

# Drafting the New Chesapeake Bay Agreement, Goals and Outcomes

June 13, 2013

# **Chesapeake Bay Agreement**

# **Overview**

- Schedule and Process
- Communications Strategy/Messaging
- Stakeholder Outreach Strategy
- Goals
- Outcomes
- Agreement / Participatory Sections
  - Preamble, Mission, Vision, Principles and Operational Commitments
- Management Strategies
- Governance Document

# How did we get here?

- 2009 Federal Executive Order was issued
- <u>2010</u> CBP Agreement *Chesapeake 2000* (C2K) goals either expired or unfulfilled whether or not they were met
- <u>June 2010</u> EC Requests formation of Alignment Action Team (AAT) to coordinate/integrate goals, outcomes, actions of the CBP with those of the EO
- July 2011 Executive Council agreed to 3-year, 4 stage discussion and process

Stage 1: Use Goal Implementation Teams to Set Direction (2011)

Stage 2: Develop Negotiation Protocols (2012)

Stage 3: Negotiate New Agreement (by 2013 EC mtg.)

Stage 4: Implement New Agreement (2013-2025)



# How did we get here?

- July 2011 EC extends time for developing negotiation protocols until after completion of Phase II WIPs.
  - Clarifies that Stages 3 & 4 would only be completed if determined necessary through the analysis completed in Stage 2.
  - Extends date of Stage 3 to time of the 2013 EC meeting.
- <u>February 2012</u> –PSC asks GIT6 to work with GITs to carry out Adaptive Management recommendations (review/develop new goals and outcomes).
- <u>December 2012</u> PSC agreed to development of alignment options and timeline proposed by GIT
- March 2013 PSC agreed that "no new agreement" is not an option and directed GIT 6 to further develop two options for consideration: a comprehensive agreement and a bifurcated agreement).
- <u>April 2013</u> PSC agreed to pursue comprehensive agreement with goals, outcomes, principles and org. commitments.
  - Directs GIT6 to develop draft participatory language
  - Directs GITs to finalize goals and outcomes



# Where are we going?

#### December - January

#### GIT6

- Establish GIT6 sub-group fully representative of all partners
- GITS work to finalize goals
- -Look at issues and early decisions needed for **agreement**

#### January 10th MB Meeting

MB

- Receive MB Input

#### January

#### GIT6

- Coordinate effort with GITs to develop proposed new **goals**
- Build out potential **governance** options
- Build out options for potential new agreement

# You Are Here

#### June PSC Meeting

#### **PSC**

- adopt or recommend changes to goals & outcomes
- Review, negotiate draft Agreement language,

## GIT6

April - June

- Develop draft agreement
- GITs Coordinate to finalize goals and outcomes

#### MB

- MB recommends to PSC
   (accept, modify, defer goals
   outcomes to PSC)
- MB considers creation of Editorial Board

#### March /April PSC Meetings

#### PSC

Agreed to <u>comprehensive</u>
 agreement with overarching
 goals and specific outcomes
 -Provide input and guidance on key
 governance issues

#### MB

 Adopt or recommend changes to goals & outcomes



# Where are we going?

#### August July 2013 July MB **GIT Chairs** - Continue review and comments on MB - Continue completion of all elements to Editorial Board - Public Comment Session outcomes - Continue negotiations on Proposed PSC (& FLCD?) outcomes and Agreement language **Editorial Board** -Revised draft provided to negotiate - Begins deliberating outstanding issues outstanding issues **Proposed May 2014 Mtg** October September MB **EC** Meeting - Final recommendation to Editorial - Executive Council signs **Board PSC** final agreement **PSC** - Adopt Management - GITs begin developing - Final content on all elements Strategy (1st workplan) and

management strategies (1st

workplan) and refresh governance document

governance document

(3 wks before EC meeting)

# **Communications Strategy**

# Messaging

**Key themes:** 

# Stakeholder Outreach

# Stakeholder Input

#### **Key points of input:**

- July MB Public Forum
- Website –comments on specific questions 2 weeks
- 33
- 33

- Sustainable Fisheries (ready for PSC)
- Vital Habitats
- Water Quality (ready for PSC)
- Healthy Watersheds
- Land Conservation (ready for PSC)
- Public Access (ready for PSC)
- Environmental Literacy

#### **Final - Ready for PSC Consideration**

#### **Sustainable Fisheries Goal**

 Restore, enhance, and protect the finfish, shellfish and other living resources, their habitats and ecological relationships to sustain all fisheries and provide for a balanced ecosystem in the watershed and bay.

#### **Vital Habitats Goal**

 Restore, enhance, and protect a network of land and water habitats to support priority species and to afford other public benefits, including water quality, recreational uses and scenic value across the watershed

#### **Water Quality Goal**

Restore Water quality to achieve standards for the Bay watershed.

#### **Land Conservation Goal**

 Conserve landscapes treasured by citizens to maintain water quality and habitat; sustain working forests, farms and maritime communities; and conserve lands of cultural, indigenous and community value.

#### **Public Access Goal**

• Expand public access to the Bay and its tributaries through existing and new local, state and federal parks, refuges, reserves, trails and partner sites.

# **Healthy Watersheds Goal**

- Maintain local watersheds at optimal health across a range of landscape contexts.
- Alternative option: Maintain local <u>waters and</u> watersheds that are identified by states as currently healthy at optimal health across a range of landscape contexts.

# **Environmental Literacy Goal**

• Every student in the region graduates environmentally literate having participated in meaningful watershed educational experiences in elementary, middle, and high school that were supported by takehers who have received profession a development in environmental education and schools that are models of Wironmental sustainability.

- Blue Crab Outcome: Maintain sustainable blue crab population based on the current 2012 target of 215 million adult females (1+ years old) and continue to refine population targets between 2013 through 2025 based on best available science.
- Oyster Outcome: Restore native oyster habitat and populations in 20 ? tributaries by 2025.
  - \*\* (Note: This outcome relates to oysters for habitat as well as populations. GIT1 is considering modifying this outcome to reflect ecological restoration outcome [in accordance with oyster metrics] and consider a separate goal in support of oyster aquaculture [oysters as seafood].

- Fisheries Outcome: For consideration (not yet yetten with Fisheries GIT (GIT1 meets June 17-18):
  - 1) <u>Finfish Outcome</u> (resident and transent species) recover finfish populations to xxxx levels
    - Pro: All inclusive
    - Con: May not be seen and achievable
  - 2) Developing outcomes for each of striped bass, shad and herring and menhaden similar to how C2K was handled.
    - Pro: Specific to each key species so clear and definable
    - Con: Looks single species not ecosystem based and c2k numbers I don't think meant much to bay

- Fisheries Outcome: For consideration (not yet vetted with Fisheries GIT (GIT1 meets June 17-18):
  - 3) <u>Forage Fish Outcome</u>: Maintain a population level of forage fish that supports other commercial finfish species
    - Pro: Ecosystem based because forage crive the system
    - Con: May be hard to scientifically define a base population level/carry capacity. Would also need to include habitat.
  - 4) Ecosystem Out com: Two possible options:
  - i) establish pal that objectives (identify and establish measurable thresholds below with we cannot go and support fisheries) also links to land use.
  - i) e tablish bay wide mulit-species plans or targets. Based on the findings at MSY for a complex of species tends to be lower than the sum of MSY for single species.
    - Pro: Would be measurable; would move ebfm forward on a baywide scale.
    - Con: Possible concerns by the fisheries.

- Fisheries Outcome: For consideration (not yet verted with Fisheries GIT (GIT1 meets June 17-18):

  5) invasive catfish reduce promations of invasive catfish in xxxx key tribs (watershods to level that mitigate impacts and make a level to the level that mitigate impacts and make a level to the level that mitigate impacts and make a level to the level that mitigate impacts and make a level to the level that mitigate impacts and make a level to the level to
- watersheds to levers that mitigate impacts and reduce.

  Pro high visibility outcome to drive action in jurisdictions con-? tribs/watersheds to leves that mitigate impacts and reduce spread

#### **Vital Habitats Goal**

- Wetlands Outcome: Restore a total of 100,000 acres of tidal and non-tidal wetlands, primarily on resource and agricultural lands and enhance function of an additional 150,000 acres of degraded wetlands.
  - Black Duck: Restore wetland habitats to support a wintering black duck population in the watershed of 100,000 birds by 2025.

#### **Vital Habitats Goal**

- Stream Health Outcome: Restore stream health and function by 10% above the 2008 level of sampled stream sites\* throughout the watershed rating far, good, or excellent as measured by the Index of Biotic Integrity, by 2025"
  - \*\*Note: STAR's NTWG will re-assess baseline. Monitoring and assessment of IBI should be compiled by states between 2008-2016 and between 2017 and 2025.
  - Brook Trout: Restore naturally reproducing brook trout populations with an 8% increase in total cumulative brook trout patch area by 2025 in Chesapeake headwater streams.

#### **Vital Habitats Goal**

- Fish Passage Outcome: During the period 2011-2025, restore historical fish migratory routes by opening 1,000 additional stream miles, with restoration success indicated by the presence of river herring, American shad, Hickory shad, Brook Trout and/or American eel.
  - \*\*(Note from GIT1: The Brook Trout and Fish Passage outcomes both relate to fish. Should consider whether to consolidate under the Sustainable Fisheries Goal).
- Submerged Aquatic Vegetation Outcome: Achieve and maintain 185,000 acres of SAV or sufficient water clarity to support 185,000 acres of SAV in the Chesapeake Bay by 2025.

#### **Vital Habitats Goal**

• Forestry Outcome: 1) Restore 900 miles per year of riparian forest buffer and conserve buffers until at least 70% of riparian areas are forested, and 2) Expand tree canopy 1,000 acres per year by 2025.

## **Water Quality Goal**

- 2025 Watershed Implementation Plans (WIP) Outcome: Have all controls installed by 2025 to achieve the Bay's DO, water clarity/SAV, and chlorophyll a criteria.
- 2017 WIP Outcome: Have practices in place by 2017 that are expected to achieve 60 percent of the load reductions necessary to achieve applicable water quality standards compared to 2009 levels.

# **Healthy Watersheds Goal**

- Healthy Waters Outcome: Current state-identified healthy waters remain healthy.
  - Alternative option: 100% of state-identified currently healthy waters and watersheds remain healthy (baseline year: \_\_\_\_\_).

#### **Land Conservation Goal**

 Protected Lands Outcome: Protect an additional two million acres of lands throughout the watershed currently identified as high conservation priorities at the federal, state or local level by 2025, including 695,000 acres of forest land of highest value for maintaining water quality and an additional 225,000 acres of wetlands by 2025.

#### **Public Access Goal**

• Public Access Site Development Outcome: Increase public access by adding 300 new public access sites by 2025.

# **Environmental Literacy Goal**

- Student Outcome: Increase the number of students participating in meaningful watershed educational experiences in elementary, middle, and high school.
- Educator Outcome: Improve access to sustained professional development opportunities, tools, and resources that support teacher efforts to provide students with high-quality environmental education.
- **School Outcome:** Increase the number of schools in the region that maintain their buildings, grounds, and operations to support positive environmental and human health outcomes.
- Local Education Agency Outcome: Increase the number of local education agencies that establish and support a system wide approach to environmental education that includes meaningful watershed educational experiences.

# **Identified Gaps**

- Toxic Contaminants
- Social/Environmental Indicators
- Sound Land Use Planning

# **Preamble**

The Chesapeake Bay is the nation's largest and most productive estuary, a powerful economic engine and is recognized as a national treasure.

The Chesapeake Bay Program partnership was formed 30 years ago with a simple document that recognized the "historical decline of living resources" in the Chesapeake Bay and committed the signatories to a cooperative approach to "fully address the extent, complexity, and sources of pollutants entering the Bay."

The first Chesapeake Bay agreement was signed in 1983. It laid the foundation for a cooperative program that now includes all the Chesapeake Bay watershed jurisdictions, EPA and the Chesapeake Bay Commission....

(MORE....)

# Vision

The Chesapeake Bay Program partners envision a healthy, balanced and sustainable Chesapeake Bay watershed with clean water, abundant life, conserved lands, and engaged stakeholders.

- We envision a healthy, balanced and sustainable Chesapeake watershed with:
- Water that achieves water quality standards, that supports ecological resources, and is swimmable and fishable in streams, rivers and the Bay;
- Abundant and healthy populations of living resources including blue crabs, oysters and fish;
- Fish and shellfish that are safe to eat;
- Abundant forests, thriving farms and maritime communities that benefit both the economy and the environment;
- A broad network of land and water habitats that are resilient to the impacts of climate and weather and the impacts of human activities;
- Extensive areas of conserved lands that reflect the region's heritage while providing scenic vistas;
- Ample access to the Bay and its tributaries in every watershed jurisdiction to provide opportunities for recreating on or near the water;
- Environmentally literate students and citizens who are stewards of nature and understand their personal impacts on the environment; and
- Local governments, businesses, schools and universities, watershed organizations, neighborhoods, and individuals committed to implementing actions that will achieve the goals and outcomes of this agreement and vibrant sustainable communities.

# Mission

 Working through a deliberate, coordinated and cooperative approach, we strive to accelerate efforts to protect and restore the Chesapeake Bay ecosystem and its abundant living resources. We work both independently and collaboratively—with our partners and stakeholders—to implement targeted management strategies that will achieve our shared goals and outcomes and inspire others to take action.

# **Principles**

- 1. Work together in an environment of mutual respect and trust while respecting the sovereign authority of each partner.
- 2. Work to improve local water quality, the natural environment and quality of life across the watershed and its tributaries leading to the restoration of the Chesapeake Bay.
- 3. Strive for improvement in both the environment and the economy through the program's management actions.
- 4. Acknowledge, support and embrace local governments and other local entities in Bay restoration actions.
- 5. Advance the environmental literacy of Bay watershed residents.

# Principles (cont'd.)

- 6. Utilize science-based decision-making and seek out new technologies in advancing our management actions and strategies of management based upon a changing system.
- 7. Recognize that our long-term success will require a forward-looking approach that anticipates changing conditions, including long-term trends in sea level, temperature, precipitation, land use and other aspects of environmental variability.
- 8. Institute and adhere to adaptive management principles throughout all levels of the partnership to foster continuous improvement.
- 9. Implement collaborative, consensus-based decision-making approaches.
- 10. Continue to operate with transparency in program decisions, policies and science.

# **Operational Commitments**

- Implement an adaptive management system that ensures continual improvement of our ability to achieve goals, outcomes, and strategies including development and implementation of a tracking and accountability framework.
- 2. Develop management strategies for each outcome, approved by the Management Board that identifies jurisdictions, federal agencies and other partners committed to providing leadership toward the achievement of that outcome.
- 3. Management strategies, outcomes and goals will be evaluated on a biennial basis by the Management Board with recommendations going to the Principals' Staff Committee (PSC) for approval.
- 4. Engage the Advisory Committees (CAC, LGAC and STAC) as non-voting members of the PSC.
- 5. Demonstrate strong, regional leadership by convening an annual public meeting of the Chesapeake Bay Executive Council.
- 6. Strengthen ongoing relationships with local governments, and other local stakeholders including: businesses, schools and universities, watershed organizations, neighborhoods, and individuals.

# Operational Commitments (cont'd.)

- 7. Maintain a coordinated Bay-wide monitoring and research program as necessary to support decision-making and track progress and the effectiveness of management actions.
- 8. Recognizing changing environmental conditions, explicitly identify opportunities to pursue management strategies that will be robust and resilient across a range of future conditions.
- 9. Effectively coordinate management actions with monitoring systems to understand patterns of change and ensure performance over time.
- 10. Commit to an environmentally literate public leading to increased individual stewardship by engaging more fully in place-based community restoration actions, improving partner and stakeholder outreach and messaging, formal (K-12) and non-formal educational opportunities and enhanced teacher professional development.
- 11. Work to advance the Chesapeake Bay Programs' technological capability and use it to evaluate the effectiveness of management strategies.

# Operational Commitments (cont'd.)

- 12. Develop "governance guidelines" to identify the roles, responsibilities and working relationships of and between all partners
- 13. Develop governance procedures for the Chesapeake Bay TMDL. (Question for MB; keep as separate commitment or as part of governance quidelines?)
- 12. (Placeholder language, Md.) Work collaboratively to develop and execute management strategies for solving mutual obstacles to restoration implementation including: solving permitting problems, building local government capacity, expediting approval of new and innovative best management practices, and identifying and developing innovative financing solutions.

# Preamble

The Chesapeake Bay is the nation's largest and most productive estuary, a powerful economic engine and is recognized as a national treasure.

The Chesapeake Bay Program partnership was formed 30 years ago with a simple document that recognized the "historical decline of living resources" in the Chesapeake Bay and committed the signatories to a cooperative approach to "fully address the extent, complexity, and sources of pollutants entering the Bay."

The first Chesapeake Bay agreement was signed in 1983. It laid the foundation for a cooperative program that now includes all the Chesapeake Bay watershed jurisdictions, EPA and the Chesapeake Bay Commission....

# **Affirmation and Signatures**

Whereas, the Chesapeake Bay Program Partners recognize the need to accelerate implementation of actions necessary to achieve the Goals outlined herein and realize our shared vision of a healthy and vibrant Chesapeake Bay Watershed;

By this Agreement, we the undersigned members of the Chesapeake Executive Council, reaffirm our commitment to work together as described herein to protect and restore the Chesapeake Bay ecosystem. We agree to work collectively toward the goals and outcomes of this agreement and to work both independently and collaboratively to implement specific management strategies to achieve these shared goals and outcomes.

# Management Strategies

# **Governance Document**