Research Needs Identified by Chesapeake Bay Program BMP Expert Panel Reports

Note: Panel recommendations are not tied to specific funding sources. Priority research needs, as identified by Panel coordinators, are in **bold.**

	Panel Report	
Research Need	Identifying Need	Date of report
Collaborative Monitoring of Retrofit Performance (p.25)	Urban Retrofits	10/9/2012
Map the distribution and ground truth the relative proportion of different land uses/covers within the current pervious land	Urban Nutrient	
classification used in the CBWM, with a focus on high and low nutrient export risk factors.	Management (UNM)	3/11/2013
Conduct additional studies of homeowner fertilizer behavior in urban, suburban and exurban portions of the Bay watershed.		
These studies should focus on measuring their compliance with the intent of new statewide P fertilizer legislation.	UNM	3/11/2013
Undertake before and after surveys to document changes in homeowner attitudes and behaviors after exposure to UNM		
planning, and similar surveys to evaluate the impact of UNM training on UNM practice implementation among commercial		
applicators.	UNM	3/11/2013
Conduct source area monitoring research to confirm the load, concentrations and sources of organic N and P in lawn runoff,		
and define the specific contribution of lawn and leaf debris to nutrient loads associated with both pervious and impervious		
cover.	UNM	3/11/2013
Develop improved methods to quantify the actual lawn fertilizer N and P inputs for pervious lands through enhanced		
reporting and analysis of non-farm fertilizer sales data.	UNM	3/11/2013
Perform field research to measure surface and subsurface nutrient export associated with high and low risk lawns over a		
broader range of soil, physiographic, terrain and soil conditions.	UNM	3/11/2013
Support sociological research to determine the motivations and impediments for individuals to adopt UNM practices.	UNM	3/11/2013
Subwatershed monitoring studies that could explore how much upland retrofit implementation is needed to optimize	Urban Stream	
functional uplift when stream restoration and stormwater retrofits are installed as part of an integrated restoration plan.	Restoration	5/13/2013
Development of a database of the different stream restoration projects that are submitted for credit under each protocol, and		
case studies that profile both failure and success stories and on-going maintenance needs that may be required to preserve	Urban Stream	
the credits (see Section 7.1).	Restoration	5/13/2013
Further economic, sociologic, and ecological research to define the value and benefits of local stream restoration projects,	Urban Stream	
beyond nutrient or sediment reduction.	Restoration	5/13/2013
Rapid field assessment methods to assess project performance, identify maintenance problems, develop specific rehabilitation	Urban Stream	
regimes, or down-grade nutrient credits where projects fail.	Restoration	5/13/2013

Research Needs Identified by Chesapeake Bay Program BMP Expert Panel Reports

Note: Panel recommendations are not tied to specific funding sources. Priority research needs, as identified by Panel coordinators, are in **bold.**

	Panel Report	
Research Need	Identifying Need	Date of report
	Urban Stream	
Proper use and application of engineering hydrology, hydraulic, and sediment transport models to assess channel morphology.	Restoration	5/13/2013
	Urban Stream	
Development of improved design guidelines for individual in-stream restoration structures.	Restoration	5/13/2013
	Urban Stream	
Further refinement in stream restoration design methods that are habitat-based and watershed process-oriented.	Restoration	5/13/2013
	Urban Stream	
Continued research on the performance of palustrine and wetland efficiencies over time to inform Protocol 3.	Restoration	5/13/2013
Conduct additional research on stream restoration in the and western coastal plan, the ridge and valley province and the		
Appalachian plateau as the majority of the available stream research has occurred in the Piedmont portion of the Bay	Urban Stream	
watershed.	Restoration	5/13/2013
More research is needed on denitrification in streams as the denitrification rate in Protocol 2 is based on a single study and	Urban Stream	
may not be representative of all streams in the Bay watershed.	Restoration	5/13/2013
More research is needed to document the response of non-urban streams to stream restoration projects in comparison to the	Urban Stream	
still limited, but more extensive literature on urban streams in the Bay watershed.	Restoration	5/13/2013
Conduct monitoring studies to estimate the contribution of sediment and nutrients from stream bank and channel erosion and	Urban Stream	
determine the causes underlying stream bank erosion.	Restoration	5/13/2013
Provide support for the development of regional stream bank erosion curves for the BANCS method using local stream bank		
erosion estimates throughout the watershed and a statistical analysis of their predicted results. Use this information to	Urban Stream	
improve the accuracy of the BANCS or similar method	Restoration	5/13/2013
A short-term and intensive monitoring study that focuses on the nutrient concentrations in construction site discharges during	Erosion and Sediment	
the period of high fertilizer wash off risk that occurs during and after site stabilization.	Control (ESC)	4/14/2014
Should the short-term monitoring study indicate that construction site nutrient loads are equal to or greater than the target		
CBWM nutrient loads, a longer term study should commence. The focus of the long term study should be to determine		
whether fertilization rate or formulation recommendations, vegetative stabilization methods and/or down-gradient ESC		
practices could be modified in order to reduce nutrient export, while still maintaining effective vegetative and soil cover during		
the entire construction process.	ESC	4/14/2014

Research Needs Identified by Chesapeake Bay Program BMP Expert Panel Reports

Urban sector

Note: Panel recommendations are not tied to specific funding sources. Priority research needs, as identified by Panel coordinators, are in **bold.**

	Panel Report	
Research Need	Identifying Need	Date of report
The potential benefits of incorporating low doses of PAM to hydro-seeding mixes on erosion-prone soils should be		
investigated.	ESC	4/14/2014
The nutrient dynamics within individual ESC practices should be investigated to ascertain whether some practices or design		
variations promote greater nutrient reduction.	ESC	4/14/2014
Monitoring studies to evaluate the fate of N and P treated by urban filter strips. Studies need to measure leaching of N and P		
into groundwater beneath the UFS at different distances along the flow path from the level spreader. Accumulation of N and		
P over time in the surface soils of the UFS would also be useful.	Urban Filter Strips	6/9/2014
Monitoring studies to evaluate the impact of concentrated flow through forested buffers, and function throughout the		
buffer.	Urban Filter Strips	6/10/2014
Research to evaluate the function of pollutant removal capabilities of urban forested buffers less than 35ft along the flow		
path.	Urban Filter Strips	6/11/2014
Investigate effect of hydric soils or groundwater flow close to the soil surface on the nitrate removal capacity.	Urban Filter Strips	6/12/2014
Research into magnitude and extent of illicit discharges, sewage exfiltration and overflows across the Chesapeake Bay	Gray Infrastructure	11/10/2014
Improve knowledge of best detection methods, nutrient concentrations associated with specific nutrient discharge types, the		
effect of groundwater migration and denitrification, and more precise methods for estimating the flow volume and duration		
associated with the discharge types	Gray Infrastructure	11/11/2014
Further study to determine whether wet weather SSOs should be granted nutrient credit and what sewer monitoring and		
modeling tools would be needed to compute and verify them.	Gray Infrastructure	11/12/2014
Establishment of a nutrient discharge fingerprinting database for grey infrastructure. Database would consist of nutrient		
concentrations, flow rates and flow durations for each of the discharge types in the watershed as they are submitted for		
credit.	Gray Infrastructure	11/13/2014