Urban Nutrient Management Panel

UPDATE FOR THE USWG

SEPTEMBER 16, 2025

Outline

Panel Status

Process Overview

➤ DRAFT Recommendations

Panel Background

- Original Panel was launched in 2011 and approved in 2013
- Established two types of BMPs:
 - State-wide P reductions, based on legislation
 - Individual BMPs for UNM Plans
- •State-wide credits assumed 60-70% reduction in P due to bans and industry phase-out. To be replaced by sales statistics
- •Individual credit based on nutrient export risk factors, and implementation of up to 10 core practices.

New Panel

- •Re-visit the approved CAST-23 methodology for turfgrass fertilizer application.
- Evaluate options and implications for providing nutrient reductions for large, non-fertilized lands
- •Evaluate potential approaches to streamline tracking, reporting, and verification of both individual urban nutrient management plans, and any pollutant removal associated with statewide fertilizer legislation.
- Work with the USWG to provide requested feedback on urban nutrient application and physical process simulation, including P export pathways, and P sensitivities

Expert BMP Review Panel: Urban Nutrient Management

Panelist	Affiliation
Cecilia Lane	DOEE
Frank Schneider & Denise Uzipis	PA Dept of Agriculture
Martin Hurd	Fairfax County, VA
Dylan Burgevin	MDE
Arianna Johns & Kay Alexander	Virginia DEQ
Dave Montali	Tetra Tech
Kevin Du Bois	Department of Defense
Michael Goatley	Virginia Tech
Gonzalo Ortiz	Virginia Tech, VA DCR
Peter Landschoot	Pennsylvania State
David Wood & Michele Berry	CSN (Panel co-facilitators)

New Panel

- Convened in September 2024
- Held 7 Meetings
- Panel reviewed draft report and met on 9/11/25 to discuss comments and revisions needed for consensus.

What Did They Review

Science Summary from 2013 Panel Report

Papers published after 2012

- Nitrogen dynamics in urban turfgrass (fate and transport)
- Phosphorus dynamics in urban turfgrass (fate and transport)
- Best Practices for reducing N and P export from turf
- Effectiveness of local and state fertilizer legislation
- Cross-disciplinary studies evaluating fertilizer management

Soil Test Data from 5 labs

Updated survey data on fertilization and turf management practices

Overall: 35 new studies were reviewed in this phase.

Recommendations Outline

*HAS NOT BEEN APPROVED BY PANEL - SUBJECT TO CHANGE

Turfgrass Fertilizer Application Method

Data Sources

- AAPFCO
 - 1985-2016
- State Data
 - 2017-2020/2021
- Turfgrass Acres

Data Preparation

- Import data
- County-data summed by state
- Determine trends
 - Remove data outliers at the county scale
 - 3-year rolling average

Incorporation in CAST

- Distributed to turfgrass at state-levels
- Trend from the raw data is applied while holding 1995 constant (TMDL)
- Based on Bay Program Partnership decisions

Basic Structure

The panel is not recommending major changes to the fertilizer application methodology

The panel is replacing the current UNM BMP rates with 3-4 updated "BMPs":

- Automatic Fertilizer Rate Adjustment: Not a reported practice, but the reduction in application rate and subsequent loads from the declining non-farm fertilizer sales data.
- **Urban Nutrient Management Plans (w/ Soil Test):** A simplified version of the UNM Plans. It adjusts the N efficiency to remove the double-counting of the rate reduction from the declining sales, and drops the high/low split. Longer duration based on certified plan and completed soil test
- Urban Nutrient Management Plans (no Soil Test): Same essential qualifying criteria as the previous BMP, but would be open to signed homeowner pledges following core practices, as well as "trained" professionals developing plan recommendations without a soil test. Same efficiencies but shorter duration.
- Non-fertilizer Turfgrass: A slightly higher % reductions over the UNM Plan BMP. This would be an annual BMP.

There is more guidance around record-keeping and triggers for on-site verification checks.

Timeline and Next Steps

Approximate Timeline

- -8/29 Panel received draft report for review and comment
- 9/4 WTWG initial briefing
- 9/11 Panel meeting to discuss comments and identify any needed changes or barriers to endorsement.

9/16 - USWG initial briefing

9/26- Panel provided with final draft of the report

Week of 10/13 - Panel meets to provide final approval

10/21 - USWG receives full recommendations presentation (WTWG sent a copy of the technical appendix for review; recording of the presentation will be sent to WQGIT; will be seeking USWG email approval by 10/24)

10/27 - WQGIT receives full recommendations presentation

11/6 - WTWG Approval Meeting

11/17 - WQGIT Approval Meeting