

Decision: The Urban Stormwater Workgroup (USWG) is asked to approve the final forecast of utility scale solar for the “Business as Usual” scenario for Phase 7.
Vote conducted via email and finalized October 20th, 2026.

Urban Stormwater Signatory Members			Vote via email	Notes
<u>Affiliation</u>	<u>Primary</u>	<u>Alternate</u>		
Delaware	Elaine Webb (DNREC)	Bonnie Arvay (DNREC)	4	
District of Columbia	Andrea Krug (DOEE)	Cecilia Lane (DOEE)	5	
Maryland	Gillian Adkins (MDE)	Sophia Grossweiler (MDE)	5	
New York	Cassandra Davis (NYS DEC)	N/A	4	
Pennsylvania	Jamie Eberl (PA DEP)	Matt Williard (PA DEP)	4	
Virginia	Jaime Robb (VA DEQ)	Owen Dingman (VA DEQ)	4	
West Virginia	Scott Settle (WV DEP)	N/A	4	UPDATE: Concerns about the solar demand percentage have been addressed - inclusion of Jackson County, WV solar field has reduced the proportion of solar megawatts in the Bay watershed from 87% to 61%.
CBC	Maggie Woodward (CBC)	N/A	5	
EPA	Auston Smith (EPA)	Liz Ottinger (EPA)	3	
Urban Stormwater At-large Members			Vote	Notes
<u>Affiliation</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Term</u>		
At-large	Ginny Snead (AMT)	2026-2027	3	I do a fair amount of solar compliance work in Virginia and the data used to estimate solar has too much uncertainty in it for me. The current projections are likely a large overestimation. For example, even if a potential solar is approved by a locality, it isn't necessarily going to go forward for construction – the site sometimes isn't even yet attached to a final utility company and developer and may never be. Also, additional permits as well as growing local citizen reluctance for solar are all things that can derail a project.
At-large	Rebecca Winer-Skonovd (Biohabitats)	2026-2027	4	
At-large	Vacant			
Urban Stormwater Local Government Implementers			Vote	Notes
<u>Affiliation</u>	<u>Name</u>	<u>Term</u>		
Local Gov't (VA)	Camille Liebnitzky (City of Alexandria)	2026-2027	3	
Local Gov't (MD)	Heather Gewandter (City of Rockville)	2026-2027	5	
Local Gov't (PA)	Jeff Colella (WVSA)	2026-2027	4	
Additional Notes from USWG Non-Voting Members				
Local Gov't (VA) / Chair	KC Filippino (HRPDC)	As an USWG co-chair I don't have a vote on this issue. I just wanted to note that the forecast for solar actually starts at 2025 and goes through 2040, which is atypical for the remaining land uses. The solar footprint between 2021/22 – 2025 is 'burned in' to the data set with the actual footprints of the utility-scale solar facilities because the information is available. I missed this in the FAQ but it's in there, "USS solar fields built between 2021/22 and 2025 (SOL_25) are mapped as polygons in the National Photovoltaic Database and will be incorporated explicitly in all forecasts. New USS solar fields needed to meet future solar energy demands (SOL_30, SOL_35, and SOL_40) will be stochastically simulated by county in areas suitable for development and informed by spatially explicit solar probabilities."		