Riparian Forest Buffer Logic Table and Work Plan

Primary Users: Goal Implementation Teams, Workgroups, and Management Board | Secondary Audience: Interested Internal or External Parties **Primary Purpose:** To assist partners in thinking through the relationships between their actions and specific factors, existing programs and gaps (either new or identified in their Management Strategies) and to help workgroups and Goal Implementation Teams prepare to present significant findings related to these actions and/or factors, existing programs and gaps to the Management Board. | Secondary Purpose: To enable those who are not familiar with a workgroup to understand and trace the logic driving its actions.

Reminder: As you complete the table below, keep in mind that removing actions, adapting actions, or adding new actions may require you to adjust the high-level Management Approaches outlined in your Management Strategy (to ensure these approaches continue to represent the collection of actions below them).

Long-term Target: (the metric for success of Outcome):

Two-year Target: (increment of metric for success):

KEY: Use the following colors to indicate whether a Metric and Expected Response have been identified.					
Metric	Specific metrics have not been identified Metrics have been identified				
Expected Response	No timeline for progress for this action has been specified Timeline has been specified				

Factor	Current Efforts	Gap	Actions (critical in bold)	Metrics	Expected Response and Application	Learn/Adapt
What is impacting our ability to achieve our outcome?	What current efforts are addressing this factor?	What further efforts or information are needed to fully address this factor?	What actions are essential to achieve our outcome?	Optional: Do we have a measure of progress? How do we know if we have achieved the intended result?	Optional: What effects do we expect to see as a result of this action, when, and what is the anticipated application of these changes?	Optional: What did we learn from taking this action? How will this lesson impact our work?
Scientific and Technical Understanding	Lots of data, good targeting and use of high-resolution	Not a priority at this time	2.8, 5.1, 5.4, 5.9, 6.1, 7.1, 7.3, 7.4, 8.1, 8.3			
Improved Technical Assistance	11 new positions in forestry, some additional trainings,	Need for consistent funding for positions	3.4, 3.5, 5.2, 5.8, 7.4,			

Factor	Current Efforts	Gap	Actions (critical in bold)	Metrics	Expected Response and Application	Learn/Adapt
What is impacting our ability to achieve our outcome?	What current efforts are addressing this factor?	What further efforts or information are needed to fully address this factor?	What actions are essential to achieve our outcome?	Optional: Do we have a measure of progress? How do we know if we have achieved the intended result?	Optional: What effects do we expect to see as a result of this action, when, and what is the anticipated application of these changes?	Optional: What did we learn from taking this action? How will this lesson impact our work?
Partner Coordination	PSC appointed State RFB Lead, not all partners are onboard,	Help from upper-level state WQ lead, federal programs have important role	1.1, 1.4, 2.1, 3.4, 4.3, 4.6, 5.2, 5.3, 5.4, 7.3, 7.4, 8.5,			
Nongovernmental Organization Engagement	Good involvement, but through soft money	More funding, training ops, TSP	2.5, 2.3, <u>7.2,</u> 9.3,			
Legislative Engagement at the Federal, State, and/or Local Levels	Fed- 2018 Farm Bill, Clean Water Act; State grant funds	Farm Bill influence for CREP and TA	1.6 , 5.6, 8.4			
Government Agency Engagement at the Federal, State and/or Local Levels	FSA, and some states and locals are engaged	More engagement needed at all levels	1.1, 4.5, 5.7, 5.9, 8.2, 9.4,			
Public Engagement	Some general outreach efforts	Not a priority at this time	9.1			
Landowner Engagement	Some targeted outreach	More education/TA needed	3.1, 3.3, 3.5, 4.1, 4.2, 4.3, 4.4, 6.2, 6.3,			
Funding or Financial Resources; Partner Coordination			2.3, 2.4, 2.6, 2.7, 3.1, 3.3, 9.2, 1.5,			
Funding or Financial Resources	FSA passing along funding for positions/outreach	Need more consistent funding for staff and TA	1.2, 1.3, 2.2, 3.2, 3.5, 5.5, 7.1, 9.5			

		WORK PLAN ACTIONS			
	·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ction has encountered	d minor obstacles	
Action #	Description Red -	action has not been taken or has encountered a se Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party (or Parties)	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline
Managem	nent Approach 1: RFB Leadership		(or raities)	Location	Timemie
1.1	Meet with RFB leadership within each state to develop a coordinated, riparian forest buffer (RFB) strategy to boost enrollment	 a) State Lead for RFB activities is appointed and helps coordinate work at all levels-from state leadership to field b) Host a watershed wide 2-day RFB Forum c) Pursue opportunities for state leadership to express need/RFB is a priority practice d) Establish a RFB Leadership Team in PA and host a statewide RFB summit 	USFS, FSA, ACB, State Leads, PA DCNR, PA DEP, MD CREP Committee		Ongoing Some states did not get additional assistance from FSA
1.2	States augment CREP program for RFB using additional funding from FSA	Increase acres enrolled in Chesapeake Bay CREPS, CP22	FSA, State Leads	DE, VA, WV, NY	December 2017
1.3	Work to access additional financial assistance to augment programs for RFB	 a) Increase acres enrolled in Chesapeake Bay CREPS, CP22. Continue to assess available financial assistance as needed to encourage landowner interest in CREP and implementing conservation practice Compile and publish bi-annual Chesapeake Bay Protected Lands Dataset b) Make non-federal match available as new opportunities present for federal funding 	FSA, State Leads	Split FA and TA, add NRCS	December 2017
1.4	Coordinate and support State Leads and other partners in achieving RFB goals	Work with each state to help accomplish actions in 2-year workplan	USFS, FWG	Make maps	December 2017
1.5	Pilot Projects to Inform Leadership	 a) Coordinate with Sustainable Ag community b) Develop a flexible buffer program with innovative tools and programs to fill niches not met by traditional buffer programs 	MD DNR FS, USC, NFWF	State grant programs; PA, NY,	July 2016

		WORK PLAN ACTIONS				
	•	,	ction has encountered	d minor obstacles		
Red - action has not been taken or has encountered a serious barrier						
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party (or Parties)	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline	
1.6	Work on Policies to Advance RFB programs	 a) Work with partners to identify legislative, budgetary and policy needs to advance RFB and other goals b) Pursue action within our member state General Assemblies and the United States Congress 	Chesapeake Bay Commission, Choose Clean Water Coalition		December 2017	
Managem	nent Approach 2: RFB Enhancements					
2.1	Look broadly to plan for new buffers and align related projects/funding	 a) Coordinate with allied ag practices in NY especially streambank fencing, streambank stabilization and/or transitional woodland practice using AgNPS b) Seek more opportunities to engage landowners about opportunities for RFB c) Incorporate RFBs into planning efforts, e.g., the State Planning Board, county comprehensive plans, and local zoning ordinances d) Work with other programs and agencies that do preservation, restoration, etc. to improve overlap with RFB 	NY NRCS, TU, FSA, USC, State Leads	Follow up with conservation community and SWCDs-stronger conversations around fencing in VA and new pipeline mitigation funding	December 2017	
2.2	For the scale of buffer work needed, make leveraging the power of other funding and programs a priority	 a) Explore using Ag Land Preservation funds to drive new RFB b) Leverage other USDA programs to complement CREP 	State Leads, FSA, NRCS		December 2017	
2.3	Accelerate RFB implementation through NFWF's 2015 Regional Conservation Partnership Program (RCPP) project award, "Comprehensive Watershed-Scale Restoration in Dairy and Livestock Landscapes of the Chesapeake Bay"	 a) Utilize NFWF grant funding and technical and financial assistance to increase RFB and leverage existing cost-share funding b) Support local USDA NRCS and FSA staff, SWCDs, and NGOs in collaborating and prioritizing RCPP funding to enhance RFB CREP implementation at the local level 	Stroud Water Research Center, TU, CBF, ACB, Bradford County (PA) Conservation District		December 2017	

WORK PLAN ACTIONS

Green - action has been completed or is moving forward as planned Vellow - action has encountered minor obstacles

Red - action has not been taken or has encountered a serious barrier

Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party (or Parties)	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline
2.4	Support states in prioritizing funding for RFB and explore new funding options	 a) Work with states to align available funding with priority practices like RFB b) Explore converting RFBs to nutrient banks to provide additional incentive to maintain, conserve RFB 	EPA, FWG	25cation	December 2017
2.5	Apply the Healthy Streams Farm Stewardship Program	Identify projects in conjunction with SWCD and ag consultants	ACB	Done and looking for more funding; VA has new pilot in Augusta from court settlement USFWS	December 2017
2.6	Pilot voluntary purchase of nutrient credits by businesses to pay for the installation of forest buffers	a) Work with partners to identify businesses interested in this investmentb) Make purchases on behalf of businesses and establish RFB	PENNVEST		December 2017
2.7	Pursue a state/privately-funded RFB program	 a) Test methods for flexibility in buffer administration and management b) Introduce needed policy changes to implement the program c) Pilot sites showcasing different approaches including maintenance needs d) Look for funding and funding partners 	PA DCNR and DEP		December 2017
2.8	Consider climate change in future actions for RFB	 a) Review and refine climate resiliency decision making matrix and implementation process for the RFB outcome b) Develop climate change strategies for RFB 	Climate Resiliency Workgroup and FWG	Judy's crosswalk; is this still the process for CR Workplan;	December 2017

		WORK PLAN ACTIONS					
	•	ted or is moving forward as planned Yellow - a		d minor obstacles			
Red - action has not been taken or has encountered a serious barrier							
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party (or Parties)	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline		
				conservation plus scenario- check specific CR			
Managen	nent Approach 3: RFB Technical Assistanc	e					
3.1	Increase staffing levels to provide more technical assistance	a) Hire 8 additional field personnel through grant from FSAb) Increase technical capacity to increase RFB establishment and enrollment	FSA, USFS, ACB, MD, PA, VA, WV, TU, NRCS	Continuation??	December 2017		
3.2	Improve tracking and spending of TA funding provided by FSA	a) FSA provides TA funding for CRP/CREP to USFSb) Track and suggest improvements in spending as needed	State Leads	Still get thru USFS	December 2017		
3.3	Increase effectiveness of landowner education on RFB establishment and maintenance	 a) Identify successful programs and begin expanding them in states b) Reach out to local groups with interest in RFB such as SWCDs, NGOs, Land Trusts c) Support PACD outreach efforts in creating a clearinghouse of informational materials d) Enhance collaboration among organizations to better understand farmers' needs e) Technical Service Providers receive training on RFB outreach through grant from FSA f) NRCS employees receive >3 trainings per year g) Determine how best to engage SWCDs 	State Lead, PA DCNR and DEP, USFS, ACB, NRCS	TELEgrant with CI and MD; MD has had joint trainings; PA NRCS also receiving training; Should develop curriculum esp for NRCS; across the board? Trainings resources for xx staff suggested	December 2017		

Red - a cotion If partnerships to address cal assistance needs cal Assistance provided by NYS prester roach 4: RFB Outreach and Incress programmatic delivery that are barriers to prollment	Work with decision-makers to secure state cost-share funding to process CRP/CREP new		Geographic Location sequence on website-	Expected Timeline January 2017 December 2017
d partnerships to address cal assistance needs cal Assistance provided by NYS prester roach 4: RFB Outreach and Incress programmatic delivery that are barriers to prollment	Performance Target(s) Identify potential new partners and establish working agreements Provide forestry support on EQIP and CREP projects asing New Enrollment Work with decision-makers to secure state cost-share funding to process CRP/CREP new	Responsible Party (or Parties) State Leads, TU NYS DEC, USFS	Location sequence on	Timeline January 2017 December 2017
cal assistance needs cal Assistance provided by NYS crester coach 4: RFB Outreach and Incre cs programmatic /delivery that are barriers to nrollment	working agreements Provide forestry support on EQIP and CREP projects asing New Enrollment Work with decision-makers to secure state cost-share funding to process CRP/CREP new	State Leads, TU NYS DEC, USFS	·	December 2017
cal assistance needs cal Assistance provided by NYS crester coach 4: RFB Outreach and Incre cs programmatic /delivery that are barriers to nrollment	working agreements Provide forestry support on EQIP and CREP projects asing New Enrollment Work with decision-makers to secure state cost-share funding to process CRP/CREP new	NYS DEC, USFS		December 2017
rester roach 4: RFB Outreach and Incre s programmatic /delivery that are barriers to prollment	projects asing New Enrollment Work with decision-makers to secure state cost-share funding to process CRP/CREP new	·		
s programmatic /delivery that are barriers to prollment	Work with decision-makers to secure state cost-share funding to process CRP/CREP new	DNREC, DE NRCS		January 2017
delivery that are barriers to rollment	cost-share funding to process CRP/CREP new	DNREC, DE NRCS		January 2017
	contracts			January 2017
e Outreach Strategy for 2016	Improve outreach of RFB: webinar education series, information packets, posters, displays, forum, support for re-enrollment	USFS, FSA, ACB		December 2016
ve outreach and education to vners on RFB	 a) Technical Service Providers receive training on RFB outreach through grant from FSA b) NRCS employees receive >3 trainings per year c) Determine how best to engage SWCDs 	USFS, ACB, NRCS		December 2017
aisons (leaders, early adopters) farming communities	a) Reach out to dairy, horse, and poultry farmers, and othersb) Reach out to plain sect community	USFS Dept. Ag., PA CREP partners		December 2016
te current MPL soil rental rates termine if updates are ary	Work with FSA to make adjustments in CREP agreements, as needed	State Leads		December 2017
ocal case studies of successful s how to integrate with better	Reports on case studies and how LandCare model could be used	State Leads		December 2017
t t	e current MPL soil rental rates termine if updates are ary ocal case studies of successful how to integrate with better environmental health (e.g., re model)	farmers, and others b) Reach out to plain sect community Work with FSA to make adjustments in CREP agreements, as needed ary cocal case studies of successful render with better environmental health (e.g., re model) farmers, and others b) Reach out to plain sect community Work with FSA to make adjustments in CREP agreements, as needed Reports on case studies and how LandCare model could be used	farmers, and others b) Reach out to plain sect community Work with FSA to make adjustments in CREP agreements, as needed ary cocal case studies of successful how to integrate with better environmental health (e.g., re model) CREP partners CREP partners State Leads State Leads Mork with FSA to make adjustments in CREP agreements, as needed State Leads Mork with FSA to make adjustments in CREP agreements, as needed State Leads State Leads	farmers, and others b) Reach out to plain sect community Work with FSA to make adjustments in CREP agreements, as needed agreements, as needed Reports on case studies and how LandCare how to integrate with better environmental health (e.g.,

WORK PLAN ACTIONS

Green - action has been completed or is moving forward as planned Vellow - action has encountered minor obstacles

Red - action has not been taken or has encountered a serious barrier

	Red - action has not been taken or has encountered a serious barrier							
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party	Geographic	Expected			
			(or Parties)	Location	Timeline			
5.1	Improve tracking and reporting of RFB implementation	a) Utilize GIS, CAST, and other toolsb) Analyze efficiencies and quantify benefits of RFBc) Track progress through reports and maps	State Leads, FSA, USFS, ARS, PA DEP and DCNR, Penn State		December 2017			
5.2	Survey recent NFWF grantees to determine extent of RFB vs. grassed buffer implementation	Develop, implement, and analyze survey of NFWF grantees to determine likelihood of RFBs going in on grants that don't specify type of riparian buffer being used	NFWF, USFS		December 2016			
5.3	Strengthen inter-organizational operations, including reporting, cross-training, and Verification for RFB BMP	 a) States work internally with partners b) Enable verification to be completed by multiple entities, independent of landowner/installer c) Work with other Workgroups and Goal Teams to integrate RFB into planning d) Work with Ag Workgroup on improving Verification procedures for RFB 	State Leads, EPA, PA DEP and DCNR, FWG, USFS		December 2017			
5.4	Evaluate State RFB BMP Verification Protocol	Work with states to examine how RFB verification is working	USFS		December 2017			
5.5	Examine effectiveness of annual maintenance payments and evaluate if current rate is appropriate	Annual maintenance payments increase (e.g., from \$5/acre to \$10/acre) where feasible	State Leads, ACB, USFS		December 2016			
5.6	Evaluate current establishment period effectiveness and recommend potential changes	Expand the RFB establishment period from 2 years to 3-4 years (already happened in PA)	State Leads		December 2017			
5.7	Work with FSA to make cost share available for weed suppression during RFB establishment	Determine level of invasive plant control cost- share that is part of extended establishment	State Leads, FSA		December 2016			
5.8	Put maintenance tips in conservation plans, contracts, and landowner info	 a) Produce information on common maintenance needs/issues for website/handbook 	USFS, ACB	Mostly in PA handbook	January 2017			

		WORK PLAN ACTIONS			
	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ction has encountered	d minor obstacle	S
		action has not been taken or has encountered a se	1		
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party (or Parties)	Geographic Location	Expected Timeline
		b) Distribute handbook to contract holders through SWCD and field offices			
5.9	Evaluation of Buffer Effectiveness/Tree Planting Success	 a) Complete evaluation of tree planting mortality/success for three years after initial planting and secure additional planting stock to correct planting failures b) Look at sites with legacy sediment issues 	State Leads		December 2017
Managen	nent Approach 6: Improve Re-enrollment				'
6.1	Consider fate of non-re-enrolling RFB	Develop model to determine situational	FSA, FWG		December 2016
	acres	likelihood that landowners not re-enrolling will maintain their RFB			
6.2	Provide targeted outreach to CREP participants in the last 1-2 years of contract	 a) Send postcards to expiring contract holders and follow-up with phone calls b) Provide maintenance crews to assist landowners with successful RFB c) Encourage TSPs re-enrolling RFBs to include upgrades, such as increased acres and/or alternative water, stream crossings, fencing 	State Leads, USFS, State FSA, ACB		December 2017
6.3	Contact landholders with expiring contracts	 a) Provide contact information for expiring contract holders to State Leads b) Send landowner RFB outreach and promotional material, follow with phone call 	FSA, State Leads		December 2017
Managen	nent Approach 7: Improve Targeting of R	FB			
7.1	Develop nutrient incentive payment practice	Pilot program to target long-term nutrient reduction/ecological services for RFB & wetlands	MDA, NFWF		December 2017
7.2	Utilize CREP targeting tools	Make maps of high-priority areas for reforestation	State Leads		December 2017

	WORK PLAN ACTIONS								
	•	· ·	ction has encountered	d minor obstacles					
Red - action has not been taken or has encountered a serious barrier Action # Description Performance Target(s) Responsible Party Geographic Expected									
7100101111		Terrormance ranges(e)	(or Parties)	Location	Timeline				
7.3	Incorporate other WQ, brook trout, and demographic targeting tools for RFB	 a) Utilize tools to identify and implement RFB restoration opportunities considering climate change b) Analyze for effectiveness 	VA, TNC		December 2017				
7.4	Use high-resolution land cover data to map RFB and train partners on how to use the new data for prioritizing their work	 a) Pilot method in James to target areas for new RFB b) Work with the SWCDs, JRA and others to independently incorporate the new high-resolution data into their planning and ranking frameworks 	James River Assoc, NFWF, Chesapeake Conservancy, SWCDs		December 2017				
Managem	Management Approach 8: Ramp-up conservation of RFB								
8.1	Explore RFB preservation policies to analyze their effectiveness/need for enforcement	Identify local RFB preservation policies and conduct analysis	FWG,LUWG		December 2017				
8.2	Increase participation with the Agriculture Conservation Partnership Program (ACEP)	Determine opportunities for CREP participants to transition enrollments to NRCS ACEP easement programs	NRCS		December 2017				
8.3	Explore a buffer easement program	Incorporate RFBs into a broader forest conservation easement program, if created	DCNR, DEP, FBC, Ag, DCED		December 2017				
8.4	Integrate RFB in local green space planning and protection	Work to incorporate RFBs into other planning efforts, such as the State Planning Board, county comprehensive plans, and local zoning ordinances	DCNR		December 2017				
8.5	Improve easement language for RFB	Suggest acceptable language standards for RFB easements	FWG		December 2016				
Managem	ent Approach 9: Focus on non-ag RFB								
9.1	Broaden awareness with non-ag owners about tree planting/RFB/reduced mowing	a) State/County-level programs work with federal land managers to promote RFB atb) Target locations through GIS analysis	Stat Leads, USFS, PA DCNR, MD DNR, VA DOF		December 2017				

		WORK PLAN ACTIONS					
	Green - action has been completed or is moving forward as planned Yellow - action has encountered minor obstacles Red - action has not been taken or has encountered a serious barrier						
Action #	Description	Performance Target(s)	Responsible Party	Geographic	Expected		
			(or Parties)	Location	Timeline		
9.2	Look for funding opportunities for local tree planting and conservation efforts, including RFB in developed areas	a) Assess and summarize local, state, federal and private funding opportunitiesb) Continue to work with volunteers to replant stream sides	FWG, NY Trees for Tribs		December 2017		
9.3	Work with local groups to expand tree planting programs	Successful programs such as Turf to Trees, Backyard Buffers, NY's Trees for Tribs, and CLIPS (Baltimore Co)meet with LGAC, local leadership group, and others to determine how best to do this	FWG		December 2017		
9.4	Work to better integrate urban tree canopy and RFB with TMDL/WIP implementation and MS4 programs	a) Meet to assess options, needs, of MS4Permit holders and other stakeholdersb) Develop examples of buffers & SWM	FWG, CWP		December 2017		
9.5	Increase CBIG/319 funding for RFB establishment on urban lands	Assign 1-2 additional no-ag buffer projects to CREP foresters	WVDOF		December 2017		