

Cross-Outcome Mapping Project

Scott Phillips and John Wolf

On behalf of the Project Team and Goal Teams

MB meeting

April 13, 2017

Project Goals and Benefits

More effectively share resources to make progress on inter-related outcomes

- Actions between outcomes
- Places where efforts can be concentrated
- Aligning partner activities
- GITs, Implementers, MB, PSC, STAR

Benefits

- Strategic
- Increase interaction
- Enhance effectiveness
- Communicate value



Purpose for today


- Update MB on the mapping project
- Potential use in SRS (May MB meeting)
 - Question: What are opportunities for cross-goal team collaboration
- Considerations for MB
 - Better align jurisdictional priorities with outcomes
 - More effectively focus resources (all partners)

Approach



- Maximum benefit to living resources
- Restoration and conservation
- Consider future threats
- Current partner priorities and efforts
- Joint planning in places with greatest benefit


Restoration Areas



- Designated use non-attainment (tidal waters)
- N and P loads (top 25%)
- Toxic contaminant impairments
- Oyster restoration
- Inland fish habitat assessment
- Index of ecological integrity (<25%)

Conservation Areas

- Priority LR areas (tidal)
- Potential oyster habitat
- Inland fish habitat
- Brook trout
- Conservation Opp. Areas
- Ecological integrity
- Black duck habitat
- Healthy watersheds
- Designated use attainment



Next Steps

- Management questions and example:
 - <https://gis.chesapeakebay.net/intergit/mb.html>
- Use as part of MB outcome reviews
 - Mapping related outcomes for SRS mtgs.
- Work Plan updates for 2018-19
 - Jurisdictions and federal partners (MB/PSC)
 - “Other” implementers
 - Such as USCOE, NFWF
- More info:
http://www.chesapeakebay.net/groups/group/scientific_and_technical_analysis_and_reporting

THANK YOU: CHRIS WRIGHT!!

