

The background features a series of concentric circles in light gray, some solid and some dashed, creating a ripple effect. A large blue callout box with a downward-pointing arrow is centered on the page. Inside the box, the text is white and reads: "Approach to Setting Local Planning Goals" followed by "Virginia" on a new line.

Approach to Setting Local Planning Goals

Virginia

Who – How do you define “local”?

[From Expectations – The options are:

1. *Locality jurisdictional boundaries (city, town, county, borough, township) or collections of such sub-state political subdivisions;*
2. **Federal facilities;**
3. *State facilities;*
4. **Soil & Water Conservation District (Conservation District) boundaries;**
5. **Regional entity boundaries (i.e. planning district commissions; regional river basin commissions; and utility districts);**
6. *Watershed or sub-watersheds of Chesapeake Bay tributaries;*
7. *Targeted areas with high nitrogen, phosphorus, or sediment yields (loadings);*
8. *Bay segment-sheds as depicted in the 2010 Chesapeake Bay TMDL;*
9. *Any area (e.g., MS4), entity, or political subdivision based on an identified need for pollutant load reductions for a given source sector or sectors; and*
10. *Some combination of the above.]*

What are you intending to use as measurable goals below the state-basin scale?

[From Expectations, the options for measurable goals are:

- *Percentage of BMP Implementation on land uses defined in the Phase 6 Watershed Model;*
- **Quantifying implementation goals for particular BMPs;**
- *Programmatic goals (i.e. ordinances with provisions for erosion and sediment control, urban nutrient management, post-construction performance standards) that include specific implementation, oversight, and enforcement requirements;*
- **Numeric nitrogen, phosphorus, and sediment as expressed as reductions or maximum load goals**
 - **Numeric load goals for one or more pollutants (delivered load of 300 lbs. phosphorus)**
 - **Numeric reduction goals for one or more pollutants (reduce loads by 4000 lbs. nitrogen)**
 - *Yield based goals for one or more pollutants (0.41 lbs. phosphorus/acre/year from developed lands);*
- *Pace of implementation over a certain time frame;*
- *Percent reduction of existing loads over a certain time frame; and*
- *Percent of flow in certain tributaries/runoff captured – flow-based targets.]*

How? – What are you doing to engage them?

- Outreach
 - Regional Outreach Meetings
 - PDC Meetings
 - SWCD Area Meetings
 - Webinars
- Decision Support Tools
 - Cost Effectiveness
 - Co-benefits
 - CAST
 - Monitoring Trends



When? –
**What is your
schedule?**

- Draft Local Planning Goals: May – July 2018
- SWCD Meetings: May – November 2018
- PDC Meetings: July – November 2018
- Final Local Planning Goals: December 2018
- Stakeholder review: February-April 2019
- Draft WIP: April 12, 2019
- Public Review/Input: April 12 – June 7, 2019
- Final WIP: August 9, 2019

Approach for tracking progress

- Ongoing Engagement
- 2-Year Programmatic Milestones
- Annual Implementation Progress Reporting





Challenges & Successes to Date

- 14 of 15 PDCs Participating
 - Localities and Stakeholders meeting to discuss and plan for Bay restoration
 - Working to develop realistic implementable scenario and programmatic actions
- 4 of 4 SWCD Areas Participating
 - SWCDs Reviewing WIP2 Scenarios and developing preferred implementation scenario
 - 170+ recommendations for modifications to VA Cost-Share Program to drive implementation
- Struggling to find implementation scenarios that are realistic and satisfy local planning goals