



Urban Stormwater Workgroup Meeting Minutes

Tuesday, September 19, 2017

10:00 AM to 12:00 PM

Meeting Materials: [link](#)

Welcome and Review of August Meeting Minutes. Norm Goulet, Chair. Attach A.

Announcements

- *RDM GIT Funding Proposal:* The funding proposal to continue work on roadside ditch management practices was approved. The scope of work will be issued by the Chesapeake Bay Trust. Email Tom Scheuler with questions.
 - Question on when the earliest date for release of the expert panel memo could be.
 - Tom Scheuler replied that the earliest date could be the 2nd or 3rd quarter of 2018.
- *MOST Course:* A four-module course is now available through the MOST center. Contact David Wood for more information.
- *Webcast Schedule:* On 9/28- webcast on DC's River Smart Program; on 10/12- webcast on Roadside Ditch Management Practices
- *Pet Waste Outreach Report, (Attach B.):* The report is now available following a webcast by CSN hosted earlier this month. A recording of the webcast is available on the CSN [website](#).
- *FTW Fact Sheet Released (Attach C.):* The Floating Treatment Wetlands Factsheet is now available on the CSN [website](#).
- Tom Scheuler will serve as the chair of the expert panel establishment group under the AgWG to establish the charge and scope of a panel considering agricultural stormwater management practices.
- *Wetlands Expert Panel, Jeremy Hanson, VT:* There will be a new wetlands expert panel focused on rehabilitation, enhancement, and creation practices.
- *Impervious Disconnections Update, J. Hanson:* Reporting for impervious disconnection now covers total site area treated, as opposed to impervious acres. Updates can be made during 2-year milestone periods.

Land Use Updates. Peter Claggett, LUWG Coordinator

Peter provided an update on several land use topics including:

- Revision to how mixed open lands are distinguished from urban pervious lands: most of the turf grass in West Virginia that was over-estimated should have been classified as cropland. Changes were implemented based on spatial patterns and post-classification modifications. Additional information is available on the September 11th WQGIT meeting page.
 - West Virginia noted that the changes made more accurately reflect reality, and they are satisfied with the changes.
- Primer on the use of 2025 growth projects for development of the Phase III WIPs in preparation for the WQGIT decision on September 26th.

Discussion:

- Tanya Spano suggested adding explicit language acknowledging the potential to apply additional stormwater management BMPs due to additional growth.
- Karl Berger asked about the decision rules being implemented to break out the land uses in the true-up methodology for inputs to CAST. He also asked about what assumptions are being made for BMP implementation in the land use forecasts with regard to urban development.
 - Tom Scheuler: The Performance Standards Expert Report has information on the different performance standards for each Bay state.
 - Tanya Spano and Karl Berger agreed to draft a memo outlining their request for information.
 - Karl Berger suggested Bay Program Staff develop a briefing paper outlining how new development acres will be treated.

BMP Verification and Soil P Updates. Norm Goulet, Chair

Norm discussed the next steps for BMP verification and provided an update on the status of comments on soil phosphorus in the Phase 6 Model.

Discussion:

- As part of the Bay Agreement, the Partnership will only be utilizing verified practices as of the 2018 annual progress reporting cycle (July 2017 – June 30, 2018). Jeff Sweeney will be meeting with each jurisdiction to walk through their verification programs, and source sector workgroups will be reviewing their protocols to reflect relevant decisions that were made.
- The comment raised by DE regarding inequity of how soil P is treated in the Phase 6 model is being raised to the Management Board during their meeting on Thursday, where they will try to reach consensus on a resolution.
- Stream restoration BMP practices were creating negative loading rates in the model. Norm Goulet worked closely with the CBP Modeling Team to identify a resolution, but was unable to recommend moving forward with alternative options that would modify BMP recommendations outside of a panel context. Norm added that the next period for making changes to this practice would be at 2-year milestone periods.

CSN Network Survey Findings. T. Schueler, CSN

Tom reviewed the findings from CSN's annual network survey on the strengths and needs of their members.

Discussion:

- Heather Gewandter: I'm also concerned with how states interpret Bay Program practices and crediting methods - which I recognize is a nuance. When you're talking to MS4 communities, it's not necessarily just about the Bay Program panels, but how that implementation gets credited; ie, where the rubber meets the road.

Attachments.

- Attach A. August USWG Meeting Minutes

- Attach B. Be a Chesapeake Bay Retriever: Designing Effective Outreach Programs to Reduce Pet Waste
- Attach C. U-12 Floating Treatment Wetland Fact Sheet

Participants:

Norm Goulet	NVRC
Tom Scheuler	CSN
David Wood	CSN
Lindsey Gordon	CRC
Elaine Webb	DNREC
Cecelia Lane	DOEE
Julienne Bautista	DOEE
Christina Lyerly	MDE
Jeff White	MDE
Jamie Bauer	VA DEQ
Chris Thompson	VDOT
Alana Hartman	WV DEP
Sebastian Donner	WV DEP
Chad Thompson	WV DEP
KC Filippino	HRPDC
Ginny Sneed	Louis Berger Group
Heather Gewandter	City of Rockville MD
Jeremy Hanson	VT
Karl Berger	MWCOG
Tanya Spano	MWCOG
Mark Hoffman	CBC
Ted Brown	Biohabitats
Peter Claggett	USGS