

Outcome: Oyster Restoration

Goal: Sustainable Fisheries-Protect, restore and enhance finfish, shellfish and other living resources, their habitats and ecological relationships to sustain all fisheries and provide for a balanced ecosystem in the watershed and Bay.

Outcome: Continually increase finfish and shellfish habitat and water quality benefits from restored oyster populations. Restore native oyster habitat and populations in 10 tributaries by 2025 and ensure their protection.

Long term Target: Restore oyster populations (complete construction/seeding) in 10 tributaries of the Chesapeake Bay by 2025.

2 year Target: Complete Harris Creek restoration construction, continue construction/seeding in the Little Choptank and Tred Avon. Assess past restoration projects in Lynnhaven and Lafayette against the Oyster Metrics. Develop plans and acreage target for the Piankatank River.

2016-2017 Workplan

| Management Approach 1: Restoration planning and implementation. | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|------------------------------------|---|--|---|--|
| Key Action** <i>Description of work/project. Define each major action step on its own row. Identify specific program that will be used to achieve action.</i> | Performance Target(s) <i>Identify incremental steps to achieve Key Action.</i> | Participating Entity <i>Identify responsible partner for each step.</i> | Geographic Location | Timeline <i>Identify completion date (month & year) for each step)</i> | Estimated Project Cost <i>Best estimate of total project cost (needed)</i> | Available funding by Partner | Factors Influencing and/or Gap <i>Identify related factor or gap in Management Strategy</i> |
| Maryland Interagency Team continues restoration and monitoring in the three currently selected tributaries in Maryland | <u>Harris Creek</u> : Monitor restored reefs for parameters outlined in the Oyster Metrics. Monitor first cohort in Fall 2015 and the second cohort in Fall 2016 for oyster growth and survival. | MD Interagency Team, University of Maryland | Harris Creek (MD Eastern Shore) | Fall 2016 and Fall 2017 | \$130,000 for 2016 | NOAA | # acres seeded will depend hatchery production. Estimate approximately 100 total acres will be seeded between Tred and Little Choptank in 2016 |
| | <u>Harris Creek</u> : May need maintenance seeding of resotation sites TBD depending on monitoring results from 2015. | MD Interagency Team | Harris Creek (MD Eastern Shore) | 2016 | Estimated combined cost for seeding in Harris Creek, Tred Avon, Little Choptank in 2016: \$2,225,000 | Estimated for 2016 seeding: NOAA: \$1,000,000 MD DNR: \$1,225,000 | |
| | MD DNR will continue to collect continuous water quality data at sites in Harris Creek | MD DNR | Harris Creek (MD Eastern Shore) | 2016-2017 | \$53,628 per year | MD DNR | |
| | <u>Tred Avon River</u> : Continue seeding and reef construction. Seeding planned for 2016 with specific acreage # TBD. | MD Interagency Team | Tred Avon River (MD Eastern Shore) | 2016-2017 | Estimated combined cost for seeding in Harris Creek, Tred Avon, Little Choptank in 2016: \$2,225,000 | Estimated for 2016 seeding: NOAA: \$1,000,000 MD DNR: \$1,225,000 | |

| | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---------------------------------|--|----------------|--|---|--|
| tributaries in Maryland. | <u>Tred Avon River</u> : Conduct a series of meetings and on-water data collection trips with the watermen community and staff/leadership from NOAA, USACE and MD DNR. Finalize the draft tributary plan based on the outcome of these meetings. | MD Interagency Team | Tred Avon River (MD Eastern Shore) | early-mid 2016 | Staff time | Staff time from NOAA, USACE and MD DNR | and Little Choptank in 2016. |
| | <u>Little Choptank</u> : Continue seeding and reef construction. Seeding planned for 2016 with specific acreage # TBD. | MD Interagency Team | Little Choptank River (MD Eastern Shore) | 2016-2017 | Estimated combined cost for seeding in Harris Creek, Tred Avon, Little Choptank in 2016: \$2,225,000 | Estimated for 2016 seeding: NOAA: \$1,000,000 MD DNR: \$1,225,000 | |
| | Continue monthly meetings of the MD Interagency Team to discuss progress and future plans in selected tributaries in Maryland. | MD Interagency Team (NOAA lead) | Maryland | Ongoing | Staff time | Staff from NOAA, USACE, MD DNR and ORP | |
| | Total | | | | | | |
| Virginia Interagency Team continues restoration and monitoring in the three currently selected tributaries in | Lynnhaven River: Complete restoration planning process and develop a tributary acreage goal. Continue evaluating the status of past restoration projects. | Lynnhaven Team (USACE lead) | Lynnhaven River (VA) | Ongoing | Staff time | Staff from USACE, NOAA, VMRC, VIMS, CBF, Lynnhaven River NOW, City of Virginia Beach, Oyster Reefkeepers | Getting leases is driving the process for Lynnhaven. |
| | Lynnhaven River: Add hard reef habitat as part of the Lynnhaven Ecosystem Restoration Project (FY16 and 17). These projects are dependent upon the ability to obtain leases/real estate in the project areas. | USACE | Lynnhaven River (VA) | 2016-2017 | Depends on # of acres | USACE | |
| | Lafayette River: Complete restoration planning process and develop a tributary acreage goal. Continue evaluating the status of past restoration projects. | Lafayette Team (NOAA lead) | Lafayette River (VA) | Ongoing | Staff time | Staff from USACE, NOAA, VMRC, VIMS, CBF, Christopher Newport University, City of Norfolk, Elizabeth River Partnership | |

| | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|---------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|--|--|
| Virginia. | Piankatank River: Complete restoration planning process and develop a tributary acreage goal. Develop a baseline evaluation to evaluate existing reef acreage and inform the tributary acreage goal. | Pianktank Team (NOAA lead) | Piankatank River (VA) | 2016-2017 | | | |
| | Piankatank River: USACE plans to construct 39-acres of habitat (alternative substrates and possibly larger reef balls). | USACE | Pianktank River (VA) | 2016 | | USACE | |
| | Pianktank River: VMRC will continue shell maintenance on past restoration sites. | VMRC | Pianktank River (VA) | 2016-2017 | Approx. \$120K per year | VMRC | |
| | VA Interagency Team will continue to meet quarterly to discuss progress and future plans for restoration in Virginia. Specific tributary teams will continue to meet more frequently as needed. | Virginia Interagency Team (USACE lead) | Virginia | Ongoing | Staff time | Staff time from Lafayette, Lynnhaven and Pianktank Teams and additional stakeholders | |
| | Total | | | | | | |
| Select additional tributaries in Maryland and/or Virginia for restoration. | Interagency teams review selection criteria and recommend candidate tributaries for restoration. | MD and VA Interagency Teams | MD and VA | late 2016/early 2017 | Staff time | Staff time from MD and VA Interagency Teams | |
| | Jurisdictions identify candidate tributaries to go forward for public scoping. | MD DNR and VMRC | MD and VA | early 2017 | Staff time | Staff time from MD DNR and VMRC | |
| | Conduct public scoping to obtain input on tributary selection in Maryland with selection by late 2017. | MD Interagency Team | MD | early 2017 | Staff time | Staff from NOAA, USACE, MD DNR and ORP | |
| | Total | | | | | | |
| Track oyster restoration efforts in tributaries that are not currently selected as one the 10 tributaries under this outcome. | Monitor the success of past restoration projects in the Great Wicomico River. | USACE | Great Wicomico River (VA) | 2016 | | USACE | |
| | Communicate with the Potomac River Fisheries Commission on their efforts around oysters in the Potomac. | Potomac River Fisheries Commission, Fisheries GIT | Potomac River | Ongoing | Staff time | Staff from Fisheries GIT and Potomac River Fisheries Commission | |
| | Total | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | |
|--|---|-----------------------------------|---|----------------------|---|---|
| Continue collecting data to quantify ecosystem services on restored reefs. | NOAA Chesapeake Bay Office will continue to administer their grant-funded Oyster Reef Ecosystem Services (ORES) projects. The ORES Principal Investigators will continue to conduct their research to quantify the ecosystem benefits of oyster reef restoration. | NOAA, VIMS, UMD, UMCES, VCU, SERC | <u>Maryland</u> : Harris Creek, Little Choprtank River, Tred Avon River; <u>Virginia</u> : Great Wicomico River, Piankatank River, Lynnhaven River, Lafayette River | Ongoing through 2017 | \$690,894 grants to researchers and NOAA Chesapeake Bay Office Field staff time, equipment and vessel operation | NOAA Chesapeake Bay Office |
| | ORES Principal Investigators will meet regularly to discuss their research and results. | NOAA, VIMS, UMD, UMCES, VCU, SERC | <u>Maryland</u> : Harris Creek, Little Choprtank River, Tred Avon River; <u>Virginia</u> : Great Wicomico River, Piankatank River, Lynnhaven River, Lafayette River | Ongoing through 2017 | Staff time and ORES grant (see above) | NOAA Chesapeake Bay Office and ORES Principal Investigators |
| | Communicate Results: Develop a plan for future publication of ORES projects and results. Share results with state management agencies. Post regular research updates on NOAA Chesapeake Bay Office websites. | NOAA | <u>Maryland</u> : Harris Creek, Little Choprtank River, Tred Avon River; <u>Virginia</u> : Great Wicomico River, Piankatank River, Lynnhaven River, Lafayette River | Ongoing through 2017 | Staff time | NOAA |
| | Continue compiling information on on additional ecosystem services studies and incorporating results where appropriate. | NOAA, MD and VA Interagency Teams | Maryland and Virginia tributaries | Ongoing | Staff time | Staff time from NOAA, MD and VA Interagency Teams |
| | Total | | | | | |

Management Approach 2: Securing support and resources.

| Key Action** | Performance Target(s) | Participating Entity | Geographic Location | Timeline | Estimated Project Cost | Available funding by | Factors Influencing and/or Gap <i>Identify</i> |
|---|---|-------------------------------------|----------------------|----------|-----------------------------|--|--|
| Restoration partners will continue to meet with funding agencies and organizations to maximize available resources. | Communicate the progress on current restoration efforts to funding agencies and organizations. | MD and VA Interagency Teams | MD and VA | Ongoing | Staff time | Staff time from MD and VA Interagency Teams | |
| Total | | | | | | | |
| Restoration partners will work collaboratively to promote efficient, streamlined permitting processes. | Discuss how to preserve leases in restoration areas for future restoration efforts planned for those leased area. | VA Interagency Team, Lynnhaven Team | Lynnhaven River (VA) | 2016 | Staff time, workshop funds? | Staff from USACE, NOAA, VMRC, VIMS, CBF, Lynnhaven River NOW, City of Virginia Beach, Oyster Reefkeepers | |

| | Total | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------|-----------|---------------|--|---|--|
| Consider the concerns of shell budget and hatchery capacity and how to address future issues. | Apply for funds and if awarded, convene a technical workshop in 2016 to discuss oyster hatchery and water quality issues. | Fisheries GIT | MD and VA | early 2016 | Staff time; Estimate \$5,000-10,000 for a workshop | Staff time from MD and VA Interagency Teams; GAP: Workshop funds | |
| | Discuss the issue of limited shell resources at the Oyster Summit being planned for February 2016. | MD and VA Interagency Teams | MD and VA | February 2016 | Staff time to attend Oyster Summit | NOAA Chesapeake Bay Office will fund Oyster Summit. | |
| | Total | | | | | | |

Management Approach 3: Future Protection.

| Key Action** | Performance Target(s) | Participating Entity | Geographic Location | Timeline | Estimated Project Cost | Available funding by | Factors Influencing and/or Gap <i>Identify</i> |
|--|---|---|---------------------|----------|---|---|--|
| Maryland and Virginia natural resources law enforcement agencies will continue to implement and assess the effectiveness of enforcement plans to address poaching. | Improve surveillance techniques and technology where possible. | MD DNR and VMRC | MD and VA | Ongoing | Included in MD DNR and VMRC natural resources law enforcement operating costs | | |
| | Promote increased awareness of consequences of poaching. | MD and VA Interagency Teams, MD DNR, VMRC | MD and VA | Ongoing | Staff time | Staff time from MD and VA Interagency Teams | |
| | Promote alternative materials/substrate as protection for restored reefs. | MD and VA Interagency Teams | MD and VA | Ongoing | Staff time | Staff time from MD and VA Interagency Teams | |
| | Tributary teams will identify additional considerations for sanctuary reefs that are in close proximity to harvest/seed areas open to industry. | MD and VA Interagency Teams | MD and VA | Ongoing | Staff time | Staff time from MD and VA Interagency Teams | |
| | Total | | | | | | |

Management Approach 4: Approaches Targeted for Local Participation.

| Key Action** | Performance Target(s) | Participating Entity | Geographic Location | Timeline | Estimated Project Cost | Available funding by | Factors Influencing and/or Gap <i>Identify</i> |
|---|---|---|---------------------|----------|------------------------|---|--|
| Conduct outreach to the general public and to stakeholders near selected tributaries or candidate tributaries to inform them about restoration efforts. | Conduct stakeholder outreach meetings for local communities near selected tributaries during the restoration planning process. | MD and VA Interagency Teams, VMRC, MD DNR | MD and VA | Ongoing | Staff time | Staff time from MD and VA Interagency Teams | |
| | Utilize restoration partner websites and the Chesapeake Bay Program website to feature information and progress updates on restoration efforts. | Fisheries GIT | MD and VA | 2016 | Staff time | Staff time from Fisheries GIT | |

| | | | |
|--|--|-------|--|
| | | Total | |
|--|--|-------|--|