Chesapeake Bay Water Quality Indicator: Update and Recommended Next Steps

Chesapeake Bay Program
A Watershed Partnership

Water Quality Goal Implementation Team Conference Call
June 10, 2013
Annapolis, MD

Liza Hernandez, Tidal Monitoring Analyst
University of Maryland Center for Environmental Science
USEPA Chesapeake Bay Program Office

Restore Clean Water

Goal:
Reduce nitrogen, phosphorus, sediment and other pollutants to meet Bay water quality goals for dissolved oxygen, clarity, chlorophyll-a and toxic contaminants.



OUTCOMES

Water Quality Meet water quality standards for dissolved oxygen, clarity/underwater grasses and chlorophyll-a in the Bay and tidal tributaries by implementing 100 percent of pollution reduction actions for nitrogen, phosphorus and sediment no later than 2025, with 60 percent of segments attaining water quality standards by 2025. *(Current condition: 89 of the 92 segments of the Bay and its tidal waters are impaired.)*

Water Quality Indicator

Purpose:
To measure progress toward the achievement of Chesapeake Bay water quality standards.

- 92 tidal Bay segments
- 291 designated-use segments
- Weighted, area-based approach



January 2013

- WQGIT recommends adoption of combined indicator to measure progress towards the achievement of the four jurisdictions' Chesapeake Bay water quality standards

Management Board

- April 2013
  - Introduction to water quality indicator
  - Requested further indicator development and subsequent progress update
- May 2013
  - Presented preliminary results of FY2018 interim target analysis
  - Requested feedback on next steps from WQGIT

Setting Interim Expectations

- Assume validation of the umbrella criteria
  - Fully assess attainment across all segments, uses, and criteria
- Interim value based on:
  - An evaluation of the 1985-2011 time series of criteria attainment
  - Driving towards 60% attainment by 2025 as the current end point

Analyses: 1985-2011

For each designated use, developed a comprehensive spreadsheet of attainment status for the rolling 3-yr periods for each applicable segment



STATE	CBSEG_92	OW 30d		1985-1987	1986-1988	1987-1989	1988-1990	1989-1991	1990-1992	1991-1993	1992-1994	1993-1995	1994-1996	1995-1997
DC	ANATF_DC	X		74.75%	65.12%	77.68%	70.72%	79.59%	81.11%	87.99%	85.22%	81.82%	83.23%	88.33%
MD	ANATF_MD	X		42.50%	45.97%	70.08%	67.71%	78.79%	62.52%	67.84%	63.19%	71.94%	80.29%	84.08%
VA	APPTF	X		95.41%	95.41%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
MD	BACOH	X		100.00%	88.99%	88.99%	88.99%	100.00%	95.41%	94.84%	94.84%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
MD	BIGMH	X	% ATTAINMENT	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
MD	BOHOH	X		100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	99.47%	99.47%	99.47%	100.00%
MD	BSHOH	X		99.33%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	95.41%	94.15%	94.15%	99.10%	99.50%	93.30%
DE	C&DOH_DE	X		100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
MD	C&DOH_MD	X		100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
MD	CB1TF	X		100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
MD	CB2OH	X		97.01%	99.04%	99.74%	99.92%	99.97%	99.60%	99.78%	100.00%	99.57%	99.93%	99.57%
MD	CB3MH	X		100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	99.99%	99.99%	99.99%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
MD	CB4MH	X		100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	99.30%	98.94%	99.45%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
MD	CB5MH_MD	X		100.00%	100.00%	99.99%	96.19%	95.87%	97.09%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
VA	CB5MH_VA	X		100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	98.81%	99.83%	99.83%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
VA	CB6PH	X		97.84%	95.94%	91.40%	93.98%	94.85%	97.80%	97.72%	97.56%	97.64%	95.63%	97.49%
VA	CB7PH	X		96.12%	95.49%	90.98%	92.15%	90.63%	93.85%	94.25%	93.59%	94.32%	93.02%	95.82%
VA	CB8PH	X		100.00%	100.00%	99.92%	99.92%	99.92%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
VA	CHKOH	X		100.00%	100.00%	88.40%	81.39%	74.16%	75.00%	82.12%	88.99%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
MD	CHOMH1	X		98.92%	99.52%	99.63%	99.32%	98.35%	99.39%	99.47%	99.58%	98.18%	98.75%	99.49%
MD	CHOMH2	X		100.00%	100.00%	96.78%	94.62%	90.52%	96.94%	94.51%	98.02%	95.89%	98.99%	99.11%
MD	CHOOH	X		100.00%	100.00%	97.24%	95.00%	92.79%	99.44%	99.23%	100.00%	99.49%	100.00%	100.00%
MD	CHOTF	X		100.00%	100.00%	88.99%	88.62%	88.62%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	96.51%	96.44%
MD	CHSMH	X		100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	99.86%	100.00%	100.00%
MD	CHSOH	X		100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
MD	CHSTF	X	% ATTAINMENT	100.00%	100.00%	95.94%	95.94%	95.94%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	95.41%	95.41%
VA	CRRMH	X		97.90%	93.45%	97.39%	86.48%	87.78%	87.10%	88.73%	81.68%	75.47%	81.11%	89.60%
MD	EASMH	X		100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
VA	EBEMH	X		NoData	NoData	44.63%	43.36%	37.04%	50.88%	64.37%	76.22%	77.26%	70.39%	77.65%
VA	ELIPH	X		96.66%	99.70%	88.03%	80.40%	63.27%	72.11%	79.76%	92.78%	95.63%	92.74%	96.14%
MD	ELKOH	X		100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
MD	FSBMH	X		100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	95.41%
MD	GUNOH	X		100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	94.84%	94.84%	95.41%	100.00%

> 560,000 data points *per parameter*

Collectively:
> 28 million data points analyzed!

92 Bay Segments

Baywide:

Total number of tidal Bay segments attaining all applicable designated use criteria



291 Designated-Use Segments

Baywide:

Total number of designated-use segments attaining their applicable criteria



FY2018 Target



Recommended Next Steps *(see handout)*

1. Evaluation of setting a target range vs. a single numerical target
   a. For example: 60% ± 5% vs. 60%
2. Further analysis of projected assessment data
   a. Which designated-use segments are expected to come into attainment by 2017? 2025?
   b. Which designated-use segments will not be attaining come 2025?

2a) Virginia Lower York River



Improving trend in shallow-water Bay grasses WQS attainment through 2005; then 2005 summer high temperatures depleted eelgrass populations, which have yet to recover fully years later.

2b) Middle Central Chesapeake Bay



No noticeable trends in deep water and deep channel designated use criteria attainment over time. Consistent with Bay WQ model scenario findings: need an additional 20-30+ mil. lbs more N reduction to effectively reduce abundant algal populations to enable oxygen to increase.

Recommended Next Steps *(see handout)*

3. Further analysis of WQSTM scenario results
4. Evaluation of reductions while accounting for lag times
5. Further development of indicators measuring incremental progress
6. Re-evaluate the utility of our current tidal water quality indicators

Current Indicators

- Individually Reported
  - Dissolved Oxygen
  - Chlorophyll *a*
  - Water Clarity
- Not Standards Based
- CBPO and TMAW analysts determining utility and developing recommendations regarding changes to indicator framework

Action Requested

- Feedback on series of aforementioned recommended next steps
- Request continued guidance and feedback from the WQGIT as we continue to make progress towards recommending –
  - Changes to our current water quality indicators
  - Incremental progress indicators