SRS Background Information:

I. What is the Strategy Review System?

- The Strategy Review System (SRS) is a system developed by the Chesapeake Bay Program (CBP) to conduct a biennial review of the 31 outcomes under the 2014 Chesapeake Watershed Agreement. The reviews will occur quarterly over two-years with 3-6 related outcomes presenting at these meetings.
- Workgroups and Action Teams will perform a self-evaluation of their progress, identifying successes, challenges, and new developments in policy, fiscal, and scientific areas that impact their outcome using a guided process designed by the Chesapeake Bay Program.
- Teams will present an overview of their self-evaluation at a designated CBP Management Board meeting within the 2-year period and adaptively manage based on feedback from their team and the Management Board.

II. How does the SRS impact the Workgroups?

- The SRS provides a great opportunity to evaluate the successes and challenges from workplan implementation. These lessons learned can be used to improve strategies and adapt efforts to better address factors impacting outcomes under the Chesapeake Watershed Agreement.
- In order to get the most out of the SRS, the will review Outcome workplans and prepare the materials provided by the CBP to guide evaluation and presentation to the Management Board.
- The GIT members or Workgroups will present to the Management Board on at a designated meeting during each 2-year period, highlighting one or two key messages learned from the Outcome evaluation and requesting support from the Management Board to adaptively manage outcomes.

III. What materials need to be completed by the Forage Action Team?

- <u>Logic Table</u>: The logic table organizes the 2016-2017 workplan into a format that allows the user to follow the thought process that led development of the workplans. The structure moves from factor influencing our outcome to the need/gap that limits factor response to, then to the actions designed to address these gaps. Two extra columns provide the responsible party for these actions and denote whether the action identified is critical to achieving the outcome. (Enter Due Date Here)
- Template: The template guides the team in answering these major questions: (Enter Due Date Here)
 - 1. What progress have we made so far?
 - 2. Are we doing what we said we would do?
 - 3. What challenges are we facing?
 - 4. Are we getting the expected results?
 - 5. How would we change our approach?
 - 6. How can be better collaborate with the other teams/outcomes?
 - 7. What can we ask of the Management Board to continue or accelerate our progress?
- <u>Presentation:</u> The presentation will function as the main message to the Management Board, focusing on highlights from the logic table and template to emphasize one or two key messages learned from Outcome evaluation. The presentation will culminate in one or more asks of the Management Board to improve the current approach. (Enter Due Date Here)
- <u>2017-2019 Workplan:</u> Following the Outcome evaluation and presentation to the Management Board, the GIT members or Workgroups will develop a new workplan, incorporating lessons learned and feedback from the Management Board. Unlike the previous workplan, the new 2-year workplan will follow the format of the logic table. (Enter Due Date Here)