

December 1, 2020

# Discussion of Status and Trends Workplan Priorities

Katheryn Barnhart  
US EPA CBPO  
Indicators Coordinator

# Categorization of Priorities

1. Communication of indicator process/SOPs and process maintenance
2. Streamlining indicator process
3. Indicator development
4. Inter-outcome coop
5. Factor influencing indicators
6. Misc.

# 1) Communication of indicator process/SOPs and process maintenance

- A. Clarifying the process of how to create an indicator
- B. Making sure all indicators are updated and relevant
- C. Staffing for indicator updates
- D. I would like to see each of the indicators on a set schedule for updating each year, helping to drive a consistent process
- E. Describe roles of coordinators and staffers when developing and updating an indicator
- F. Ensure indicators have consistent monitoring support
- G. Help those indicators who are changing their method of measurement or have not been updated recently to bring them up to date
- H. Continue working with Communications Team and other relevant teams (e.g. Local Engagement Team) to communicate indicator results/progress

## 2) Streamlining Indicator Process

- A. Identify the utility behind indicators being selected in connection with informing decision-making
- B. Utilizing current CBP work when considering different indicators
- C. Continue to streamline process for updating indicators and updating content on Chesapeake Progress

# 3) Indicator Development

- A. (B)uilding several indicators under development to completion and implementation, e.g. fish forage, stream health
- B. PCBs and Hg in fish. Can we trend?
- C. Serve as a resource for GITs/workgroups that are considering new indicators
- D. Research if the science, local government, and science needs frameworks play a role in indicator development
- E. Prioritize outcomes with no indicator to see what they need to get up and running
- F. Present to teams that currently don't have numerical indicators or any indicators on what types of indicators could be considered

## 4) Inter-outcome Coop

- A. Work with GITs to identify common factors among outcomes and shared challenges/solutions related to indicators
- B. Help to identify outcome opportunities. For example, indicators for climate, protected lands and fish habitat all can inform the Healthy Watershed outcome and vice versa
- C. Connecting indicators with climate change projections – are we trending towards worst/best/in between cases (also under factor influencing indicators)
- D. Build on other ongoing work related to cross-GIT data (e.g. GIT mapping, science needs, dashboards)

# 5) Factor Influencing Indicators

- A. Begin work on Factor Influencing Indicators
- B. Connecting indicators with climate change projections – are we trending towards worst/best/in between cases (also under inter-outcome coop)

## 6) Misc.

- How to identify the most critical factors for each management strategy and how it affects the indicator(s)