



Components of Credit Calculation

EPA Draft Technical Memorandum

19 June 2013

Darrell Brown

Presentation Outline

- ▶ Scope
- ▶ Calculating Credits
- ▶ Additional Considerations

Scope

- ▶ Technical Memorandum (TM) addresses EPA expectations for information Bay jurisdictions should incorporate when calculating credits for offsets and trading

Calculating Credits

- ▶ Applicable pollutants
- ▶ Eligible parties
- ▶ Eligible practices
- ▶ Baseline requirements
- ▶ Leakage
- ▶ Uncertainty
- ▶ Location adjustments
- ▶ Sources

Additional Considerations

- ▶ Certification & verification
- ▶ Timeframe
- ▶ Registry
- ▶ Reporting
- ▶ Accountability

Applicable Pollutants

- ▶ Applicable pollutants are those addressed by Bay TMDL
 - Total nitrogen
 - Total phosphorus
 - Total suspended solids

Eligible Parties

- ▶ With limited exceptions, there are no restrictions on who can buy and sell credits
- ▶ Examples:
 - Farmer selling credits to POTW to offset new loads
 - Credit generator selling to local watershed group to retire credits
 - Developer installing storm water system that exceeds offset requirements selling credits to buyer seeking to offset new loads
- ▶ Exceptions:
 - Must be NPDES permit holder if credit is used for NPDES compliance purposes
- ▶ Jurisdictions may apply additional restrictions and should ensure that parties comply with applicable laws and regs

Eligible Practices

- ▶ Only those practices accepted by CBP for annual progress review should be used to generate credits
- ▶ Over 130 BMPs have approved methods and can be evaluated using Chesapeake Bay Program Partnership models
- ▶ Only approved BMPs can be used to document progress in annual reviews

Baseline

- ▶ Practice-based or performance-based method is acceptable for offset and trading programs
- ▶ Should meet allowable loads under Bay TMDL or local TMDL, whichever is stricter
- ▶ EPA working with jurisdictions to ensure that baseline method produces results that approximate and are consistent with TMDL for land uses and major river basin
- ▶ Addressed in separate TM

Baseline: Practice-based

- ▶ Selected practices should consistently demonstrate over multiple scenarios that a load meets the TMDL allocation
- ▶ Should be as similar as possible throughout a jurisdiction's entire Bay watershed
- ▶ May vary based on hydrogeomorphic regions or landscape characteristics

Baseline: Performance-based

- ▶ Defined as the difference between pre-BMP and post-BMP per acre load
- ▶ Jurisdiction model needs to produce results that approximate and are consistent with loads generated by CBP Partnership models for the jurisdiction and major river basin
- ▶ Should use same data and assumptions as were used in developing the Bay TMDL
- ▶ Use existing pre-Bay TMDL load if below model-calculated baseline

Leakage

- ▶ Jurisdictions should address “leakage”
- ▶ Leakage defined by STAC as occurring “when a trade [credit transaction] results in unexpected and unaccounted for net increases in loads.”
- ▶ Examples:
 - Manure transported off a farm but applied within the watershed
 - Land taken out of production for a buffer, but replaced by additional acres put into production

Uncertainty

- ▶ Each jurisdiction should ensure that total loads will not increase when a load reduction practice fails to generate expected reductions
- ▶ Examples:
 - Weather hampers full growth of cover crop, but modeled load assumes average hydrology
 - Grass swale washed out by a storm and no longer functions as designed
- ▶ Addressed in separate TM

Location Adjustment

- ▶ Use the constant delivery factors from the CBP Partnership's Watershed Model to adjust the load between buyer and seller
- ▶ Accounts for distance between each of offset or trading partners and Chesapeake Bay

Sources

- ▶ Agricultural source: tract or tracts of land owned or operated as a farm contained within a HUC-10 and draining to Chesapeake Bay
- ▶ Other sources evaluated on the entire source (e.g. new development, re-development, land conversions, etc.)

Additional Considerations

- ▶ Certification & verification
- ▶ Timeframe
- ▶ Registry
- ▶ Reporting
- ▶ Accountability

Certification and Verification

► Certification

- Annually certify credits used in offsets and trades
- Ensures that credit-generating practices are designed to meet current state regulations and policies
- Once certified, credits are valid for one year or no longer than NPDES compliance period, whichever is shorter
- Multi-year credits (e.g., buffers) should be re-certified annually and subject to periodic re-verification

► Verification

- Ensures that credit is generated via monitoring, inspection, reporting or other mechanism
- Comprehensive system in place to verify credits

► Addressed in separate TM

Timeframe

- ▶ Provide adequate assurance of the availability of credits for the duration of the transaction
- ▶ Jurisdictions have discretion to decide how to assure long-term credit availability for point sources
- ▶ Credit permanence topic of TM under development

Registry and Accountability

- ▶ Provide a publically accessible registry that records and tracks credits available and credits sold
- ▶ Information available at the time credit is proposed to be certified and when sold
- ▶ Methods for generating, calculating and purchasing credits to be clearly articulated and available to public

Reporting

- ▶ All BMPs are to be reported as part of annual progress review
- ▶ Tag:
 - BMPs that are certified and available for offsets/trades
 - BMPs that remain unsold
 - Sector and location to which load reduction should apply
- ▶ BMP used for generating credits beyond baseline cannot be credited toward meeting sector-specific BMP targets in a jurisdiction's WIP