Expected Number of Survey Takers: 25

6 GIT Chairs	3 Advisory Committee Chairs
8(?) Federal Agencies	7 Jurisdictions + 1 CBC

Actual Number of Survey Takers: 11

Majority In Favor of Inclusion
Dissenting Opinion
Majority Requires Change, or Split Positions

	Yes, this language is okay to include in the public draft (even if choice is to not participate in Management Strategy).	No, we cannot support including this language in the public draft; it is a show stopper for our participation in the Agreement (beyond not participating in a Management Strategy).	We could support this language with minor changes (please, indicate changes below).
Blue Crab Abundance Outcome	10	0	1

Comments:
abstain, no position

Blue Crab Management Outcome	7	1	3

Comments:
Needs more specificity
Important but seems like it could be combined with #1
abstain, no position

Oyster Outcome	8	0	3

Comments:
Define "restore" - to what level?
abstain, no position
we would support 8 tributaries to the extent possible with available funding.

Forage Fish	7	0	4

Comments:
Too vague
abstain, no position

not an outcome, more a commitment
if this is linked to the completion of the AFMFC scientific study. (did not indicate if what)

Fish Habitat Outcome	4	1	6

Comments:
Remove word "tidal" - there is an opportunity to connect habitats of tidal and non-tidal portions of tributaries for species such as shad, herring and eels that travel throughout both.
Too vague
can support only if "tidal" is m aintained before tributaries
for CAC please make it clearer for the general public
needs to be more quantitative - too general

Wetlands Outcome	9	0	2

Comments:
do not need language about land use types
need a better understanding of how these numbers were generated

SAV Outcome	8	1	2

Comments:
insert "measured against a goal of" instead of "achieving" 90,000 acres
need a better understanding of how these numbers were generated

Forestry Outcome	8	0	3

Comments:
Need more information to know if acre or community-based outcome is better
I will support this only if I am provided a goal justification paper describing the outcome, and expansion of urban tree canopy to 24,000 acres.
Mu Forestry Workgroup never got a full explanation of the goal.
need a better understanding of how these numbers were generated

Healthy Watersheds Goal	9	0	2

Comments:
Depends on outcome language: outcome must describe how this goal will be met
Too vague as written

Public Access Site Development Outcome

8	0	3

Comments:

Would prefer this goal be specific to water access only ("Increase public access by adding 300 new water access sites by 2025, collectively providing opportunities such as boating, swimming, fishing and general enjoyment.") This would not preclude viewshed access, but only water do not need detail of "tracking from 2010 baseline".

remove "such as boating, swimming, and fishing"

Environmental Literacy Goal

7	0	4

Comments:

Generally support, but needs drafting work.

"to act RESPONSIBLY" - this was a typing error of the survey author

not sure, maybe too strong

The Dept of Education is reviewing the literacy and education goals (not sure which jurisdiction)

Meaningful Watershed Educational Experience Outcome

7	0	4

Comments:

Should say "Significantly increase . . ."

Needs quantification - increase to what level? Change to "provide all students with..."

The Dept of Education is reviewing the literacy and education goals (not sure which jurisdiction)

School and School System Model Development Outcome

7	0	4

Comments:

Is there a term other than "local education agencies"? The word "agencies" is confusing.

Needs quantification - add "such that x% of all schools..."

ok for now, needs to be more quantitative - too general

The Dept of Education is reviewing the literacy and education goals (not sure which jurisdiction)

Environmental Literacy Metrics Outcome

7	0	4

Comments:

"By 2014, develop and establish baseline metrics to measure outcomes related to student participation in teacher-supported meaningful watershed educational experiences and related activites."

could be under number 12 MWEE
I like this instead of 12 and 13 (the 2 above)
The Dept of Education is reviewing the literacy and education goals (not sure which jurisdiction)

Local Leadership Goal	10	0	1

Comments:
outcomes are too general - more like commitments

Local Leadership Outcome (1)	8	0	3

Comments:
Too vague as written
ok for now, needs to be more quantitative - too general
end sentence after "issues"

Local Leadership Outcome (2)	6	1	4

Comments:
"financing mechanisms" instead of "environmental finance clearing house"
Too vague as written
needs to be more quantitative
delete "funding"

Local Leadership Outcome (3)	6	1	4

Comments:
Needs more discussion at PSC
Too vague as written
clarify that this is not new money, rather cost saving approaches, eg nutrient trading, regional MS4 plans
needs to be more quanititative

Local Leadership Outcome (4)	7	1	3

Comments:
Add quantification
on the condition that LGAC does the tracking

Stewardship Operational Commitment and Management Strategy Element		8	0	3

Comments:

"Management strategies will incorporate approaches for maximizing citizen engagement in efforts to increase conservation and restoration . . ."

Should be a goal/outcome

Management strategies *may* incorporate…