Partial Credit (PC) Vote	Affiliation	Member	Vote	Reasoning/Notes
Signatory Members				PA DEP CBO requests that PC stays in perpetuity (no sunset period) and will "HOLD" if there is any BMP sunset period. PA DEP is requesting more discussions on the BMP "sunset" in regards to partial credit duration.
				Seeking longer term solution. We need locational data and, following the locational data, we need financial support to send staff out to verify these BMPS.
	PA	Kate Bresaw	Hold	PC is a policy decision that should not replace data collected from the field, or otherwise, for specific BMP credit duration and lifespan.
	VA	James Martin	Hold	This is a step in the right direction, but it is an incomplete step. Concerned that approving this decision would be presumed to have solved the two concerns brought up by this group: (1) the 1619 agreements, and (2) the all or nothing approach to credit duration that is only addressed for federal practices
	DC	John Maleri	Stand Aside	This does not impact DC directly.
	DE	Jen Walls	Agree with Reservations	Main reservation is that this is only a temporary fix and we need a long term solution to dealing with 1619 agreements.
	WV	Alana Hartman	Agree with Reservations	Main reservation is that this is a temporary fix and we need a long term solution to dealing with 1619 agreements.
	NY	Cassie Davis	Stand Aside	NY only uses 1619 for QAQC of ag practices, so it doesn't really affect them directly. Concerned that this is not a permanent fix.
	MD	Bill Tharpe	Agree with Reservations	Main reservation is that BMPs do not stop working at the moment of credit duration expiration, but PC should not be a long term solution. This won't change our verification program in MD.
				Stand with VA and PA. Believes this proposal is close to having a solution, but requests that we figure out more of the path forward in terms of in-between 2025 and P7 and how we will deal with things during that time.
	CBC	Adrienne F. Kotula	Hold	Requests an overview of potential steps to take to address the issues at hand after PC sunsets.
	020	, and an analysis		Requests a BMP performance standard instead of arbitrary percentage (looking at state data to see how many fail and when, some sort of assessment of the practices that would be a better justification of PC).
				Would like to see what comes out of the pilot projects, if we could find longer term solutions to at least address some of these BMPs. Need scientific validity to this solution.
	EPA	Suzanne Trevena	Hold	Maybe some compromise of check-in points for sunset period to see if things have changed and if PC an appropriate mechanism.
At Large Members	ope.			If PC existed in perpetuity, CBF would vote "STOP", but can live with this as a three or four year solution. Reason CBF is a hold is because this group's voice will be diluted if we move forward with this (i.e. people in charge are less likely to take action to address the larger issue if we put a bandaid solution like PC in place). Would be more powerful to send a message that says we looked into this and could not find a
	CBF	Joe Wood Dana York	Agree with Reservations	solution. Requests conversations between agencies - top of EPA, CBP, NRCS, FSA. If that doesn't work, then we need to go to ag committees and talk about the statute and what needs to be done.
	USDA	Curt Dell	Stand Aside	This is policy and we're not allowed to give policy recommendations.
				Emphasizes that this isn't perfect solution, but group needs to recognize that changing 1619 will be a significant hurdle, so limited relief in the meantime will likely be useful.
	DoD	Jessica Rodriguez	Stand Aside	Requests that the recommendation makes it clear that we expect bigger actions to be taken to address the larger problems at hand.
	USFS	Sally Claggett	Stand Aside	Most appropriate that we don't vote on this but agrees with the concerns raised.
Workgroups	AgWG	Gary Felton	Hold	AgWG survey results were all across the board. Most of the same arguments brought up here, but that was before changes, so we might be able to move to a stand aside with an updated proposal. Proposes two one-word changes to the recommendation (see meeting minutes).
	USWG	Norm Goulet	Stand Aside	Requests a strongly worded letter back to the MB that the existing problem with 1619 needs to be addressed from a policy perspective at the highest level or legislative changes need to occur.
	USWG	Norm Goulet	Stariu Aside	Agree with comments from AgWG, CBC and EPA. Requests (1) Proposal for moving ahead on 1619 issue. Despite statutory rquirement in 1619, the policy interpretation could be revisited in the Dept of Ag. (2) A
	FWG	Rebecca Hanmer	Hold	pathway for better data gathering for practices and whether they last beyond credit duration Because this proposal will go to the WTWG if it gets approved by this group, the WTWG will not participate
	WTWG	Vanessa Van Note	N/A	in this vote.
	LUWG	KC Filippino	Stand Aside	
	WWG	N/A	N/A	Important but iffo a ston forward Doquests more information about how those things lead in the affirmation
CBP Advisory Committee	CAC	Matt Ehrhart	Agree with Reservations	Imperfect, but it's a step forward. Requests more information about how these things look in the aftermath of their lifespans. Reservation is that we will wait until 2027 to work on this and move forward. We can't afford to not keep working on this.
	STAC	N/A	N/A	
	LGAC	Jennifer Starr	Stand Aside	Deferring to jurisdictions.
			4	
		Total Vo		
		Stop	0	
		Hold	7	
		Stand Aside	8	
		Agree w/ Reservations	5	
		Endorse	0	