

# Relative Loading Rates for Developed Land Uses with Tree Canopy

# Adjustments to non-tree canopy land uses may be advisable

- Relative loading rates for non-tree canopy land uses were established using data from the National Stormwater Quality Database (NSQD)
- NSQD does not separate land areas covered in tree canopy
- By adding the tree canopy land uses, it may be determined that the non-tree canopy land use loading rates should be increased to remove the effect of tree canopy

# From the Expert Panel recommendation at the 9/15/15 USWG

## Recommendation for relative loading rate for tree canopy land use

Same relative loading rate of 17% for both Tree Canopy land uses, 'bump up' for TN due to additional reduction from interflow

- TP and TS = 17%
- TN = 18.5%



# Tree Canopy Land Uses

- There are 9 tree canopy land uses
- Tree canopy over:
  - Open space, not agricultural
  - Impervious urban
  - Pervious urban
- These are further divided into MS4, CSS, and non-regulated land uses

# Adjustment rules

- Adjusted existing non-tree canopy land uses by increasing the loading rates to remove the effect of trees that are assumed to be in the original NSQD
- Land uses adjusted include:
  - Roads
  - Buildings and Other
  - Turf Grass
- Maintained the relationship of a 18.5% TN reduction from the land use that is modified by the Tree Canopy land use.
  - Example: Tree Canopy over Roads is 18.5% less than Roads.
- Acres are used to weight the land uses for the adjustment. As acres are updated for the official Phase 6 land use, the rates presented may change slightly.

# TN Relative Loading Rate—TC over Imp Taken From ROADS

Shown on MS4 land uses, the same relative differences will be on CSS and non-regulated. Shown here with the draft acres for regulated.

| Land Use                        | original | no adjustment | adjusted |
|---------------------------------|----------|---------------|----------|
| MS4 Tree Canopy over Turfgrass  | -        | 0.39          | 0.41     |
| MS4 Turf Grass                  | 0.48     | 0.48          | 0.50     |
| MS4 Tree Canopy over Impervious | -        | 0.82          | 0.83     |
| MS4 Roads                       | 1.00     | 1.00          | 1.02     |
| MS4 Buildings and Other         | 0.79     | 0.79          | 0.80     |
| MS4 Construction                | 1.19     | 1.19          | 1.19     |

# TP Relative Loading Rate—TC over Imp Taken From ROADS

Shown on MS4, the same relative differences will be on CSS and non-regulated.  
Shown here with the draft acres for regulated.

| Land Use                           | original | no adjustment | adjusted |
|------------------------------------|----------|---------------|----------|
| MS4 Tree Canopy<br>over Turfgrass  | -        | 0.83          | 0.85     |
| MS4 Turf Grass                     | 1.00     | 1.00          | 1.03     |
| MS4 Tree Canopy<br>over Impervious | -        | 0.83          | 0.85     |
| MS4 Roads                          | 1.00     | 1.00          | 1.03     |
| MS4 Buildings and<br>Other         | 0.79     | 0.79          | 0.82     |
| MS4 Construction                   | 3.89     | 3.89          | 3.89     |

# Adjustment rules—Second Method

- Assumed that Tree Canopy is over Roads as well as Buildings and Other.
- Weighted the reduction as 90% from roads and 10% from Buildings and Other.
  - These percentages can change when we receive the land cover information
- Acres are used to weight the land uses for the adjustment. As acres are updated for the official Phase 6 land use, the rates presented may change slightly.



**TN Relative Loading Rate—TC over Imp Taken From Roads (90%) & Buildings (10%)**  
Shown on MS4 land uses, the same relative differences will be on CSS and non-regulated. Shown here with the draft acres for regulated.

| Land Use                        | original | no adjustment | adjusted from Roads and Buildings |
|---------------------------------|----------|---------------|-----------------------------------|
| MS4 Tree Canopy over Turfgrass  | -        | 0.39          | 0.41                              |
| MS4 Turf Grass                  | 0.48     | 0.48          | 0.50                              |
| MS4 Tree Canopy over Impervious | -        | 0.82          | 0.81                              |
| MS4 Roads                       | 1.00     | 1.00          | 1.02                              |
| MS4 Buildings and Other         | 0.79     | 0.79          | 0.81                              |
| MS4 Construction                | 1.19     | 1.19          | 1.19                              |

**TP Relative Loading Rate—TC over Imp Taken From Roads (90%) & Buildings (10%)**  
Shown on MS4, the same relative differences will be on CSS and non-regulated.  
Shown here with the draft acres for regulated.

| Land Use                           | original | no adjustment | adjusted |
|------------------------------------|----------|---------------|----------|
| MS4 Tree Canopy<br>over Turfgrass  | -        | 0.83          | 0.86     |
| MS4 Turf Grass                     | 1.00     | 1.00          | 1.03     |
| MS4 Tree Canopy<br>over Impervious | -        | 0.83          | 0.84     |
| MS4 Roads                          | 1.00     | 1.00          | 1.03     |
| MS4 Buildings and<br>Other         | 0.79     | 0.79          | 0.82     |
| MS4 Construction                   | 3.89     | 3.89          | 3.89     |

# Impact of relative loading rates on calibrated model loads

- The total load from developed land uses is determined by the indicators.  
([http://www.chesapeakebay.net/indicators/indicator/nitrogen\\_loads\\_and\\_river\\_flow\\_to\\_the\\_bay1](http://www.chesapeakebay.net/indicators/indicator/nitrogen_loads_and_river_flow_to_the_bay1))
- The relative loading rates adjust the load among the developed land uses, and do not change the total load.
- Spatial differences come from inputs (fertilizer, atmospheric deposition, etc....) and stream and river delivery variance.