Chesapeake Bay Program Partnership Biennial Strategy Review System 1st Biennial Review Meeting

DRAFT Agenda

Version: Dec 30, 2016

Purposes:

- 1) To identify <u>broad themes</u> that should be considered as we review and possibly modify our Watershed Agreement workplans over the coming two years, specifically:
 - a) what is <u>generally</u> working and not working in our progress toward meeting our Watershed Agreement Outcomes, and
 - b) recent developments or changes in the scientific, fiscal, and policy arenas that may impact progress.
- 2) Determination of information to be included in the PSC report to the EC on progress related to management strategy implementation #1: What exactly do we need to provide for this report?

When: February 8-9, 2017 (tentative)

Where: TBD

#2: Need to lock in location ASAP. Preferably for both 2/8 and

2/9. Where do we stand with that?

Who:

- Management Board (MB) leaders and members
- Outcome Leaders (Goal Implementation Team or Workgroup leaders)
- Advisory Committee and STAR Chairs

#3: Is this the proper invite list and do we have one email distribution list to contact everyone at once?

Agenda

Wednesday, February 8

Panel I: Finance 101 Presentation

Presenter(s): Dan Nees, Peter Marx?

3:00 PM - 4:00 PM

What is changing in the fiscal and financing arenas?

4:00 PM – 5:30 PM

#4: Should the panelists be the same as the "Finance 101" presenters?

Panel review of recent developments or expected future developments in fiscal (i.e. funding sources for Bay restoration) and new and innovative financing opportunities. Discuss strategies for implementing priority Environmental Financing Symposium recommendations.

Networking Reception

5:30 PM - 6:30 PM

Dinner 7:00 PM

Thursday, February 9

Introduction, Meeting Purpose and State of the Program Highlights

Presenter(s): Nick DiPasquale, Dave Goshorn(?)

9:00 AM - 9:30 AM

Participant introductions, purposes of the meeting, how we will achieve those purposes, briefly explain SRS process, how this meeting fits in, provide chair's overview of key themes and activities regarding program operations (including results of program evaluations and influential reports), invite a few additions from participants

Panel 1: Progress toward Watershed Agreement

9:30 AM - 10:30 AM

The panel will focus on two topics: (1) an overview of progress toward outcomes in the agreement, and (2) presentations and discussion of addressing factors affecting progress. **Part 1:** An overview of progress based on CBP indicators of environmental health, restoration and stewardship (15 min.)

Presenters: Indicators Coordinator (Laura Free), ChesapeakeProgress Content Specialist (Catherine Krikstan)

Part 2: Examples and Discussion of Factors affecting progress in achieving outcomes (30 min.)

Three examples to be provided organized around the themes of Conservation, Restoration, and People, each with a 5-minute "lightning" presentation on how various factors have influenced or are expected to guide their management priorities and actions. The Guiding questions addressed for each presentation:

- 1. How have your management actions successfully addressed factors affecting your outcome?
- 2. What approaches are you taking to address factors that pose the biggest challenges?

Panelists: 3 Outcome reps, TBD. Facilitator: Scott Phillips

Presentations will be followed by 15 minutes of facilitated discussion with the presenters, followed by question and answer period with the audience.

Key messages emerging from panel will be considered during the break-out sessions and could inform future quarterly review sessions.

Morning Break 10:30 AM – 10:45 AM

Panel 2: What is changing in the scientific arena?

10:45 AM - 11:45 AM

Panel review of recent developments or expected future developments/changes in our scientific understanding of the Bay and its resources. Key focus areas:

- Restoration/Protection (Fisheries, Habitat, Land Use Change Conservation)
- Social Science (Stewardship, Public Access, Diversity, Literacy, etc)
- Climate

Breakout Group Discussions

11:45 AM - 12:15 PM

Discussion / questions. Key messages are to be considered during break-out sessions and are suggested for emphasis in the quarterly review sessions over the coming two-year cycle.

Report outs from each Group

12:15 PM - 12:30 PM

Lunch Break 12:30 PM – 1:00 PM

Panel 3: What is changing in the policy arena?

1:00 PM - 2:00 PM

Panel review of recent developments or expected future developments/changes or opportunities in and Federal / State policy.

February 8 & 9, 2017 Biennial Review Meeting DRAFT Agenda (12/30/16 Draft)

extending this Panel until lunch. Push Scientific discussion until after lunch or load more into the dav before (2/8).

#6: Who are the

panelists for each

focus area?

#5: What is the Goal

of Panel 1 and is this

enough time? DMG

the right format /

opinion - Without

Outcome, the goal should be to identify

where we generally

reviewing each

are and are not

outcome of the

meeting and

making progress.

Doing so is a major

deserves more time,

discussions. Suggest

including breakout

Presenters: Ann Jennings and Marel King, Chesapeake Bay Commission

Breakout Group Discussions

2:00 PM - 2:30 PM

Discussion / questions. Key messages are to be considered during break-out sessions and are suggested for emphasis in the quarterly review sessions over the coming two-year cycle.

Report outs from each Group

2:30 PM - 3:00 PM

Afternoon Break

3:00 PM - 3:15 PM

Group Discussion and Synthesis

3:15 PM - 3:45 PM

Development of list of scientific, fiscal, and policy issues for use during Quarterly Reviews. Finalize content areas and messages to be reported to PSC.

Wrap-up, Next Steps and Review of Quarterly Review Schedule

3:45 PM - 4:00 PM

GENERAL QUESTIONS / ISSUES

#7: Should/can we create a space on the website for all participant information and documents?

#8: Confirm who is working with presenters and that all are clear on what is expected of them.

#9: Breakout Groups:

- 1. How are they organized by GIT?, Random?, Assigned?
- 2. Who leads them GIT Chair? Self Select?
- 3. Do they have enough time to discuss and report out?
- 4. Need to identify clear list of questions / issues they are to discuss