **TOTALS** | 31/31 | 31/31 | 26/26 | 26/27 | 24/25 | 8/26 | 15/25 | 31/31 | 19/21 | 17/17 | 15/15 | 23/23 | 71/17 | 12/12 | 12/12 | 6/6 | 5/5 | 4/4 | 2/2 |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Key** | |
| **✓** | Participating |
| **✓\*** | Federal agency lead |
| X | Not participating |
|  | Not within Partner’s purview |

**CBP Partner Participation in Management Strategies**

\*\*(information pulled from each management strategy)

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **GIT** | **Outcome** | **Participating Partners** |
| 0 | Climate Resiliency | **Monitoring and Assessment -**   * MD, DC, DE, PA, VA, WV, CBC * DOI (USGS/FWS), NOAA, EPA, NPS, USACE   **Adaptation**   * MD, DC, DE, PA, VA, NY, WV, CBC * USACE, NOAA, FWS, EPA, DOT, DOI (FWS/NPS/USGS)   *Academic Institutions:*  Virginia Institute of Marine Science  Old Dominion University  University of Maryland  Penn State University  Virginia Tech  Christopher Newport University  Bucknell University  *Non-Governmental Organizations:*  National Wildlife Federation  Maryland Sea Grant  The Conservation Fund  Made Clear  Sierra Club  Wetlands Watch  Alliance for the Chesapeake  South River Federation  Virginia Conservation Network  Chesapeake Research Consortium  *Local Government*  Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments  Hampton Roads Planning District Commission  *Other*  Bay Journal |
| 0 | Diversity MS | State of Delaware  District of Columbia  State of Maryland  Commonwealth of Pennsylvania  Commonwealth of Virginia  Chesapeake Bay Commission  U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  National Park Service  U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  U.S. Department of Home Land Security  National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration  State and local government representatives currently involved include:  Local Government Advisory Committee  Maryland Department of Natural Resources  Maryland Department of the Environment  Department of Energy and Environment  The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Baltimore District  Annapolis Parks and Recreation  Anne Arundel County Public Schools  Maryland Department of Natural Resources  Fairfax County, Virginia, Board of Supervisors  Capital Region Water  University of Maryland, School of Public Health  Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection  Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control  Nonprofits, nongovernmental organizations and foundations that provide support for the Diversity Management Strategy include:  Citizens Advisory Committee  Maryland League of Conservation Voters  Groundwork Anacostia River DC  Interfaith Partners for the Chesapeake  Black is the New Green  Choose Clean Water Coalition  Alliance for the Chesapeake Bay  Maryland Coastal Bays Program  Green Latinos  Chesapeake Climate Action Network  Environmental Professionals of Color DC  Patuxent Riverkeeper  Virginia Conservation Network  Anacostia Watershed Society  Court Services and Offender Supervision Agency DC  NSPIRE Green  Alice Ferguson Foundation  Chesapeake Bay Trust  National Parks Conservation Association  Chesapeake Bay Foundation  Izaak Walton League |
| 1 | Blue Crab MS | **State of Maryland (management jurisdiction)**  **Commonwealth of Virginia (management jurisdiction)**  Potomac River Fisheries Commission (management jurisdiction)  **National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA)**  **Chesapeake Bay Commission** |
| 1 | Oyster | **Chesapeake Bay Watershed Agreement Signatories**  State of Maryland  Commonwealth of Virginia  Potomac River Fisheries Commission  National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA)  U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE)  Chesapeake Bay Commission  **Maryland Interagency Workgroup**  NOAA Chesapeake Bay Office (lead)  Oyster Recovery Partnership  USACE Baltimore District  Maryland Department of Natural Resources  **Virginia Interagency Workgroup**  Piankatank River  NOAA Chesapeake Bay Office (lead)  USACE Norfolk District  Virginia Marine Resources Commission  Virginia Institute of Marine Science  The Nature Conservancy  Chesapeake Bay Foundation  Lafayette River  NOAA Chesapeake Bay Office (lead)  USACE Norfolk District  Virginia Marine Resources Commission  Virginia Institute of Marine Science  Chesapeake Bay Foundation  Christopher Newport University  City of Norfolk  Elizabeth River Partnership  Lynnhaven River  USACE Norfolk District (lead)  NOAA Chesapeake Bay Office  Virginia Marine Resources Commission  Virginia Institute of Marine Science  Chesapeake Bay Foundation  Lynnhaven River NOW  City of Virginia Beach  Oyster Reefkeepers |
| 1 | Forage Fish | State of Maryland  Commonwealth of Virginia  District of Columbia  Commonwealth of Pennsylvania  Chesapeake Bay Commission  National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA)  **Key Participants**  The forage species and predator species that are managed in the Chesapeake Bay are managed by the Maryland Department of Natural Resources (MD DNR), Potomac River Fisheries Commission (PRFC), District Department of the Environment (DDOE), and the Virginia Marine Resources Commission (VMRC). Management of migratory finfish species among Chesapeake Bay jurisdictions and other Atlantic states is coordinated through the Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission (ASMFC).  Key partners, including academic institutions, nonprofits and federal agencies, provide research and funding to support understanding of the forage base in the Chesapeake Bay. |
| 1 | Fish Habitat | **Chesapeake Bay Watershed Agreement Signatories**  State of Maryland  Commonwealth of Virginia  District of Columbia  Commonwealth of Pennsylvania  State of Delaware  State of New York  Chesapeake Bay Commission  National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA)  U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS)  U.S. Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service (USDA NRCS)  U.S. Geological Survey (USGS)  **Key Participants**  The regulatory agencies within each jurisdiction that are responsible for fisheries serve as key participants. These include:  Maryland Department of Natural Resources (MD DNR)  Virginia Department of Game and Inland Fisheries (DGIF)  Virginia Marine Resources Commission (VMRC)  District Department of the Environment (DDOE)  Pennsylvania Fish and Boat Commission (PFBC)  Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control (DNREC)  New York State Department of Environmental Conservation (NYSDEC) |
| 2 | Wetlands | *Level of Participation: High (actively involved in drafting the Management Strategy)*  – **Maryland Department of Natural Resources (MD DNR)**  – The Nature Conservancy  – **Maryland Department of the Environment (MDE)**  – U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS)  – Natural Resources Conservation Service, Maryland  – U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE)  *Level of Participation: Medium (actively involved in reviewing the draft documents)*  – **Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control**  – **Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection**  – **Virginia Department of Conservation and Recreation**  – **Virginia Department of Environmental Quality**  – **West Virginia Division of Natural Resources**  – Upper Susquehanna Coalition  – **U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), Region III**  – U.S. Geological Survey (USGS)  – Virginia Institute of Marine Science  – Biohabitats, Inc.  *Level of Participation: Low (generally interested in the development of the Management Strategy)*  – **District of Columbia Department of the Environment**  – **Virginia Governor’s Office**  – **Chesapeake Bay Commission**  – Chesapeake Bay Program  – U.S. Department of Agriculture  Delaware  Maryland  Pennsylvania  Virginia  – West Virginia  – Chesapeake Bay Foundation  – Troutman Sanders  – Virginia Agribusiness Council |
| 2 | Black Duck | U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS)  – Black Duck Joint Venture (BDJV)  – Atlantic Coast Joint Venture (ACJV)  **State of Maryland**  – Maryland Department of Natural Resources (MD DNR)  **State of Delaware**  – Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control - Division of Fish and Wildlife (DE DNR)  – University of Delaware  **Commonwealth of Virginia**  – Virginia Department of Game and Inland Fisheries (DGIF)  – Virginia Institute of Marine Science (VIMS)  **U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)**  U.S. Geological Survey (USGS)  Alliance for the Chesapeake Bay (ACB): Local Government Advisory Committee (LGAC)  **District of Columbia (DC)**  – District Department of the Environment (DDOE)  Ducks Unlimited (DU)  University of Massachusetts  – Northeast Climate Science Center, Landscape Ecology Lab, Department of Environmental Conservation  Level of Participation: Medium (actively involved in reviewing the draft documents)  **Chesapeake Bay Commission (CBC)**  Likely Participating Federal Partners:  U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE)  Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) |
| 2 | Stream Health |  |
| 2 | Brook Trout | **Participating Signatories:**  Maryland  New York  Pennsylvania  Virginia  West Virginia  **Other Participating Partners:**  U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  U.S. Geological Survey  National Park Service  USDA Forest Service  USDA Natural Resource Conservation Service  Trout Unlimited  Eastern Brook Trout Joint Venture |
| 2 | Fish Passage | **Level of Participation: High:**  National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA)  – Fish Passage Workgroup Chair  – Provides technical assistance and funding for fish passage/dam removal projects  U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS)  – Provides technical assistance and funding for fish passage/dam removal projects  **Maryland Department of Natural Resources (MDNR)**  – State Fish Passage Coordinator Provides technical assistance for fish passage/dam removal projects *Manages fish passage/dam removal projects*  **Pennsylvania Fish and Boat Commission (PFBC)**  – State Fish Passage Coordinator Provides technical assistance for fish passage/dam removal projects Manages fish passage/dam removal projects  **Virginia Department of Game and Inland Fisheries (VDGIF)**  – State Fish Passage Coordinator Provides technical assistance for fish passage/dam removal projects Manages fish passage/dam removal projects  American Rivers  – Manages/Implements Fish Passage/Dam Removal Projects  **U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE)**  The Nature Conservancy (TNC)  – Provides technical support on the fish passage prioritization tool  **Level of Participation: Medium:**  University of Maryland Center for Environmental Science  Natural Resources Conservation Service  National Fish and Wildlife Foundation  Chesapeake Bay Trust  Smithsonian Environmental Research Center  U.S. Geological Survey |
| 2 | SAV | Level of Participation: High:  **Maryland Department of Natural Resources**  – Direct involvement with SAV surveys, collaborates with VIMS/UMCES/EPA  **D.C.’s District Department of the Environment**  **Virginia Institute of Marine Sciences**  – Conducts research  – Conducts Bay-wide holistic SAV surveys  – Matches EPA funding  University of Maryland Center for Environmental Science (UMCES)  – Conducts research  **U.S Environmental Protection Agency**  – Provides the majority of funding for SAV projects (about 80 percent)  U.S. Army Corps of Engineers  – Utilizes SAV data for permits required for mitigation if a project impacts existing SAV beds or historic SAV presence.  – Additionally uses SAV data for project planning and to evaluate the value and function of shallow water habitats.  Level of Participation: Medium:  U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  U.S. Geological Survey  – Conducts surveys  National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration  Baltimore County (past participant in surveys)  **Likely Participating Jurisdictions:**  **Maryland**  **Virginia**  **Washington, D.C.**  **Likely Participating Federal Partners:**  Fish and Wildlife Service  National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration  U.S. Geological Survey  U.S. Army Corps of Engineers |
| 3 | Forest Buffer | **Chesapeake Bay Watershed Agreement Signatories**  Commonwealth of Pennsylvania  State of Delaware  State of West Virginia  Chesapeake Bay Commission  Commonwealth of Virginia  State of New York  State of Maryland  **Other Key Participants**  **Federal:** Farm Service Agency, Natural Resource Conservation Service, Forest Service, US Geologic Service, US Fish and Wildlife Service, Department of Defense, Environmental Protection Agency, National Park Service, Army Corps of Engineers, Smithsonian Institution  **Non-Governmental:** Alliance for the Chesapeake Bay, Chesapeake Bay Foundation, Trout Unlimited, The Nature Conservancy, Cacapon Institute, Casey Trees, Parks and People (Baltimore and Washington, D.C.), Delaware Center for Horticulture, Baltimore Greenspace, TreeBaltimore, Pennsylvania Conservation Districts, Stroud Water Research Center, Pheasants Forever, Ducks Unlimited, Potomac Conservancy, Virginia Farm Bureau, Virginia Grain Producers, Virginia Agribusiness Council, Virginia Cattlemen’s Association, Virginia Dairymen’s Association, Maryland Farm Bureau Federation |
| 3 | Urban Tree Canopy | USDA Forest Service  State of Delaware  State of Maryland  District of Columbia  Commonwealth of Pennsylvania  State of New York  State of Virginia  State of West Virginia  Chesapeake Bay Commission |
| 3 | 2017 Water Quality | District of Columbia  Delaware  Maryland  New York  Pennsylvania  Virginia  West Virginia  U.S Environmental Protection Agency  Chesapeake Bay Commission  U.S. Geological Survey |
| 3 | Toxic Contaminants Research Outcome | **Chesapeake Bay Watershed Agreement Signatories**  Maryland Department of the Environment  Maryland Department of Natural Resources  Virginia Department of Environmental Quality  DC Department of the Environment  Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection  Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control  New York Department of Environmental Conservation  West Virginia Department of Environmental Protection  Chesapeake Bay Commission (CBC)  U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  U.S. Geological Survey  U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  U.S Department of Homeland Security  National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration  **Other Key Participants**  Non-Governmental Organizations  – Bluewater Baltimore  – Metropolitan Washington Council of Governments  – MD Pesticide Network  Private sector organizations  University of Maryland, Baltimore County  Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University  Virginia Institute of Marine Science  CBP Local Government Advisory Committee  CBP Water Quality Goal Implementation Team Workgroups |
| 3 | Toxic Contaminants Policy and Prevention Outcome | **Chesapeake Bay Watershed Agreement Signatories**  Maryland Department of the Environment  Maryland Department of Natural Resources  Virginia Department of Environmental Quality  DC Department of the Environment  Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection  Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control  New York Department of Environmental Conservation  West Virginia Department of Environmental Protection  Chesapeake Bay Commission  Federal Agencies: EPA, USGS, FWS, DHS, NOAA  **Other Key Participants**  Non-Governmental Organizations (NGO)  Private sector organizations  Local government organizations  Academic institutions  CBP Water Quality Goal Implementation Team Workgroups |
| 4 | Healthy Watersheds Outcome | State of Delaware  District of Columbia  State of Maryland  State of New York  Commonwealth of Pennsylvania  Commonwealth of Virginia  State of West Virginia  Chesapeake Bay Commission  National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA)  National Park Service (NPS)  U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE)  U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)  U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS)  U.S. Forest Service (USFS)  U.S. Geological Survey (USGS)  The Nature Conservancy (TNC)  Local Jurisdiction Partners |
| 4 | Land Use Methods and Metrics Development Outcome | Chesapeake Bay Commission  Local Government Advisory Committee  Water Quality Goal Implementation Team  Habitat Goal Implementation Team  Healthy Watersheds Goal Implementation Team  Maryland Department of Planning  Pennsylvania Department of Community and Economic Development  U.S. Geological Survey  USGS National Geospatial Program  The Chesapeake Conservancy |
| 4 | Land Use Options Evaluation Outcome | **Chesapeake Bay Watershed Agreement Signatories**  State of Delaware  State of Maryland  District of Columbia  Commonwealth of Pennsylvania  Commonwealth of Virginia  U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)  Chesapeake Bay Commission (CBC)  **Other Key Participants**  Local Government Advisory Committee (LGAC)  U.S. Fish Wildlife Service (USFWS)  U.S. Geological Survey (USGS)  National Park Service (NPS)  USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS). |
| 5 | Citizen Stewardship Outcome | The State of Delaware  The State of Maryland  The State of West Virginia  The Commonwealth of Virginia  The Commonwealth of Pennsylvania  The District of Columbia  Chesapeake Bay Commission  Federal government partners (National Park Service, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration) |
| 5 | Environmental Literacy Planning Outcome | **Chesapeake Bay Watershed Agreement Signatories**  State of Delaware  District of Columbia  State of Maryland  Commonwealth of Pennsylvania  Commonwealth of Virginia  Chesapeake Bay Commission  U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  **Other Key Participants**  National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA)  U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS)  U.S Geological Survey (USGS)  National Park Service (NPS)  U.S. Forest Service (USFS)  Nongovernmental Organizations (e.g. Chesapeake Bay Foundation, National Wildlife Federation, NAAEE state affiliates, and many local and regional organization) |
| 5 | Protected Lands / Land conservation | Chesapeake Bay Commission  State of Delaware: Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control  State of Maryland: Maryland Department of Natural Resources, Maryland Department of Planning, Maryland Environmental Trust, Maryland Historical Trust  Commonwealth of Pennsylvania: Pennsylvania Department of Conservation and Natural Resources  State of New York: New York State Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation, New York State Department of Environmental Conservation  State of Virginia: Virginia Department of Conservation and Recreation, Virginia Department of Forestry, Virginia Department of Historic Resources |
| 5 | Public Access Site Development Outcome | State of Delaware  District of Columbia  State of New York  Commonwealth of Pennsylvania  Commonwealth of Virginia  Chesapeake Bay Commission  State of Maryland  State of West Virginia  **Other Key Participants**  U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  National Park Service |
| 6 | Local Leadership Outcome | State of Maryland  Commonwealth of Virginia  District of Columbia  Commonwealth of Pennsylvania  State of Delaware  State of West Virginia  Chesapeake Bay Commission  US Environmental Protection Agency  National Oceanographic Atmospheric Administration  US Army Corps of Engineers  Fish and Wildlife Service  Local Government Advisory Committee  Citizens Advisory Committee |