Recommendation for Partial Credit based on Conversations within the BMP Verification Ad-Hoc Action Team

December 2021

The Recommendation

Apply partial credit to the federally funded multi-year agriculture practices listed in <u>Table 1</u>. Partial credit will only be applied during the development of Phase 7 of the watershed model to allow time for the Partnership to address the issues outlined below. When Phase 7 is officially implemented, partial credit will sunset (terminate).

Why?

- 1. The BMP Verification Framework identifies "Ensuring Full Access to Federal Conservation Practice Data" as a key component of the program. However, this component of the verification framework has not been fully realized.
- 2. Jurisdictions, specifically Pennsylvania, Delaware, West Virginia, and Virginia, do not have access to location data for the majority of NRCS practices within their jurisdiction. Without point location data, jurisdictions are unable to locate agriculture practices that are fully funded by NRCS. Data privacy protections stipulated in section 1619 of the US Farm Bill, prohibit NRCS from sharing such data. Jurisdictions receive aggregated (i.e., privacy protected) data from USGS, but this aggregated data does not ensure "full access" to federal data as no point location data is provided to the jurisdictions.
- 3. Moreover, landowners can deny access to their land for verification and inspection of practices outside of the original contract duration.
- 4. In addition, loss of model credit for aged-yet-functioning practices could result in underestimation of conservation practices throughout the watershed. Though we do not have access to sufficient data to quantify this error (e.g., inspection and verification data for every single practice across all jurisdictions), results from verification efforts in Maryland and New York suggest some correctly functioning, multi-year agricultural BMPs remain on the landscape after credit duration expiration.

Is this proposal an all-encompassing solution for the lack of access to point location data for federally funded agriculture practices? No.

What does this proposal provide to the Bay Partnership?

Partial credit attempts to mitigate the issues resulting from an unfulfilled component of the Verification Framework: "Ensuring Full Access to Federal Conservation Practice Data", or more specifically, "Ensuring Full Access to Federal Cost-Shared Agricultural Conservation Practice Data".

Per page 14 of the Basinwide Verification Framework, this component has the following goals across the Bay states:

- To establish a greater capacity for analysis and understanding of agricultural conservation practice implementation across the landscape;
- To support the adaptive management and targeting of conservation programs;
- To fully credit producers for their implemented conservation practices;
- To eliminate any double counting;
- And to promote success in attaining water-quality goals.

To meet these goals, the "Ensuring Full Access to Federal Conservation Practice Data" section of the framework document provides the following guidance:

- 1) Adopt the broadest, most consistent language in the existing Maryland, New York, Virginia, West Virginia, and USGS 1619 conservation cooperator agreements as described in Appendix F.
- 2) Institute 1619 conservation cooperator agreements in Delaware and Pennsylvania and for all the jurisdictional agencies in Maryland, New York, Virginia, West Virginia listed in Table 3 which have direct responsibilities for planning, funding, delivery, reporting, and/or submission of agricultural conservation practice data.
- 3) Establish an annual data handling protocol that will ensure routine, thorough, and consistent data access for all USDA Farm Bill agricultural conservation programs.

 This uniform data access can be tailored to formats that integrate effectively within each state's respective conservation practice tracking and reporting system.

Despite the guidance above, states were unable to establish 1619 agreements with NRCS due to USDA's policies and stance on enforcement. To work around this issue, the Bay Program supported the USGS 1619 conservation cooperator agreement which provides data to states in an aggregate form. While this enables states to report NRCS practices, it does not enable states to verify NRCS practices. Due to this inability to verify practices, this key component of the verification framework has not been realized, as "full access" has not been achieved.

What supporting data is available to us?

Examples of Structural Practices

1) Information from Maryland on Roof Runoff Structures:

From MD's inspection data, MD has recorded that 380 (out of 481) verified roof runoff structures (systems) met standards and were in compliance at the time of inspection. 101 structures did not pass inspection.

- 79% of Roof Runoff Structures inspected met standards at the time of inspection. 21% did not meet standards, were no longer in operation/retired, or no longer present.
- 2) Information from New York on Roof Runoff Control Practices, including Diversions, Stormwater Runoff Control Practices, and Animal Trails and Walkways:

From NY's inspection data, NY has recorded that 83 (out of 85) verified roof runoff controls (practices) met standards and were in compliance at the time of inspection. 2 instances of roof runoff controls did not pass inspection.

• **97.6% of Roof Runoff Controls** inspected met standards at the time of inspection. **2.4% did not.** NY has stated that the average age of the practices in this dataset was 13 years old.

From NY's inspection data, NY has recorded that 27 (out of 27) verified diversions (practices) met standards and were in compliance at the time of inspection.

• **100% of Diversions** inspected met standards at the time of inspection. *NY* has stated that the average age of the practices in this dataset was 13 years old.

From NY's inspection data, NY has recorded that 47 (out of 47) verified animal trails and walkways and access roads (practices) met standards and were in compliance at the time of inspection.

• 100% of Animal Trails & Walkways/Access Roads inspected met standards at the time of inspection. NY has stated that the average age of the practices in this dataset was 13 years old.

From NY's inspection data, NY has recorded that 18 (out of 18) verified stormwater runoff control (practices) met standards and were in compliance at the time of inspection.

• **100% of Stormwater Runoff Control** inspected met standards at the time of inspection. NY has stated that the average age of the practices in this dataset was 9 years old.

3) Information from Pennsylvania on Roof Runoff Structures, Animal Trails and Walkways, and Barnyard Runoff Control Practices:

| BRC, AT&W and RRS Past 10 Year Credit Duration | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| Practice | Average Age of Inspected Practice (Years) | Percent of Total Practices Functioning Beyond 10 Years | | |
| BRC | 19 | 43% | | |
| Animal Trails and Walkways | 16 | 25% | | |
| Roof Runoff Structure | 17.8 | 49% | | |

<u>Source:</u> April 2021 Pennsylvania's Barnyard Runoff Control and Loafing Lot Management Verification and Credit Duration Presentation

1) Information from Maryland on Heavy Use Area Protection Practices:

From MD's inspection data, MD has recorded that 441 (out of 490) verified Heavy Use Area Protection (systems, excluding those with poultry pads) met standards and were in compliance at the time of inspection. 101 structures did not pass inspection.

• 90% of Heavy Use Area Protection Structures inspected met standards at the time of inspection. 10% did not meet standards, were no longer in operation/retired, or no longer present.

2) Information from NY on Heavy Use Area Protection Practices:

From NY's inspection data, NY has recorded that 128 (out of 131) verified heavy use area protection (practices) met standards and were in compliance at the time of inspection. 2 instances of roof runoff controls did not pass inspection.

• 97.8% of Heavy Use Area Protection structures inspected met standards at the time of inspection. 2.2% did not. NY has stated that the average age of the practices in this dataset was 13 years old.

3) Information from Pennsylvania on Loafing Lot Management, Heavy Use Area Protection, and Access Roads:

| LLM, HUAP and Access Roads Past 10 Year Credit Duration | | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| Practice | Average Age of Inspected Practice (Years) | Percent of Total Practices Functioning Beyond 10 Years | |
| LLM | 18 | 39% | |
| HUAP | 18.4 | 42% | |
| Access Road | 15.8 | 29% | |

<u>Source:</u> April 2021 Pennsylvania's Barnyard Runoff Control and Loafing Lot Management Verification and Credit Duration Presentation

Examples of Vegetative Practices

- 1) Information from Maryland on Grass Buffers:
 - MD has inspected 2,575 grass buffer related practices that have expired (are older than 10 years).
 - MD has information on the following practices:
 - NRCS 386 Field Border 68% Met Standards, 32% Did not Exist or Did not Meet Standards
 - NRCS 390 Riparian Herbaceous Cover 36% Met Standards, 64% Did not Exist or Did not Meet Standards
 - NRCS 393 Filter Strip 51% Met Standards, 49% Did not Exist or Did not Meet Standards
 - NRCS 412 Grassed Waterway 67% Met Standards, 33% Did not Exist or Did not Meet Standards

How would partial credit be applied?

For practices that have reached the end of their credit duration:

- The acreage of reported practices that fall within their credit duration are processed in NEIEN without an error related to an expired credit duration.
- The acreage or amount of reported expired practices, or practices past their credit duration for which an inspection data has not been reported, would be sorted into practices that are 1 to 5 years past their credit duration.
- Since one state's data may not accurately reflect another state's data, a standard reduction of 25%, 50%, 75%, and 100% will be applied over 4 years to define how many acres are credited post-credit-duration, following the logic in the graph below:



• These standard reductions are applied to the acreage of expired practices that fall within 1 to 5 years post credit duration, and thus nutrient and load reduction efficiencies remain unchanged.

For example:

For Barnyard Runoff Control practices that have been federally funded, a state reports a total of 1,000 acres to NEIEN. 100 of those acres are for expired practices (i.e., older than their credit durations). 900 acres are processed normally, without an error for an expired credit duration.

Of the 100 expired acres, 10 acres are 1 year post credit duration, 10 acres are 2 years post credit duration, 10 acres at 3 years, 10 acres at 4 years, 10 acres at 5 years and 50 acres are more than 5 years past their credit life.

- If we use a 25% reduction over 5 years (from the previous slide), of the 10 acres 1 year post credit duration, 7.5 acres are processed without error.
- Of the 10 acres 2 years post credit duration, 5 acres are processed without error.
- Of the 10 acres 3 years post credit duration, 2.5 acres are processed without error.
- Of the 10 acres 4 years post credit duration, 0 acres are processed without error. (No partial credit received.)
- Of the 10 acres 5 years post credit duration, 0 acres are processed without error. (No partial credit received.)
- Of the 50 acres more than 5 years post credit duration, 0 acres are processed without error. (No partial credit received.)

Importantly, acreage will be processed with full efficiency.

Will partial credit be applied retroactively? Yes.

<u>Table 1. List of Federally Funded Multi-Year Agriculture Practices (does not include Forest Buffers)</u>

| BMPName | CAST BMP Name | CASTBmpShortName | CreditDuration |
|--|--|------------------|----------------|
| Animal Mortality Facility | Mortality Composting | mortalitycomp | 15 |
| CREP Forest Buffers | | | <u>15</u> |
| Animal Waste Management Systems (All Types) | AWMS | awms | 15 |
| Animal Trails and Walkways | Barnyard Runoff Control | barnrunoffcont | 10 |
| Bioretention | Bioretention/raingardens - A/B soils, underdrain | bioretnoudab | 10 |
| Brush Management | Soil Conservation and Water Quality Plans | conplan | 10 |
| Composting Facility | Mortality Composting | mortalitycomp | 15 |
| Conservation Cover | Land Retirement | landretireopen | 10 |
| Contour Buffer Strips | Soil Conservation and Water Quality Plans | conplan | 10 |

| Contour Farming | Soil Conservation and | conplan | 10 |
|--|---|--------------------------|----|
| CREP Wetland Restoration | Water Quality Plans Wetland Restoration | wetlandrestorefloodplain | 15 |
| CREP Wildlife Habitat | Land Retirement | landretireopen | 10 |
| Critical Area Planting | Land Retirement | landretireopen | 10 |
| Wetland Rehabilitation | Wetland Rehabilitation | wetlandrehabilitate | 15 |
| Headwater Wetland Creation | Wetland Creation | wetlandcreateheadwater | 15 |
| Wetland Creation | Wetland Creation | | 15 |
| | Wetland Creation | wetlandcreatefloodplain | |
| Wetland Buffer | W . 5 L LW .L L | na | 15 |
| Wet Pond | Wet Ponds and Wetlands (Stormwater Management) | wetpondwetland | 10 |
| Barnyard Runoff Controls | Barnyard Runoff Control | barnrunoffcont | 10 |
| Diversion | Soil Conservation and Water Quality Plans | conplan | 10 |
| Exclusion Fence with Grass Buffer | Grass Buffers with Exclusion Fencing | grassbuffexcl | 10 |
| Exclusion Fence with Narrow Forest Buffer | Narrow Forest Buffer with Exclusion Fencing | forestbuffexclnar | 10 |
| Exclusion Fence with Narrow Grass Buffer | Narrow Grass Buffers with Exclusion Fencing | grassbuffexclnar | 10 |
| Field Border | Grass Buffers | grassbuffers | 10 |
| Filter Strip | Grass Buffers | grassbuffers | 10 |
| Grass Buffers | Grass Buffers | grassbuffers | 10 |
| Grazing Land Protection | Precision Intensive Rotational/Prescribed Grazing | precrotgrazing | 10 |
| Grassed Waterway | Grass Buffers | grassbuffers | 10 |
| Hedgerow Planting | Soil Conservation and Water Quality Plans | conplan | 10 |
| Irrigation System, Microirrigation | Cropland Irrigation Management | cropirrmgmt | 10 |
| Irrigation Water Conveyance, Pipeline, High-Pressure, Underground, Plastic | Cropland Irrigation Management | cropirrmgmt | 10 |
| Irrigation System, Sprinkler | Cropland Irrigation Management | cropirrmgmt | 10 |
| Irrigation Water Management | Cropland Irrigation Management | cropirrmgmt | 10 |
| Land Retirement | Land Retirement | landretireopen | 10 |
| Lined Waterway or Outlet | Soil Conservation and Water Quality Plans | conplan | 10 |
| Loafing Lot Management System | Loafing Lot Management | loaflot | 10 |
| Pasture & Hay Planting | Land Retirement | landretirepas | 10 |

| Pipeline | Soil Conservation and Water Quality Plans | conplan | 10 |
|---|---|----------------|----|
| Prescribed Grazing | Precision Intensive Rotational/Prescribed Grazing | precrotgrazing | 10 |
| Roof runoff management | Barnyard Runoff Control | barnrunoffcont | 10 |
| Roof Runoff Structure | Barnyard Runoff Control | barnrunoffcont | 10 |
| Spring Development | Off Stream Watering No Fence | oswnofence | 10 |
| Stream Habitat Improvement and Management | Soil Conservation and Water Quality Plans | conplan | 10 |
| Streambank and Shoreline Protection | NonUrban Stream Restoration | nonurbstrmrest | 10 |
| Structure for Water Control | Water Control Structures | watercontstruc | 10 |
| Subsurface Drain | Soil Conservation and Water Quality Plans | conplan | 10 |
| Terrace | Soil Conservation and Water Quality Plans | conplan | 10 |
| Tree Planting | Tree Planting | treeplant | 10 |
| Tree/Shrub Establishment | Tree Planting | treeplant | 10 |
| Underground Outlet | Soil Conservation and Water Quality Plans | conplan | 10 |
| Upland Wildlife Habitat Management | Soil Conservation and Water Quality Plans | conplan | 10 |
| Vegetated Treatment Area | Agriculture Stormwater Management | agstormeff | 10 |
| Waste Storage Facility | AWMS | AWMS | 15 |
| Wastewater Treatment Strip | Barnyard Runoff Control | barnrunoffcont | 10 |
| Water and Sediment Control Basin | Soil Conservation and Water Quality Plans | conplan | 10 |
| Water Well | Off Stream Watering No Fence | oswnofence | 10 |
| Watering Facility | Off Stream Watering No Fence | oswnofence | 10 |
| Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment | Tree Planting | treeplant | 10 |