SHORT TERM SOLUTIONS TO OVERARCHING PROBLEMS

Vanessa Van Note, EPA 08/12/2021

EXAMPLES OF SHORT-TERM SOLUTIONS:

Extension of Credit Durations

- Forestry Practices
- Barnyard Practices
- Wetland Restoration
- Grass Buffers

Extension of Credit Durations and Development of Partial Credit are accomplishing the same goal:

- Giving states more time to perform verification on BMPs of concern
- Addressing the fact that select practices on the ground can function past their contract duration

Development of Partial Credit

Even if a solution is short term, whether technical, programmatic, or policy-related, does not mean it can't assist states in reaching their goals.

> These may not be the right short-term solutions, but we need to find compromises.

UPDATE ON CREDIT DURATIONS

Credit Duration

- Forestry Practices
 - August 23rd WQGIT Meeting
- Barnyard Practices
 - July AgWG Meeting
- Wetland Restoration
 - June and August Wetlands WG Meeting
- Grass Buffers
 - BMPVAHAT August Agenda Item.

UPDATES ON PARTIAL CREDIT

Mentimeter Results from the last meeting: Support from the Group to Continue Discussing Partial Credit

Mentimeter Results

- Yes 16 votes
- No 2 votes
- Need more discussion to decide 4 votes

Where do we go from here?

- Updates from the web developers
- Proposed Next Step Request for Collaboration

UPDATES FROM DEVELOPERS SINCE THE LAST MEETING

i. Expense.

i. The development team has stated this would be 1000s of hours of development time.

ii. Priorities

- i. The development team has looming partnership priorities of cobenefits, BMP efficiencies, etc.
- iii. How Partial Credit would be reported out of CAST This will lead to confusion amongst 1,480 CAST users that are not involved in progress scenarios.
 - i. As a reminder, Partial Credit would be a alteration to/function of NEIEN, not CAST; but will impact CAST's reports.
 - ii. The Communication Strategy needs to be clear before any work is done on this by the development team.
- iv. A **report/proposal** resembling that of an expert panel report or USWG memo/protocol document will need to be submitted to the development team addressing both the communication strategy and answering questions like:

QUESTIONS TO ADDRESS IN PROPOSAL

- Does this apply to all BMPs land use change, animal. efficiency, load reduction?
- How does it apply to the BMPs? Only Ag? Only NRCS Ag BMPs?
- Do you want different BMP names for each year past credit duration?
- How will this affect backout? If they are different BMPs FB full vs year 1 vs year 2
- How does it affect upland acres?
- How does this apply to BMPs with multiple measures? Does it affect all measures?
- More cutoff will happen so do we credit full BMPs first so that partial credits are not pushing out maximum values?
- If we chose 5 years, what do we do with BMPs that have a 3 or 4 year lifespan?
- If we do a ramp up, then why not a ramp down?

TO CONSIDER

- The Credit Duration Infrastructure already exists in CAST (the alterations to credit duration would take minutes).
- Credit Durations may be a task to reevaluate to arrive at compromises to begin to
 alleviate state's concerns as longer term solutions are being developed/worked out.

IS THIS A GOOD IDEA OR NOT?

What I propose:

- 1) Schedule an hour to bring together at least one representative from each signatory member organization in the next month to discuss solutions that are worth the group's time and energy.
 - An Ad-Hoc Meeting within an Ad-Hoc Meeting.
 - A time for states to collaborate.
- 2) The Ad-Hoc Group is encouraged to come to the September meeting with a honed focus to share requests with and/or propose actions to the larger group.
- 3) Hold an official vote to pursue developing the partial credit development/drafting an expert panel style report to honor the current charge of the group.
 - If consensus is not reached, we need to work towards compromise and bring forward other solutions/suggested collaboration.

