

BRC & LLM Credit Duration Vote	Affiliation	Member	Vote*	Reasoning (Optional)
Signatory Members	PA	Jill Whitcomb	Endorsement	
	VA	James Martin	Agreement with Reservations	My reservations are that I think we should explore all of the different practices that role up into these two categories. We could look into having different credit durations for each of the sub BMPs rather than a single credit duration for everything under Barnyard Runoff Control. But in general im in agreement with a recommendation for change.
	DC	Matt English	Hold	
	DE	Brittany Sturgis	Agreement with Reservations	The evidence suggests that we've seen these BMPs outlast their contract lifespan so for that reason we are supportive of the 15 year periods for recertification. But we also have a suggestion to change the format of discussions to have more of a focus on the programmatic side.
	WV	Alana Hartman	Agreement with Reservations	
	NY	Cassie Davis	Endorsement for LLM Stand Aside for BRC	
	MD	Bill Tharpe	Hold	MD believes that additional information is necessary to prove the need for the extended credit durations for LLM. The verification process is a major reason for the validity of the data provided by Maryland being entered into CAST. Without a solid verification process, increased implementation by the field office will be necessary to achieve the 2025 WIP goals. Moving forward, I believe that credit duration should not also define the inspection period for verification. Credit duration is the length of time that the BMP credits are calculated within CAST, but an inspection period allows that BMP to remain current. These two items are not the same and actions to change them need to be clearly defined and aligned with the CBP.
	CBC	Adrienne F. Kotula	Stand Aside	
	EPA	Suzanne Trevena	Stand Aside	We're not the ones going out and verifying the BMPs, so I feel like this should be a partnership driven decision rather than EPA. But we still have some questions and concerns that other folks have already raised about the data. It would be good to have more information about the lifespan. Right now I don't think we have a comprehensive view and we don't have consistent data across the entire watershed.
At Large Members	CBF	Joe Wood	Hold	I agree with the concerns mentioned by Gary and others.
	GEC	Dana York	Agreement with Reservations	In my experience, I have seen these practices last longer than 10 years. However, I would like to have more statistics like Pennsylvanias that show this. I also think it's confusing when so many different practices go into Barnyard Runoff Control, so I agree with James's comment above.
	USDA	Curt Dell	Stand Aside	I believe there's enough evidence to move forward but not enough evidence to make a decision.
	DoD	Jessica Rodriguez	Stand Aside	The most appropriate folks that make these types of decisions that have the data and expertise already weighed in and will be a part of the process in the future so we stand aside.
	USFS	Sally Claggett	Stand Aside	I don't feel USFS is in a position to weigh in.
	AgWG	Gary Felton	Stop	I am supporting Bill Tharpe's statements above. In addition, PA data does not support longer times and MD's data needs further information provided.
	USWG	Norm Goulet	Hold	No evidence was presented that convinced me we need to make a change. I also agree with the statement earlier about mixing of the concept between lifespan and credit duration and that there is still confusion surrounding that issue.

Workgroups	FWG	Rebecca Hanmer	Hold	The AgWG is the lead WG that is responsible for a given set of BMPs so I put my greatest amount of trust in the leadership of that workgroup, which leads me to agree with Gary's vote and concerns. And I agree with the reservations that Norm brought up. There is still confusion between practice lifespan and credit duration.
	WTWG	Jeff Sweeney	Stand Aside	Our role would be technical and we don't really have a stake in this other than figuring out how we would put this change into the model, so we are standing aside.
	LUWG	KC Filippino	Stand Aside	
CBP Advisory Committee	CAC	Matt Ehrhart	Hold	I believe this should move forward in my experience that these practices have a longer lifespan, but I think it's our duty to understand the data a little more than we do. I agree with reasons James cited and I would really like to understand PA's data more before moving forward.
		Elliott Kellner	Agree with reservations	Based on the information, I don't think we're looking at a science question. I think it's more of an administrative and logistical question. I think some jurisdictions are asking for help and that this is a place for us as a partnership to compromise. I completely agree with Bill Tharpe's comment how verification cycle should not equal credit duration. However, we are recommending when these practices are dropped from the model and when jurisdictions are no longer going to be receiving credit, so given that, I do think we need to consider how long we expect them to function. I didn't see as much as info as I wanted to though, which factors into my reservations. But given what I've seen the fact that we already have practices that have 15 year credit durations, I will vote to agree with reservations. Also, my other reservation is that practices that are bundled into BRC and LLM, but I think if we get to a point where we recommend anything, we could figure out the details of that later.
	STAC			
	LGAC	Jennifer Starr	Stand Aside	
				Please note that votes are for BOTH Barnyard Runoff Control and Loafing Lot Management, unless otherwise specified