

Overview of Feedback Review Process

September 30, 2025

Review Team

Name	Organization
Jess Blackburn	Stakeholders' Advisory Committee
Meg Cole	Scientific and Technical Advisory Committee
Cassie Davis	New York Department of Environmental Conservation
Leila Duman	Maryland Department of Natural Resources
Amy Handen	EPA
Ken Hyer	USGS
Laura Cattell Noll	Local Government Advisory Committee
Lucinda Power	EPA
Bailey Robertory	Maryland Department of Natural Resources
Scott Settle	West Virginia Department of Environmental Protection
Grayson Shultz	Virginia Secretary of Natural Resources
Peter Tango	USGS

Review Process

- Feedback received to <u>comments@chesapeakebay.net</u>.
- CBP Communications Office copied each email into a SharePoint folder for the Review Team to access, removed identifying information so raw comments could be posted to <u>chesapeakebay.net</u> and extracted individual comments and categorized them into a spreadsheet.
- Twelve-person Review Team split into five smaller groups that met multiple times to review feedback.
- Each of the smaller Review Teams met with outcome leads to review feedback and assist in making revisions.

Feedback Categorization

- Full Agreement
- Thriving Habitats and Wildlife
- Clean Water
- Healthy Landscapes
- Engaged Communities
- Further Discussion
- Structure/Governance
- Editorial
- No Response Required
- Out of Scope

Feedback Overview

- Received 1,465 unique pieces of feedback.
- 381 individual feedback emails.
- Two action alerts:
 - 1,114 emails from CBF
 - 1,047 emails from Environment America
- Received the most comments from NGOs (623), followed by watershed residents (545) and local governments (98 comments from 12 different local government units).
- Reducing Excess Nitrogen, Phosphorus and Sediment Outcome received the most (111), followed by Protected Lands (102), Oysters (56) and Wetlands (53).

Thank you!

Any questions?
You can contact me at rfelver@chesapeakebay.net

